

WELL V2:

— ○ EVIDENCE BEHIND
THE **NOURISHMENT** CONCEPT



FEATURE N01: FRUITS AND VEGETABLES

OVERVIEW

Part 1: Provide an adequate variety of fruits and vegetables if food is sold or provided on a daily basis within the project boundary.

Part 2: Increase the visibility of fruits and vegetables through choice architecture strategies if food is sold or provided on a daily basis within the project boundary.

SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND

- Fruits and vegetables refer to a diverse group of plant foods widely recommended in a healthy diet. They are high in vitamins, minerals, electrolytes, antioxidants and are an excellent source of dietary fiber, which is required for a healthy digestive system.¹
- The many types of fruits and vegetables vary widely in nutrient content, which can be further affected by food processing, cooking or peeling. Specific fruits and vegetables also have different psychological effects, which is why it's important to consume a variety every day.¹
- The World Health Organization (WHO) and the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations recommend consuming a minimum of 400 grams (equivalent to about five servings) of fruits and vegetables a day.²
- Choice architecture refers to the process of influencing and guiding behavior without eliminating options or significantly changing economic incentives.³ To promote healthy behaviors, behavioral nudges in the form of environmental design can be used to cue and encourage, or hinder and deter, certain actions.^{3,4}
- Choice architecture has been used to nudge behaviors in the direction of healthier choices through the alteration of the environment within which decisions are made.⁵ This includes nudging individuals towards selecting healthier foods, including fruits and vegetables.
- Several aspects of a menu can influence consumer choices and a well-designed menu can direct attention to specific items.⁶⁻⁸
 - Menu design can be leveraged to promote healthier choices. Several studies indicate that explicitly labeling food items as “healthy” is not recommended as consumers associate the word with less flavorful or less indulgent options.^{9,10}
 - Visual elements (e.g., graphics, imagery, background, text colors, bolding) can be used to make specific menu items stand out in order to draw customer attention and increase consumption of those items.¹¹⁻¹³
- Menu item descriptions can also influence sales. Studies indicate that evocative labels/names or descriptions can positively affect taste and value perceptions as can increased complexity in item description (i.e., more detailed descriptions using appealing language).¹⁴⁻¹⁷

KEY HEALTH AND WELL-BEING EFFECTS

- The WHO reports that low intake of fruits and vegetables is one of the top 10 risk factors contributing to global mortality, responsible for approximately 3.9 million annual deaths worldwide in 2017.^{18,19}
- Robust population-based studies suggest diets rich in fruits and vegetables are associated with a lower risk of developing cancers (e.g., gastrointestinal, breast, lung), reduction in systolic blood pressure, and lower chances of developing cardiovascular disease, including stroke and coronary heart disease.²⁰⁻²⁵
 - The WHO estimates that 31% of ischemic heart disease deaths and 11% of strokes are attributed to low fruit and vegetable consumption globally.²⁶
 - An analysis across several studies that included close to 500,000 participants found an average 4% reduction in risk of death from cardiovascular disease for every additional serving of fruits and vegetables per day.²⁷
- Some studies have found that higher fruit and vegetable intake, especially green, leafy vegetables, root vegetables and fruit, has been associated with a lower risk of type II diabetes.^{28,29}

HEALTH PROMOTION BENEFITS AND STRATEGIES

- Several studies provide evidence to support environmental modifications, especially at workplaces, in promoting healthier dietary behaviors.^{30,31} Potentially effective strategies for increasing fruit and vegetable consumption

(especially in combination) include color-coded food labeling to help identify healthier food options, point-of-purchase prompts, promotional materials and expanding the availability or number of healthy food options.³²⁻³⁵

- Restaurants and other dining spaces can utilize these strategies on menus and menu boards.
- Studies in cafeterias show that both children and adults are more likely to choose healthier food items when they are at eye-level or conveniently located (e.g., at point-of-purchase or seen first out of available options) and that these effects may be sustained in the long-term.^{36,37}
- Altering the placement of fruits and vegetables (e.g., proximity and availability) and combined nudges (altering placement and presentation) appear to be the most effective strategies for nudging increased consumption of fruits and vegetables.³⁸
 - One study showed that making a certain food item slightly more difficult to reach (25 cm [10 in] further away) can reduce food intake by up to 16%, suggesting that minor food placement changes in settings such as cafeterias can influence greater consumption of foods like fruits and vegetables and discourage the consumption of less healthy alternatives.³⁹
 - The majority of studies that provide support for choice architecture strategies in promoting healthy behavior change are based in worksite or school settings, but the placement of fruits and vegetables may be important in other settings as well. One study based in a retail setting focused on low-income families in the United States found an increase in the use of food vouchers for fruits and vegetables when fruits and vegetables were placed prominently near the front of the store.⁴⁰
- Overall, studies indicate that quantity of intake appears to be the most important factor in the health benefits of fruit and vegetable consumption but variety is also an important consideration in dietary intake.^{41,42} Studies suggest that one way to potentially lower the risks of cancer, coronary heart disease and type II diabetes and improve cognitive performance can be through consuming a greater variety of fruits and vegetables.⁴³⁻⁴⁶ Higher dietary diversity is also generally associated with healthier metabolic outcomes.⁴⁷
 - Dietary diversity is important because different fruits and vegetables have different nutrients, micronutrients and antioxidants. Eating a variety can help provide a more comprehensive consumption of nutrient content.
 - Fruits and vegetables have different effects on glycemic load, a measure of how a food impacts blood sugar levels. Non-starchy vegetables such as cauliflower and broccoli and fruits such as berries and pears have a low glycemic load (i.e., a relatively small impact on blood sugar) and may be associated with weight loss. Starchy vegetables such as corn and potatoes have a high glycemic load and may be associated with weight gain.⁴⁸

ADDITIONAL NOTES

- Studies on dietary interventions often rely on self-report. More studies are needed that include objective measures of dietary behaviors and environmental factors such as body weight or other metabolic metrics, or data on actual canteen/vending machine sales.³¹
- Studies show that financial barriers are an important consideration in promoting increased fruit and vegetable intake.⁴⁹ Economic incentives have been demonstrated to be effective in specifically promoting fruit and vegetable consumption.⁵⁰

FEATURE N02: NUTRITIONAL TRANSPARENCY

OVERVIEW

Part 1: Provide nutritional information for all packaged foods and beverages sold or provided on a daily basis within the project boundary and provide calorie labeling for all standard menu items.

Part 2: Provide point-of-decision signage that prompts individuals to report food allergies to staff and ensure that all food service staff have access to annual food allergy training.

Part 3: Label high sugar content for standard menu items.

SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND

- Improving upon a person's knowledge base can make attention, comprehension, memory and decision-making more efficient.⁵¹ General nutrition knowledge, coupled with nutritional information, can help individuals pay more attention to food labels, remember and understand relevant takeaways from the label and support healthier consumption decisions based on label information.⁵²
 - Nutritional transparency is especially important for the millions of individuals with food allergies and food intolerances who must navigate many issues when dining away from home.
- A food allergy is a medical condition in which exposure to a food allergen triggers an allergic reaction, an immune response triggered by proteins in the food.
 - While most symptoms of an allergic reaction are moderate (itchy mouth, hives, difficulty breathing), anaphylaxis is a serious allergic reaction that can cause death.⁵³
- Both natural and added sugars have no nutritional value or benefit beyond being a source of calories (energy). Nutrition facts labels and ingredient lists are useful tools for monitoring consumption of sugars.
 - Some studies suggest that sugar consumption, especially through sugar-sweetened beverages (SSBs), provides energy that takes the place of other, more nutritious energy sources. This contributes to weight gain as well as an increased risk of several diseases, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, obesity and colorectal cancer.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁷

KEY HEALTH AND WELL-BEING EFFECTS

- Excessive consumption of unhealthy foods (e.g., sugar or calorie-dense foods) is an important contributing factor to weight gain and obesity, which further increases risks of other chronic conditions such as diabetes, coronary artery disease, heart failure, psychiatric disorders and several cancers.⁵⁸⁻⁶¹
 - Ultra-processed foods are often ready-to-eat, highly processed and packaged food products such as SSBs, ready-made meals and processed meats. In a cohort study of more than 40,000 French adults, a 10% increase in the proportion of ultra-processed food consumption was associated with a 14% higher risk of mortality.⁶²
- The consumption of added sugars is associated with weight gain, obesity, hypertension, heart disease, diabetes, cavities and metabolic syndrome (several conditions that collectively increase the risk of stroke, heart disease and diabetes).⁶³⁻⁶⁵
 - In an analysis from the Nurses' Health Study II, women who reported consuming one or more SSBs per day had an 83% greater risk of developing type II diabetes compared to women who consumed less than one SSB per month.⁶⁶
 - In another study assessing metabolic syndrome in adolescents, those who reported average daily sugar intake of 81.8-186.0 (3rd-5th quintiles) grams/day were five times more likely to have metabolic syndrome compared to adolescents who consumed an average of 30.2 (1st quintile) grams/day.⁶⁷

HEALTH PROMOTION BENEFITS AND STRATEGIES

- A review of data from countries around the world indicates that nutrition labeling on prepackaged foods is a primary vehicle for delivering nutrition information that consumers desire and perceive as credible but the extent to which labels are used by consumers varies by subgroups.^{68,69} Children, adolescents, and older, obese adults appear to consult nutrition labels less frequently than other subgroups.⁶⁹
- Consumers often consult the ingredient list on food labels, indicating that the provision of this information may influence purchasing decisions. Studies show that between 52% of consumers in one study based in the United States and to up to 78% of consumers in one study based in Malaysia review ingredient lists.^{70,71}

- Most ingredient lists indicate the presence of common food allergens. Although there is no global food allergen labeling framework, most developed countries require mandatory labeling of common food allergens, though which allergens are required to be disclosed significantly varies between countries.⁷²
- A study in the United Kingdom with young adults in a university setting found that using nutrition fact labels was associated with higher fruit and vegetable consumption and lower fat intake.⁷³ Another U.S.-based study, similarly with young adults in a university setting, found that those who regularly used nutrition facts information had higher diet quality, assessed in terms of greater consumption of fruits and vegetables.⁷⁴
- A review of data collected across several European countries showed that the majority of people reported seeking out calorie information for food and beverage items.⁷⁵ Consumers typically appear to underestimate the number of calories in the foods consumed and the greater the number of calories in a meal, the more significant the underestimation.⁷⁶⁻⁷⁸
- As there is no cure for food allergies, avoidance of food allergens is a recommended strategy for helping prevent serious allergic reactions, such as anaphylaxis.⁵³
 - Food allergy training is a best practice to help ensure that all food service staff better understand how to address potential food allergens and intolerances. Trainings should provide evidence-based information on food allergies and best practices for allergy management, which include reducing the risk for cross-contact, an emergency response plan, communications protocols and ingredient disclosure.⁷⁹

ADDITIONAL NOTES

- Some studies report that nutritional information affects dietary intake to some degree, other studies have reported mixed findings.⁸⁰⁻⁸² However, more methodologically sound research is needed that uses consistent, validated tools to operationalize and measure both nutritional information (and specific types of information such as calorie content versus macronutrient content versus ingredient lists) and dietary intake.⁸³⁻⁸⁵
- Findings are mixed on whether or not nutritional information is associated with improvements in some objective measures such as changes in body mass index.⁸⁶
- Emphasis should be placed on sodium intake as well, as it closely links with hypertension and cardiovascular disease and is a major health concern in countries such as China, compared to sugar intake.^{87,88}
 - High sodium consumption is defined as >2 grams per day, and main dietary sources are from salt or from sodium glutamate as a condiment in many regions of the world.⁸⁹
- The presentation of nutritional information is only as useful as consumers are able to understand that information and use it to inform decision-making.⁹⁰ Beyond nutritional information, nutrition education is necessary for that consumers to better understand the information provided and how it may affect their health and well-being.^{75,84,91,92}
- Studies indicate that consumers often do not read or else misinterpret labels, suggesting that care must be taken to make labeling is clearer and more prominent.^{93,94}
 - Although consumers seek out caloric information, about 88% of survey respondents in a European sample incorrectly estimated daily caloric needs, suggesting that nutritional information of this kind should be coupled with nutrition education.⁷⁵
- Beyond comprehensive nutrition education, there may be opportunities to improve nutrition labeling through the design of labels by reducing label complexity, conveying numeric information in a more intuitive way, visually highlighting key information through larger font or placement on the label and any efforts that can clarify or contextualize any technical terms or concepts (e.g., defining percent daily value, explaining the relationship between total calories and calories from fat).^{75,95,96}
 - In 2015, France was the first country to implement a stoplight color-coded (green, yellow, red) front-of-pack labelling (FOPL) recommendation for all processed food products, as recommended by the European Food and Nutrition Action Plan 2015-2020.^{97,98}
 - In a large cohort study of more than 13,000 adults assessing five types of food labels, the stoplight FOPL option ranked highest as easiest to identify and understand while accurately portraying nutritional information to consumers.⁹⁸

FEATURE N03: REFINED INGREDIENTS

OVERVIEW

Part 1: Limit the amount of sugar per serving in foods and beverages provided daily by the project.

Part 2: Provide whole grain food offerings priced equal to or less than non-whole grain foods.

SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND

- Foods and ingredients that have been processed or altered in some way are considered “refined.” Refined foods typically are stripped of several nutrients and have additional artificial ingredients added.
- Nutritionally speaking, sugar (including natural and added or free sugars) has no nutritional value or benefit beyond calories (energy).
- Sugar-sweetened beverages (SSBs) are liquids that are sweetened with various forms of added sugars. These beverages may include sodas, sports drinks, energy drinks, sweetened waters and coffee and tea beverages.⁶⁵ Most SSBs provide few or no nutrients and are the primary source of added sugar consumption among children, while accounting for a third of the added sugar consumption in adults.^{99,100}
 - Some studies suggest that sugar consumption, especially through SSBs, provides energy that takes the place of other, more nutritious energy sources and thereby contributes to weight gain as well as increased risk of several chronic diseases, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes and obesity.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁷
- Whole grain foods contain at least 50% whole grains and are better sources of dietary fiber than refined grains.¹⁰¹⁻¹⁰⁴
 - Dietary fiber helps maintain blood sugar levels and a healthy weight, promote normal bowel movements and bowel health, and lower cholesterol levels and reduces the risk of cardiovascular disease.¹⁰⁵

KEY HEALTH AND WELL-BEING EFFECTS

- Avoiding the consumption of added sugars can prevent associated health risks, which include weight gain, obesity, hypertension, heart disease, diabetes, cavities and metabolic syndrome (several conditions that collectively increase the risk of stroke, heart disease and diabetes).⁶³⁻⁶⁵
 - A large cohort study including more than 100,000 adult women and men found that consumption of SSBs and artificially sweetened beverages was associated with early mortality. One extra 350 ml [12 oz] serving of a sugary drink daily was linked to a 7% increased risk of death overall and a 10% increased risk of death from cardiovascular disease.¹⁰⁶
- A high-fiber diet, such as one that includes more whole grains, can reduce the risk of cardiovascular disease, diabetes, heart disease, stroke, hypertension, obesity and some gastrointestinal diseases, including cancer.^{63,103,104,107,108}
 - Studies indicate that the relative risk of coronary heart disease, cardiovascular disease, total cancer and all-cause mortality (i.e., deaths from any cause in a given population or subset of a population across a certain length of time) is reduced by 19%, 22%, 15% and 17%, respectively, when individuals consume three servings of whole grains a day.¹⁰⁹

HEALTH PROMOTION BENEFITS AND STRATEGIES

- Reducing sugar intake to less than 10% of total energy intake is linked to fewer dental cavities in both adults and children and a lower sugar intake is associated with a healthier, lower body weight. Evidence also suggests reducing sugar intake to less than 5% of total energy intake may further prevent cavities.¹¹⁰⁻¹¹²
 - For 2,000 calories a day, 10% of total energy intake and 5% of total energy intake translate to 50 grams and 25 grams, respectively, of sugar.
 - Sugar consumption levels vary by a number of factors worldwide, including age, regional setting and country. In some communities, sugar can account for 7% of total energy intake, while in other communities it can make up 25% of total energy intake.¹¹³
- Limiting the availability of SSBs while increasing the availability of healthier alternatives (e.g., water) is an effective strategy to reduce SSB consumption and increase consumption of the healthier alternatives.^{65,114}
- Consuming whole grains instead of refined grains can help individuals meet recommended daily fiber intakes.
- Lowering prices for whole grains and other healthy options may reduce deaths associated with heart disease, stroke and diabetes.¹¹⁵

- Promoting a variety of whole grains, such as kernels of rye, oat, wheat, barley, millet, maize and rice, provides options to consumers to diversify their macro and micronutrient intake.¹¹⁶
 - The Whole Grains Council has created a whole grains stamp to demarcate products that contain 100% whole grains and 50% whole grains to help consumers easily identify products that offer at least a half serving of whole grains.¹¹⁷

FEATURE N04: FOOD ADVERTISING

OVERVIEW

Part 1: Prohibit advertising of sugar-sweetened beverages (SSBs) and deep-fried foods and promote the consumption of fruits, vegetables and drinking water.

SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND

- A healthy eating or dietary pattern is a critical component of good health and reduces risks of chronic disease and premature death.^{2,27} Sufficient consumption of a variety of fruits and vegetables is an essential component of a healthy eating pattern that can help individuals achieve adequate intakes of necessary micronutrients and dietary fiber.¹⁹ Generally, a healthy eating pattern also includes whole grains and protein-rich foods, such as nuts or beans, while limiting consumption of red meat, saturated and trans fats, high-sodium foods and energy-dense nutrient-poor foods such as those with added sugars.⁶⁵
- Fruits and vegetables refer to a diverse group of plant foods widely recommended in a healthy diet. They are high in vitamins, minerals, electrolytes and antioxidants and are an excellent source of dietary fiber, which is required for a healthy digestive system.¹
- The World Health Organization (WHO) and the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations recommend a minimum of 400 grams (equivalent to about five servings) of fruits and vegetables a day.²
- In addition to consuming healthy foods, adequate hydration is part of a healthy eating pattern. The daily recommended total water intake is about 2.7 L [91 oz] for women and 3.7 L [125 oz] for men which can come from drinking water and other beverages as well as consuming high-moisture foods, such as fruits, vegetables and soups.¹¹⁸

KEY HEALTH AND WELL-BEING EFFECTS

- Liquid calories, such as those from SSBs, are less satiating and thereby may lead to overconsumption.¹¹³ Reduced consumption of SSBs is strongly associated with reduced risks of obesity and type II diabetes¹¹⁹ and properly managing diet-related chronic conditions is associated with reduced risk of cancer.¹²⁰
- A review of several studies indicates that the consumption of fried foods — which are typically energy-dense and associated with higher intake of saturated and trans fats — has been linked to higher risks of type II diabetes, heart failure, high blood pressure and obesity.¹²¹
 - The potential risks posed by fried foods vary depending on a number of factors, such as the type of oil used, the foods being fried, frying temperature and frying duration.^{122,123} For example, deep frying typically requires high temperatures, around 176.7-187.8 °C (350-370 °F) and the use of polyunsaturated oils like canola, corn and soybean that are easily oxidized, generating potentially carcinogenic (i.e., cancer-causing) compounds like aldehydes and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.¹²⁴⁻¹²⁷ Olive oil, on the other hand, is resistant to oxidation and may present a slightly healthier alternative for use in high temperature cooking.¹²⁸ For example, a study in Spain — where a Mediterranean diet is more common and oils used for frying are more often olive oil or sunflower oil — found that the consumption of fried foods was not associated with increased risk of cardiovascular disease or all-cause mortality (i.e., deaths from any cause in a given population or subset of a population across a certain length of time).¹²⁹
- The WHO recommends a minimum daily intake of 400 g (five servings) of fruits and vegetables to prevent diet-related chronic diseases, including cancer, diabetes, cardiovascular disease and obesity. Particularly if fruits and vegetables replace the consumption of energy-dense nutrient-poor foods, such a diet also may help with weight loss and weight stability.¹³⁰
- Water consumption is associated with improvements in subjective mood, including improved feelings of alertness and calmness and a reduced sense of fatigue.¹³¹⁻¹³⁴
 - In a study conducted with primary school children, students who received a physical and educational intervention (newly installed water fountains and educational lessons) saw a 31% risk reduction in becoming overweight and an increase in average daily water consumption by 200 mL [6.7 oz], compared to students who received no intervention.¹³⁵
 - A systematic review of several studies reports that adults who drink SSBs may consume 7.8% more energy (i.e., calories) at meals compared to adults who drink water at meals.¹³⁶

HEALTH PROMOTION BENEFITS AND STRATEGIES

- The WHO recommends limiting heavy marketing of energy-dense nutrient-poor foods, especially to children, as a strategy to limit the consumption of such foods and mitigate the associated risks of weight gain and obesity.²
 - Advertising of unhealthy food options is ubiquitous. In an examination of fast food, beverage and snack brands advertisements in 2,000 brand posts, 67.9% of foods advertised were unhealthy and 61.2% of beverages were SSBs.¹³⁷ Policies prohibiting these types of food and beverage advertisements can support healthy eating practices.
- While evidence suggests that food advertising is especially influential on children, it is also impactful on influencing food intake of adults.¹³⁸
 - In one study, children who were exposed to food advertising during a television advertisement saw a 45% increase in food consumed, compared to the group that was shown a non-food product advertisement.¹³⁹ Adults who were shown food-related advertisements consumed more healthy and unhealthy-related food snacks post-exposure to advertisements compared to the groups who did not see food-related advertisements.¹³⁹
- The provision of free drinking water has been shown to be an effective strategy for re-directing caloric consumption away from SSBs, while also increasing water consumption rates for both children and adults.^{136,140-143} Substituting SSBs with non-caloric beverages like water is associated with decreased weight gain in both children and adults.^{144,145}

FEATURE N05: ARTIFICIAL INGREDIENTS

OVERVIEW

Part 1: Artificial ingredients in all foods and beverages are completely restricted, or foods and beverages containing artificial ingredients are clearly labeled and a policy is in place to phase out their use.

SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND

- Food additives are substances often used to preserve or enhance the flavor, appearance, shelf life or other cosmetic elements of foods or beverages.¹⁴⁶
- Food additives can include artificial (synthetic) ingredients as well as natural ingredients that are added to foods for preservation purposes. Artificial ingredients (e.g., colorings, sweeteners) make up a subset of food additives (e.g., salt, herbs) that are not naturally-occurring and lack any nutritional value.¹⁴⁷
- The use of food additives has been a common practice for centuries and has become increasingly ubiquitous due to increased preservation of foods to support the world's growing population.¹⁴⁸
 - Over the past century, more than 4,000 novel ingredients have been incorporated into the global food supply, with an average of 1,500 new compounds entering the food market annually.^{149,150} The majority of artificial ingredients have not been evaluated for their effects on metabolic regulation.

KEY HEALTH AND WELL-BEING EFFECTS

- Many food additives, both natural and artificial, are considered safe for consumption. However, some food additives, such as artificial sweeteners, can trigger allergic reactions or intolerance symptoms in certain individuals.^{151,152}
 - Food allergies are characterized by an immune response. Food intolerances, on the other hand, also trigger adverse reactions, but — with the exception of celiac disease — not immune reactions. Therefore, they cannot trigger things like anaphylaxis, a severe allergic reaction that can be life-threatening.¹⁵³
- While most artificial ingredients used in foods are not known to cause health problems, the overconsumption of certain artificial colorings and preservatives has been associated with increased health risks related to metabolic regulation and weight gain, as well as increased risk for irritable bowel syndrome.^{149,154-159}
- Artificial sweeteners may alter the composition and function of the human microbiome (i.e., microorganisms in the body that protect against germs and help break down nutrients).¹⁶⁰ These compositional and functional alterations may increase glucose intolerance and also may increase risks associated with cardiovascular disease, obesity, diabetes and metabolic syndrome.¹⁶¹⁻¹⁶⁷

HEALTH PROMOTION BENEFITS AND STRATEGIES

- Consumers tend to have health concerns around foods with additives, particularly artificial ones, which may influence purchasing and consumption decisions.¹⁶⁸⁻¹⁷¹
- Studies show that people will choose foods without additives when presented with the option to do so.¹⁷²⁻¹⁷⁴ This suggests that providing additive-free foods can be helpful in supporting consumer decisions around food products.

ADDITIONAL NOTES

- Children are especially susceptible to consuming foods with artificial ingredients.^{175,176} In the United States, and other places, racial and ethnic differences also have been documented in differential exposure to food additives.^{177,178}

FEATURE N06: PORTION SIZES

OVERVIEW

Part 1: Limit standard menu items to 650 kcal or have a smaller portion offering available for at least 50% of standard menu items that contain more than 650 kcal. When food is self-serve, provide dishware in age-appropriate sizes.

SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND

- Portion sizes have been on a growing trend for several decades in places like the United States across various food and beverage products and throughout various settings (e.g., restaurants, fast food establishments and in the home).¹⁷⁹⁻¹⁸¹
- The portion size of food offerings has a strong influence on the amount of food actually consumed.^{179,182,183}
- Weight gain is fundamentally a reflection of energy intake (e.g., food consumed) exceeding energy use (e.g., movement and exercise).¹⁸⁴
 - Energy intake and expenditure rates are tied to behavior and environment however, genetic considerations also strongly drive a person's ability or propensity for weight gain or loss, as well a given person's risk for diseases like obesity.¹⁸⁵⁻¹⁸⁷

KEY HEALTH AND WELL-BEING EFFECTS

- Around the world, larger-than-appropriate portions have been demonstrated to contribute to overeating and a greater risk of weight gain.^{179,182,183}
- Maintaining a healthy weight and avoiding weight gain can significantly reduce the risk of numerous health outcomes, including coronary heart disease, type II diabetes, hypertension and several cancers.¹⁸⁸⁻¹⁹⁰
- Weight loss of 5% to 10% of total body weight can reduce, and at times resolve, disorders and conditions associated with weight gain and obesity.^{191,192}
 - One study notes that there are improvements in cholesterol, blood pressure and blood sugar for every kilogram of weight lost and that avoiding weight gain after secondary school can protect against a 5.7% and 3.1% increased risk of coronary heart disease for women and men, respectively.¹⁹⁰

HEALTH PROMOTION BENEFITS AND STRATEGIES

- Several studies, including a Cochrane Systematic Review of 72 randomized controlled trial studies, have determined that there is ample and strong evidence that restricting the size, availability and promotion of larger-sized portions and dishware of both foods and beverages can meaningfully reduce the amount of food actually consumed.^{193,194}
- Dishware size has been shown to significantly influence self-reported sensations of fullness in both children and adults.^{194,195}
- Several studies demonstrate that children given adult-sized portions or adult-sized dishware consistently consume more food (estimated up to 25% more by one study) than when given age-appropriate portions or dishware sizes. A similar relationship is observed when comparing adult consumption between smaller- versus larger- sized portions or dishware sizes.^{193,194,196,197}
- Even small decreases in dishware sizes can affect the amount of energy (i.e., calories) available for consumption. Shifting from a 25 cm [10 in] diameter plate to a 20 cm [8 in] diameter plate results in a 67% decrease in the amount of energy presented to the consumer.¹⁹⁸
 - Among students in a university setting, those using a plate of 25 cm [10 in] diameter compared to a plate of 30 cm [12 in] diameter reported serving themselves smaller portions than those in the larger plate group.¹⁹⁹
- A quantitative review of evidence from several studies in the United Kingdom found that reducing portion and dishware sizes across the whole diet (i.e., all foods and beverages consumed in a day) could reduce daily energy consumption by up to 228 kcal for children and adults.¹⁹³

ADDITIONAL NOTES

- Portion control efforts tend to address overeating rather than undereating and there are more studies on the negative health effects associated with being overweight or obese than underweight. However, there are also significant health risks associated with being underweight, including a potential increased risk of all-cause mortality

(i.e., deaths from any cause in a given population or subset of a population across a certain length of time) compared to healthy weight individuals.^{200,201}

FEATURE N07: NUTRITION EDUCATION

OVERVIEW

Part 1: Offer nutrition education in the form of cooking demonstrations, dietary workshops, nutrition consultations or gardening workshops led by certified professionals.

SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND

- Reports show that fruit and vegetable consumption around the world is below recommended levels.²⁰²⁻²⁰⁴
- Health-related behaviors formed in childhood and adolescence often track to adulthood, making early intervention critical for establishing healthy habits, including good diet and nutrition.²⁰⁵⁻²⁰⁸
- Health literacy refers to an individual's cognitive and social ability to access, correctly interpret and understand basic health information as well as the resources needed to act on those understandings and make decisions that promote and maintain individual and community health.²⁰⁹⁻²¹²
- Nutrition literacy is a subset of broader health literacy. It is an emerging topic that refers to the capacity to obtain and process nutrition-related information necessary to make appropriate food decisions.²¹³ Food literacy goes a step further and includes the application of nutritional information to make food choices and shape behaviors.^{213,214} In some cases, food literacy includes food preparation knowledge and cooking skills obtained through social, cultural and environmental experiences.²¹⁵⁻²¹⁷

KEY HEALTH AND WELL-BEING EFFECTS

- Nutrition has a strong impact on an individual's risk of weight gain or obesity as demonstrated by studies in both developing and developed countries.²¹⁸⁻²²¹
- Inadequate nutrition and unhealthy diets and practices are strongly implicated in several major chronic diseases, such as cardiovascular disease, diabetes and cancer.²²²⁻²²⁴ Making healthy modifications to diets and nutritional behaviors is recommended as a preventative measure against the development of such chronic diseases.^{221,225}
- Health literacy is a key determinant of health status.²²⁶ Individuals with low health literacy tend to have greater difficulty in managing chronic health conditions.²²⁷
- Individuals with high food literacy are more likely to make healthy nutritional and dietary choices, including improvements in fruit and vegetable consumption and purchasing healthier foods, even when doing so is inconvenient, and have higher confidence in cooking.^{216,228}

HEALTH PROMOTION BENEFITS AND STRATEGIES

- Nutrition education should aim to increase awareness and motivation by addressing beliefs and attitudes, facilitating an ability to take actions to meet goals for healthier eating and self-regulation and involving an environmental component to ensure that the environment supports the action.²²⁹
 - A review of evidence from more than 300 studies indicates that nutrition education is most effective when there is a focus on behavior and action as opposed to solely on improving knowledge.²²⁹
- Education (information and skills) that facilitates more meals at home represents a promising strategy for healthier changes in eating patterns in both children and adults. Cooking classes that demonstrate cooking skills and integrate hands-on learning have been shown to positively affect attitudes and enjoyment related to cooking and improve food preparation knowledge and abilities.^{230,231} Further, cooking demonstrations led by professionals have been shown to be effective in teaching food-related skills, broadening diets (e.g., enjoying new foods and a variety of foods) and increasing fruit and vegetable consumption.²³²⁻²³⁴
 - The importance of learning cooking skills at an early age has been well demonstrated.^{235,236} One longitudinal study found that adolescents who assisted in food preparation were more likely to sustain that behavior five years later.²⁰⁸ Other studies similarly show that engaging children and adolescents in meal preparation can lead to healthier diets, but it should be noted that these studies largely assume that participants have regular access to food and live in a conventional family-style environment.²³⁷⁻²⁴¹
- Studies consistently show that the effectiveness of health interventions that aim to change dietary behaviors and outcomes are greatly enhanced with multimodal programming.^{242,243} This means that although there are benefits to providing education alone, interventions that combine education with other strategies, such as policy or environmental changes, are much more effective.^{5,244,245}

- There is a substantial body of support for the effectiveness of nutrition education interventions in schools.^{246,247} Such education has been found to change attitudes about healthy foods, improve self-efficacy, influence food preferences and, in some cases, increase consumption of fruits and vegetables and reduce rates of weight gain and obesity.²⁴⁶⁻²⁴⁸
 - The most effective intervention programs typically last longer than a year, involve parents, are integrated into existing curriculum and are further supported by on-site provision of fruits and vegetables.²⁴⁶
 - Successful nutrition education expands beyond basic nutrition information to include consideration of food preferences as well as barriers to adopting preventive behaviors and strategies that are age- and culturally-appropriate.²⁴⁹⁻²⁵¹
- Hands-on nutrition education experiences, such as gardening of edible plants, also may help encourage healthy dietary choices.²⁵² In a study that assessed the impact of a school garden on dietary choices, students who were in the intervention group that received nutrition education paired with gardening time were significantly more likely to consume vegetables during lunch than the other two comparison groups (those who only received nutrition education and a control group).²⁵²

FEATURE N08: MINDFUL EATING

OVERVIEW

Part 1: Provide dedicated eating space that contains tables and chairs to support the provision of daily meal breaks.

SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND

- Mindfulness – the practice of cultivating awareness of internal thoughts, emotions and bodily sensations – has been linked to improved physical and psychological well-being, including the reduction of anxiety and mood problems and depression.²⁵³⁻²⁵⁵
- Research has shown that eating often is carried out absent-mindedly or ‘mindlessly’ and is accompanied by habitualized consumption patterns.²⁵⁵⁻²⁵⁷
- Therefore, eating often is initiated according to external versus internal cues, which influence how we eat and perceive food.^{255,258}
 - Environmental cues can trigger physiological reactions in the body. Discrete, contextual and temporal cues associated with meals have been shown to influence the release of gut hormones, such as ghrelin and insulin, which play a role in hunger and satiety.^{259,260}
- A large meta-analysis confirmed that eating habits are also strongly influenced by social norms and the eating habits of those nearby through a phenomenon called modelling.^{261,262}
- A clinical review found that good social relationships are vital for good mental and physical health, while a meta review of systematic reviews found that social isolation and loneliness have been linked to worse cardiovascular and health outcomes and are significantly associated with an increase in all-cause mortality.^{263,264}

KEY HEALTH AND WELL-BEING EFFECTS

- Eating behaviors are influenced by a host of factors that extend beyond hunger, including economic, social, psychological and environmental design determinants.^{265,266}
- Eating alone and distracted eating have become emerging concerns and are associated with a variety of social and health outcomes.²⁶⁷
- One study found that people who tend to eat alone may be more likely to choose unhealthier foods, eat fewer fruits and vegetables and eat at irregular times.²⁶⁵
 - Both men and women who live alone experience lower intake of a variety of vegetables, with an increased effect observed in those who experience infrequent friend interaction.²⁶⁵
- Eating alone more than two times a day is associated with an increased risk of metabolic syndrome, heart disease, stroke and diabetes in both men and women.²⁶⁷
 - Metabolic syndrome is a cluster of factors, including abdominal obesity, elevated blood pressure, high triglycerides (TG) and low HDL cholesterol levels and insulin resistance.²⁶⁸
- There is some evidence to indicate that distracted eating while working, reading, watching television or listening to music is associated with higher food intake and a disconnection between desire to eat and actual consumption.²⁶⁹⁻²⁷¹
 - A meta-analysis of ten studies that examined the effect of distracted eating and caloric intake found a statistically significant increase in immediate caloric intake.²⁷⁰
 - A meta-analysis of four studies that examined the effect of distracted eating and delayed caloric intake found a statistically significant intake in calories.²⁷⁰
- Distracted eating is associated with a reduction in memory of calorie and meal intake, both in the moment of intake and afterwards.²⁷⁰
- Studies have also found that eating while performing higher-cognitive tasks led those on diets to overeat and resulted in a decrease in taste perception and intensity for all participants.²⁷²
 - Conversely, those who were not on diets were found to eat less when under stress.^{273,274}
 - So-called ‘stress-eating’ has been found to lead to less taste perception and is influenced by a variety of individual characteristics, particularly gender, as it is more common among women.²⁷⁵⁻²⁷⁸

HEALTH PROMOTION BENEFITS AND STRATEGIES

- Research has shown a positive relationship between mindful eating and mental well-being.²⁷⁰

- Providing opportunities for more mindful and attentive eating during meals may lead to better control of one's food intake.²⁷⁹
- There is strong evidence that mindfulness, even in the absence of specific instruction on mindful eating, may encourage healthier weight and eating habits. Results across four studies found a positive relationship between mindfulness and healthier eating, including less impulsive eating, reduced caloric consumption and healthier snack choices.²⁸⁰
 - Mindfulness has been shown to be an effective practice for individuals with eating disorders, as it helps cultivate conscious and healthy eating behaviors, including the reduction of compulsive overeating, as well as emotional and disinhibited eating, which is associated with smaller serving sizes.^{257,281}
- The provision of dedicated eating spaces can encourage individuals to enjoy meals together, prevent distracted eating at workstations and lead to better eating habits.
 - As well, lunch breaks and snack breaks throughout the day provide essential recovery periods to disengage from daily work tasks and recharge.²⁷⁹
- Designated meal periods can provide individuals the time to eat meals mindfully.

ADDITIONAL NOTES

- Time pressure while eating has been found to have negative impacts on eating habits, emphasizing the importance of having both the time and space to eat. School aged children who had less than 20 minutes to eat, drank 10% less milk, ate 12% fewer vegetables and consumed 13% less of their entrée.^{282,283}
- Special consideration should be given to vulnerable populations who may be at a higher risk for loneliness, such as seniors or individuals with chronic illness. A cross-sectional study found that individuals in the lowest income bracket who are also regularly ill are twice as likely to report severe loneliness.²⁸⁴

FEATURE N09: SPECIAL DIETS

OVERVIEW

Part 1: Provide main dish options that do not contain the most common food allergens.

Part 2: Label food allergens for all foods and beverages at point-of-decision.

SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND

- The World Allergy Organization reports that the prevalence of food allergies is increasing in countries around the world.²⁸⁵
- A food allergy refers to when the body's immune system responds abnormally to a specific food.²⁸⁶ Food allergy reactions can vary from mild to severe and are potentially life-threatening.
- There are approximately 160 foods, called food allergens, that can trigger an abnormal immune response (i.e., an allergic reaction) in sensitive people.²⁸⁷ However, milk, egg, peanut, tree nuts, fish, shellfish, wheat and soy account for the majority of allergic reactions in most countries.
- Food intolerances are a related but distinct phenomenon that can trigger some of the same symptoms as food allergies but do not involve the immune system (with the one exception of celiac disease).¹⁵³ Food intolerance symptoms involve the digestive system, with gradually appearing symptoms that flare up when a specific food is consumed in larger quantity or with greater frequency.

KEY HEALTH AND WELL-BEING EFFECTS

- Anaphylaxis is the most serious type of allergic reaction to a food allergen and can be life-threatening.²⁸⁸ It is characterized by a severe drop in blood pressure, swollen throat, difficulty breathing, rapid pulse and lightheadedness.
- Allergic reactions to food may trigger the development of gastrointestinal disorders, including eosinophilic esophagitis, eosinophilic gastroenteritis, food protein-induced allergic proctocolitis, food protein-induced enterocolitis syndrome, immediate gastrointestinal hypersensitivity and oral allergy syndrome.²⁸⁹ Some food allergies can cause general gastrointestinal distress, including an itching sensation in the mouth, swollen tongue or lips and digestive issues, such as abdominal cramps and pain, diarrhea and vomiting.^{290,291}
- Food allergies can have adverse effects on the skin, including triggering hives and facial swelling, as well as the development of certain skin disorders like atopic dermatitis.²⁸⁹⁻²⁹¹
- The respiratory system can be affected by food allergies, inducing itching, tightening and swelling of the throat and vocal cords, as well as trouble breathing.²⁸⁷

HEALTH PROMOTION BENEFITS AND STRATEGIES

- It is estimated that less than a dozen foods out of the 160 potential food allergens may be responsible for up to 90% of allergic reactions.²⁸⁷ Therefore, offering meal alternatives that do not contain egg, fish, shellfish, gluten, lactose, peanut, soy, tree nuts and wheat helps provide people in a space with the ability to enjoy a regular, balanced diet without fear of consuming allergens.
- There is no consensus on a minimum level of an allergenic food that can trigger an allergic reaction, and even trace amounts have caused reactions in sensitive individuals.^{292,293} Taken together with the lack of available, effective medical preventative or post-exposure treatments means that strict avoidance of allergen-containing foods is currently the most effective way to prevent an allergic reaction.^{292,294,295}
- Food allergen labeling regulations vary around the world and typically include a list of mandatory and voluntary food allergens to label.²⁹⁶ The most commonly labeled food allergens include crustacean shellfish, egg, fish, milk, peanut, soy, tree nuts, wheat, gluten and sulfites.²⁹⁶
- Although legislation in many countries requires allergen labeling on pre-packaged foods, labelling is not typically required in restaurants and other food service establishments. However, there is some advocacy for labeling food allergens in foods served in restaurants, noting that proper labelling would allow individuals to more easily avoid the foods to which they are allergic.²⁹⁷
 - The minimum amount of protein from an allergenic food that can cause a reaction varies by protein and by a given person's level of sensitivity.²⁹²

- At a minimum, labeling that identifies when an allergen has been intentionally added to a product is important information for helping consumers avoid foods that can trigger allergic reactions. Precautionary allergen labeling (PAL), which identifies cases where there may be the unintentional presence of allergens in a product, can be additionally helpful information.⁹³
 - Studies suggest that consumers often do not read or can misinterpret labels, suggesting that care must be taken to help make labeling clear and prominent.^{93,94}
 - PAL is not mandatory nor employed across all countries or consistently within countries. This leads to confusion around how to interpret these labels and whether or not foods that do not contain advisory labels are actually safe to consume for sensitive individuals.⁹³

ADDITIONAL NOTES

- There are challenges to collecting accurate data on food allergies on a global scale due to differences in measuring techniques in a population, but trends suggest that the prevalence of food allergies is increasing in the developed world.^{298,299} Children, particularly infants and preschool children, are especially vulnerable to food allergies, with an estimated 6-8% of children worldwide affected, according to global surveys.^{285,299-301}
- Trends in the developing world, for both adults and children, are understudied and require further investigation to characterize accurately.^{298,302}
- Other types of special diets can be based in religious practices, vegetarianism or veganism, and medical conditions (such as diabetes) and can be accommodated within the special diet scope.³⁰³

FEATURE N10: FOOD PREPARATION

OVERVIEW

Part 1: Provide a food preparation area, storage space and other amenities to support the reassembly or reheating of meals on-site.

SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND

- The consumption of foods away from home has steadily increased since the 1970s.³⁰⁴
 - Foods that are purchased away from home have increasingly become higher in total caloric levels, saturated fat, and total fat, as well as lower in dietary nutrients, such as fiber, calcium, and iron, in comparison to foods that are prepared at home.³⁰⁴
- Consuming meals prepared away from the home even once a week is associated with an increased risk of overweight and obesity as well as increased insulin levels and mean percentage body fat.³⁰⁵
- Supporting and prioritizing home cooked meals as the main source of a diet can encourage healthful and nutritious eating habits.³⁰⁶
- Working adults who have less than one hour a day to cook are more likely to spend money on food away from home.³⁰⁷

KEY HEALTH AND WELL-BEING EFFECTS

- Both systematic reviews and population-based studies have found that eating meals cooked at home is associated with a better-quality diet and reduced obesity.³⁰⁸⁻³¹⁰
 - Eating away from home is linked to higher consumption of energy, fat, saturated fat and sodium and a decreased consumption of fruits, vegetables and whole grains.³¹⁰
 - Young adults who engaged in food preparation had better dietary habits five years later, including a higher rate of consumption of fruits and vegetables.³⁰⁹
- Meals consumed away from home are often higher in calories, lower in nutrients and larger in portion size.³¹¹
 - Food away from home and fast-food establishments typically provide energy-dense options, heavy in total fat and sugar content.³¹²
 - Fast food portions have evolved over time. As one study reports, current French fry, hamburger, and soft drink sizes have increased two to five times their original sizing over the past 30 years.³¹²
- Americans spend 42% of their overall food budget on food away from home.³¹¹
 - On average, one meal eaten away from home increases a person's daily calorie intake by 143 kcals. Eating away from home one time a week averages to two additional pounds of weight per year.³¹¹
- Emerging research has found an association between eating away from home and a higher BMI and lower fruit and vegetable consumption in adults.³¹²
 - Mean BMI was higher in women who ate away from home five or more times a week compared to women who rarely ate away from home (zero to one time a week).³¹²
 - Among men, eating away from the home either two to four times a week or five or more times a week had a statistically significant increase in BMI compared to eating away from the home zero to one time a week.³¹²
- Analysis of dietary intake data also shows that individuals who consume food prepared at home tend to have a better diet quality than individuals who purchase food away from home.³¹¹

HEALTH PROMOTION BENEFITS AND STRATEGIES

- Research from schools has shown that spaces that allow individuals to reheat or assemble food prepared at home can support healthy eating habits and cooking skills.³¹³
- Sufficient cold storage space can accommodate the storage needs of individuals who bring meals from home.
 - Insufficient food storage, in terms of lack of fridge and/or microwave access, has been cited as a workplace barrier to healthy meal choices.³¹⁴
- Other supportive amenities, including eating utensils and devices for reheating food, can make it even easier for individuals to consume homemade meals and encourage healthy eating patterns.
 - By providing amenities to support meal production in the workplace, consumption of minimally processed, nutrient-dense foods is encouraged.³¹³

- Cultivating healthful food environments is a component of the national strategy to alleviate childhood obesity. Methods of reduction include minimizing fast food chains surrounding schools, informative food displays, and appropriate time allotment for meals to encourage healthy eating patterns.³¹³ The same strategy can be utilized in workplace wellness programs to facilitate healthier food choices.

FEATURE N11: RESPONSIBLE FOOD SOURCING

OVERVIEW

Part 1: Foods and beverages are certified and labelled for organic or humane food production methods and locally sourced foods are promoted through point-of-decision signage.

SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND

- The high level of demand for animal food products has given rise to farming practices adopted by some industrial farming operations that maximize efficiency and production capacity but often can come at the cost of animal welfare and potentially consumer health.³¹⁵⁻³¹⁷
- These practices include intensive confinement (e.g., use of gestation, veal and battery cages), feed contamination (from fecal matter or using other animal products in feed) and non-therapeutic antibiotic use (i.e., preventative/prophylactic medication of animals).³¹⁵⁻³¹⁷

KEY HEALTH AND WELL-BEING EFFECTS

- Blood and urine samples from children and adults from studies across the European Union indicate that people commonly are exposed to pesticide residues, especially organophosphates (a chemical class of insecticide) and insecticides.³¹⁸⁻³²³
- Pesticide exposure related to conventional crop production processes have been associated with increased risks of type II diabetes, Parkinson's disease and some cancers, including leukemia and brain tumors in children, as well as neurobehavioral impairments.³²⁴⁻³³⁴
 - Many of these studies, which investigate occupational exposure (e.g., agricultural workers) or the effects of exposure through conventional crop consumption in the general public, remain unclear and require further study.³³⁵
- The way animals are treated in food production farms (e.g., husbandry practices, feed quality, hygiene and antibiotic use) can have an impact on human health.^{336,337} For example, animal husbandry practices adopted by some conventional farms result in a large number of animals kept in close proximity. This creates conditions that more easily transmit diseases across livestock, which can lead to illnesses in humans.³³⁸⁻³⁴¹
 - Outbreaks within the past several years related to Salmonella, severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease ("mad cow disease") have been linked to inhumane and unhygienic farming practices, such as the intensive confinement of a large number of animals into small cages.³³⁸⁻³⁴⁰
- The non-therapeutic use of antibiotics in animals for food has been proposed as a potential cause for growing antimicrobial resistance in humans, particularly given that agricultural use represents the largest use of antimicrobial drugs worldwide.³⁴²⁻³⁴⁴
 - Certain studies have suggested that prophylactic antibiotics in animals (i.e., use regardless of the presence of disease), common in some conventional farms, does indeed contribute to antibiotic resistance in humans consuming food products derived from those animals, but findings are mixed and additional studies are needed.³⁴⁴⁻³⁴⁶

HEALTH PROMOTION BENEFITS AND STRATEGIES

- While several reviews comparing organic food products (both plant- and animal-based) to conventional food products report that organic practices typically result in food products with lower levels of pesticide residues and antibiotics, long-term data still is lacking, and it remains unclear if the differences in food content translate to actual differences in dietary intake or human health outcomes.^{335,343,344,347-349}
 - Studies show that the nutritional content of some organic foods differs from that of conventional foods. Organic crops have been shown to have higher levels of antioxidants and organic milk has been shown to contain higher concentrations of omega-3 fatty acids (fatty acids linked to a variety of health benefits) whereas conventional crops have been found to have higher concentrations of cadmium (a heavy metal with toxic properties) and organophosphates (the main component in herbicides, pesticides and insecticides).^{335,343,349}
 - One study in Sweden reported that a diet based on organic food products compared to conventional food products resulted in 70 times lower exposure to pesticide residues, weighted by toxicity.^{335,350}

- Switching dietary patterns to a more plant-based focus also can support management of chronic health conditions, as well as reduce one’s carbon footprint.³⁵¹ By one estimate, modern dietary trends towards refined sugars and fats, oils, and meats, if continued at current rates, will contribute to an 80% increase of global agricultural GHG emissions by 2050.³⁵¹
 - The increase in GHGs – largely due to food production and land clearing – thus can be mitigated by intentional food choices and sourcing.³⁵¹
- A strong and growing body of literature provides support for the benefits of organic farming in terms of improved biodiversity and environmental sustainability, which also ultimately impact human health and well-being, such as through effects on global food security.³⁵²⁻³⁵⁸
- Point-of-decision prompts have been demonstrated to be effective in promoting healthier decision-making across a variety of behaviors (e.g., taking the stairs, choosing more nutritious food options).³⁵⁹⁻³⁶¹
 - Studies report mixed findings on the effectiveness of informational labelling (which, in nutrition research, has been focused almost exclusively on calorie labelling) and suggest that the way information is presented is critical to the effectiveness of this strategy.^{85,359}
 - Information that highlights specific, understandable nutritional tradeoffs or that prompts people to consider their health may be more effective than information presented without any additional context or cues on the way decisions affect health.³⁶²⁻³⁶⁴
- One of the goals of humane agriculture is to address the conditions under which animals are housed and killed for food production.^{365,366} Choosing foods that have been assessed and certified for ethical production practices is one way to verify that consumed animal products have been produced in humane ways.

FEATURE N12: FOOD PRODUCTION

OVERVIEW

Part 1: Provide permanent and accessible space for food production via a garden or greenhouse, edible landscaping or hydroponic or aeroponic farming system.

SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND

- Growing or otherwise having regular access to locally grown foods has been associated with healthier eating habits, increased variety of fruit and vegetable consumption and a higher consumption of fresh fruits and vegetables.^{367,368}
- Currently, both developed and developing nations do not meet the recommended daily nutrient intakes for key food groups, including fruits and vegetables.³⁶⁹ Adequate fruit and vegetable consumption helps provide daily micronutrient, dietary fiber, vitamin and mineral intake.¹
- Urban agriculture is one solution-based initiative to combat urban poverty and food shortages, such as limited access to healthy, culturally-appropriate produce.³⁷⁰

KEY HEALTH AND WELL-BEING EFFECTS

- Studies indicate that gardeners report better perceived general health, mental health and social cohesion compared to non-gardeners, even when controlling for sociodemographic and lifestyle variables.³⁷¹
- Horticultural therapy is the use of purposefully designed garden spaces for therapeutic and rehabilitative benefits. In a review of 21 studies examining the health effects associated with gardening and horticultural therapy, participants reported a wide range of health benefits, including improvements in depression, anxiety, body mass index, life satisfaction, quality of life and sense of community.³⁷¹
- Consumption of on-site farmed produce may reduce pesticide exposure compared to conventional fruits and vegetables found at the grocery store.³⁷²

HEALTH PROMOTION BENEFITS AND STRATEGIES

- From Malawi to Jamaica, community and school gardens that incorporate sustainable gardening practices are collaborative learning environments that benefit the community through recreational space and food production.^{373,374}
 - In the United States, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recommends growing vegetables in school gardens as one of the ways to increase the intake of fruits and vegetables for students.³⁷⁵ The U.S. Department of Agriculture supports farm-to-school programs by providing funding, training, research and technical support.³⁷⁶
 - In settings with limited space and capacity for live plants, hydroponic and aeroponic plant-cultivation systems can overcome these challenges. Hydroponics refers to a process where plants are grown in sand, gravel or liquid, with added nutrients instead of traditional soil. In aeroponic systems, plants require very little growing medium and have their roots suspended in the air while nutrients are delivered through a fine mist.³⁷⁷
- Advantages of consuming locally grown produce include access to food at peak ripeness, greater plant variety and the prioritization of plant taste over longevity.³⁷⁸ Further, facilitating access to affordable, local fruits and vegetables can help remove geographic and economic barriers, particularly for vulnerable populations.^{379,380}
- Evidence from developing countries using nutrition-sensitive agriculture interventions shows that gardening and food production training programs are associated with greater yields and more diverse produce consumption, reductions in adverse health outcomes and women empowerment.³⁸¹
- Multiple organizations support school gardens to promote students' knowledge and behavior towards healthy foods, agriculture and the environment. The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) reports that school farms increase children's preference for fruits and vegetables, result in voluntary diet changes, improve understanding of the natural environment, result in higher student retention and improve relationships and social cohesion³⁷⁴.
 - In addition, findings from a randomized control trial that provided gardens as an intervention to elementary schools showed a decrease in sedentary activity within children who received outdoor, garden-based lessons compared to children who received indoor, classroom-based lessons.³⁸²

ADDITIONAL NOTES

- Vulnerable populations, such as children and individuals with chronic conditions, may benefit more from nearby permanent and accessible space for food production compared to healthy adults.^{383-385,386}
- Research shows that sensory gardens, therapeutic horticulture, horticultural therapy and other purposeful uses of plants can improve the behavior and well-being of dementia patients. Other benefits include improvements in sleep patterns and energy levels. These findings suggest that the use of existing or planned gardens paired with an educational program for healthcare staff may be a useful non-pharmacological intervention for dementia care.³⁸⁷

FEATURE N13: LOCAL FOOD ENVIRONMENT

OVERVIEW

Part 1: Facilitate access to fruits and vegetables through on-site programming or locating the project within 400 m [0.25 mi] of a supermarket, grocery store or farmers' market.

SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND

- The built environment can have a positive or negative impact on physical activity, body weight and dietary patterns.³⁸⁸⁻³⁹² Therefore, it is critical to consider how the planning, design and construction of communities, and the various spaces within them, shape and influence a person's ability to make healthy choices.
- A food desert is a geographic area with limited access to affordable and healthy foods, such as fruits and vegetables. Individuals who reside in food deserts typically have limited resources (e.g., income, transportation) and face environmental barriers to readily obtaining healthy foods.³⁹³
- The local food environment has a strong impact on individual dietary patterns and on community obesity rates in both developed and developing countries, which can in turn increase the risk of other diet-related diseases, including heart disease, stroke, some cancers and type II diabetes.^{394,395}

KEY HEALTH AND WELL-BEING EFFECTS

- Increased access to affordable, healthy foods is associated with higher quality diets and a lower risk of obesity compared to limited access to such foods.³⁹⁶⁻³⁹⁸
- Low intake of fruits and vegetables is one of the top 10 risk factors contributing to global mortality, leading to about 1.7 million annual deaths worldwide.³⁹⁹ In addition to preventing premature deaths, increased fruit and vegetable intake may lead to a better quality of life by reducing the estimated 16 million disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) that are attributable to low fruit and vegetable intake worldwide.³⁹⁹
 - To quantify the burden a disease puts on a population, researchers calculate disability-adjusted life years (DALYs), which represents one lost "healthy" year. DALYs are determined by summing the Years of Life Lost (YLL), which accounts for cases where people die prematurely due to disease, with the Years Lost due to Disability (YLD), which accounts for cases where people live with a condition or its consequences.⁴⁰⁰

HEALTH PROMOTION BENEFITS AND STRATEGIES

- Best practices in urban planning consider 800 m [0.5 mi] a walkable roundtrip distance.⁴⁰¹⁻⁴⁰³
- A higher local density of food outlets with healthy food options is associated with metrics that indicate a lower risk of obesity, including a healthier body mass index (BMI) score and a smaller waist circumference.⁴⁰⁴⁻⁴⁰⁶
- Compared to other food outlets like convenience stores, supermarkets usually offer a greater variety of high-quality produce at affordable prices.⁴⁰⁷ Greater access to supermarkets and decreased access to convenience stores is associated with healthier diets and lower rates of obesity.⁴⁰⁷
- Improved access to farmers' markets is associated with greater consumption of fruits and vegetables, even when there is already access to a supermarket with produce.⁴⁰⁸⁻⁴¹⁰ In one survey, 74% of patrons reported consuming more fruits and vegetables because of an on-site farmers' market at the workplace.⁴¹¹
 - A study with adult women found that access to supermarkets within an 800 m [0.5 mi] walking distance of work was associated with greater odds of consuming two or more portions of both fruits and vegetables per day.⁴¹² Access within 2 km [1.2 mi] of the workplace was associated with greater odds of consuming two or more portions of fruits, but not vegetables, per day.⁴¹² Similarly, a greater number of supermarkets within 2 km [1.2 mi] of the place of residence was associated with higher odds of consuming two portions of vegetables, but not fruit, per day.⁴¹²
- Community Supported Agriculture (CSA) can likewise promote healthier diets by increasing fruit and vegetable consumption, especially among low-income households.⁴¹³⁻⁴¹⁷
 - CSAs refer to a fresh food delivery model where patrons typically pay at the beginning of the season for a share of fruits and vegetables grown and delivered by farmers throughout the season. In this model, the consumer and the farmer share the seasonal risks associated with farming.

- Mobile markets are an additional, and increasingly popular, way to bring fresh fruits and vegetables to communities with limited access to healthy food sources. They are a good option for underserved communities that cannot support a standing farmers' market or full-service supermarket or grocery store.^{418,419}

ADDITIONAL NOTES

- The food environment may contribute to health disparities.⁴²⁰ Studies in the United States show that minority communities — especially African American and/or low-income communities — have more access to fast food outlets and convenience stores and less access to supermarkets or grocery stores compared to predominantly White or higher-income communities.^{421,422} Minority communities also have correspondingly higher rates of poor-diet-related health outcomes like obesity and type II diabetes.^{421,422}
- An individual's food environment must be considered as an ecosystem, with many levers that act in tandem to shape behaviors. Simply adding more healthy food outlets to improve access does not necessarily mean improved utilization of new resources nor improved subsequent health outcomes.
 - Several studies highlight this difference between access and utilization and the complexity underlying these issues.^{423,424} One such study reported a 6% higher prevalence of obesity (at home) with each mile closer to a supermarket.⁴²⁴
 - Other studies report improvements in perceptions of access to healthy foods with improved supermarket or grocery store access but no changes in BMI, diet or weight.⁴²⁵⁻⁴²⁷ Therefore, distance may be one of many barriers to accessing healthy foods. Other constraints, such as economic pressures, likely need to be addressed as well, through interventions such as financial incentives and nutrition assistance programs.⁴²⁸⁻⁴³⁰
- There are benefits to an integrated system. One study found that the introduction of a farmers' market in an underserved, urban community reduced grocery prices overall by almost 12% in three years.⁴³¹ Another reported that a better predictor of a person's BMI is not their individual choice of grocery store but the grocery store of choice of the average resident in the community.⁴³² These studies suggest that individual components of the food environment function within a larger system and can be leveraged to the benefit of the community as a whole.

REFERENCES

1. Slavin J, Lloyd B. Health benefits of fruits and vegetables. *Advances In Nutrition*. 2012;3(4):506-516.
2. World Health Organization, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Diet, nutrition and the prevention of chronic diseases. *World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser*. 2003;916:i-viii, 1-149, backcover.
3. Thaler R, Sunstein C. *Nudge: improving decisions about health, wealth, and happiness*. Vol 47: Penguin Group; 2009.
4. Marteau TM, Ogilvie D, Roland M, Suhrcke M, Kelly MP. Judging nudging: can nudging improve population health? *BMJ*. 2011;342:d228.
5. Hollands GJ, Shemilt I, Marteau TM, et al. Altering micro-environments to change population health behaviour: towards an evidence base for choice architecture interventions. *BMC Public Health*. 2013;13(1):1218.
6. Ozdemir B, Caliskan O. A review of literature on restaurant menus: Specifying the managerial issues. *International Journal of Gastronomy and Food Science*. 2014;2(1):3-13.
7. Wansink B, Love K. Slim by design: Menu strategies for promoting high-margin, healthy foods. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*. 2014;42:137-143.
8. Antun JM, Gustafson CM. Menu Analysis. *Journal of Nutrition in Recipe & Menu Development*. 2005;3(3-4):81-102.
9. Raghunathan R, Naylor RW, Hoyer WD. The Unhealthy = Tasty Intuition and Its Effects on Taste Inferences, Enjoyment, and Choice of Food Products. *Journal of Marketing*. 2006;70(4):170-184.
10. Horgen KB, Brownell KD. Comparison of price change and health message interventions in promoting healthy food choices. *Health Psychol*. 2002;21(5):505-512.
11. Guéguen N, Jacob C, Ardiccioni R. Effect of watermarks as visual cues for guiding consumer choice: An experiment with restaurant menus. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*. 2012;31(2):617-619.
12. Reynolds D, Merritt EA, Pinckney S. Understanding Menu Psychology. *International Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Administration*. 2005;6(1):1-9.
13. Poundstone W. *Priceless: the myth of fair value (and how to take advantage of it)*. New York: Macmillan; 2010.
14. Shoemaker S. A Proposal to Improve the Overall Price Value Perception of a Product Line. *Journal of Restaurant & Foodservice Marketing*. 1993;1(1):89-101.
15. McCall M, Lynn A. The Effects of Restaurant Menu Item Descriptions on Perceptions of Quality, Price, and Purchase Intention. *Journal of Foodservice Business Research*. 2008;11(4):439-445.
16. Wansink B, van Ittersum K, Painter JE. How descriptive food names bias sensory perceptions in restaurants. *Food Quality and Preference*. 2005;16(5):393-400.
17. Lockyer T. Would a restaurant menu item by any other name taste as sweet? *Hospitality Review*. 2006;24(1).
18. World Health Organization. Increasing fruit and vegetable consumption to reduce the risk of noncommunicable diseases. e-Library of Evidence for Nutrition Actions (eLENA) Web site. http://www.who.int/elena/titles/fruit_vegetables_ncds/en/. Published 2019. Accessed.
19. World Health Organization. Promoting fruit and vegetable consumption around the world. Global Strategy on Diet, Physical Activity and Health Web site. <https://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/fruit/en/index2.html>. Published 2019. Accessed.
20. Hartley L, Igbinedion E, Holmes J, et al. Increased consumption of fruit and vegetables for the primary prevention of cardiovascular diseases. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2013;2013(6).
21. He FJ, Nowson CA, Lucas M, Macgregor GA. Increased consumption of fruit and vegetables is related to a reduced risk of coronary heart disease: meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Journal of Human Hypertension*. 2007;21(9):717.
22. Appel LJ, Moore TJ, Obarzanek E, et al. A clinical trial of the effects of dietary patterns on blood pressure. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 1997;336(16):1117-1124.
23. Hung HC, Joshipura K, Jiang R, et al. Fruit and vegetable intake and risk of major chronic disease. *Jnci-Journal Of The National Cancer Institute*. 2004;96(21):1577-1584.
24. Farvid MS, Chen WY, Michels KB, Cho E, Willett WC, Eliassen AH. Fruit and vegetable consumption in adolescence and early adulthood and risk of breast cancer: population based cohort study. *BMJ*. 2016;353.
25. He FJ, Nowson CA, Macgregor GA. Fruit and vegetable consumption and stroke: meta-analysis of cohort studies. *The Lancet*. 2006;367(9507):320-326.
26. World Health Organization. *The World Health Report 2002*. France2002.
27. Wang X, Ouyang Y, Liu J, et al. Fruit and vegetable consumption and mortality from all causes, cardiovascular disease, and cancer: systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *BMJ*. 2014;349:g4490.

28. Li M, Fan Y, Zhang X, Hou W, Tang Z. Fruit and vegetable intake and risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus: meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *BMJ Open*. 2014;4(11).
29. Cooper AJ, Forouhi NG, Ye Z, et al. Fruit and vegetable intake and type 2 diabetes: EPIC-InterAct prospective study and meta-analysis. In. Vol 66: Nature Publishing Group; 2012:1082.
30. Engbers LH, van Poppel MN, Chin APMJ, van Mechelen W. Worksite health promotion programs with environmental changes: a systematic review. *Am J Prev Med*. 2005;29(1):61-70.
31. Ni Mhurchu C, Aston LM, Jebb SA. Effects of worksite health promotion interventions on employee diets: a systematic review. *BMC public health*. 2010;10:62-62.
32. Beresford SAA, Thompson B, Feng Z, Christianson A, McLerran D, Patrick DL. Seattle 5 a Day worksite program to increase fruit and vegetable consumption. *Preventive Medicine*. 2001;32(3):230-238.
33. Sorensen G, Thompson B, Glanz K, et al. Work site-based cancer prevention: primary results from the Working Well Trial. *Am J Public Health*. 1996;86(7):939-947.
34. Skov LR, Lourenço S, Hansen GL, Mikkelsen BE, Schofield C. Choice architecture as a means to change eating behaviour in self-service settings: a systematic review. *Obesity Reviews*. 2013;14(3):187-196.
35. Thorndike AN, Sonnenberg L, Riis J, Barraclough S, Levy DE. A 2-phase labeling and choice architecture intervention to improve healthy food and beverage choices. *Am J Public Health*. 2012;102(3):527-533.
36. Thorndike AN, Riis J, Sonnenberg LM, Levy DE. Traffic-light labels and choice architecture: promoting healthy food choices. *American journal of preventive medicine*. 2014;46(2):143-149.
37. Hanks AS, Just DR, Wansink B. Smarter lunchrooms can address new school lunchroom guidelines and childhood obesity. *J Pediatr*. 2013;162(4):867-869.
38. Broers VJV, De Breucker C, Van den Broucke S, Luminet O. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the effectiveness of nudging to increase fruit and vegetable choice. *Eur J Public Health*. 2017;27(5):912-920.
39. Rozin P, Scott S, Dingley M, Urbanek JK, Jiang H, Kaltenbach M. Nudge to nobesity I: minor changes in accessibility decrease food intake. *Judgment and Decision Making*. 2011;6(4):323-332.
40. Thorndike AN, Bright O-JM, Dimond MA, Fishman R, Levy DE. Choice architecture to promote fruit and vegetable purchases by families participating in the Special Supplemental Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC): randomized corner store pilot study. *Public Health Nutr*. 2017;20(7):1297-1305.
41. Krebs-Smith SM, Smiciklas-Wright H, Guthrie HA, Krebs-Smith J. The effects of variety in food choices on dietary quality. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 1987;87(7):897-903.
42. Jacobs DR, Jr., Gross MD, Tapsell LC. Food synergy: an operational concept for understanding nutrition. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2009;89(5):1543S-1548S.
43. Cooper AJ, Sharp SJ, Lentjes MA, et al. A prospective study of the association between quantity and variety of fruit and vegetable intake and incident type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2012;35(6):1293-1300.
44. Bhupathiraju SN, Tucker KL. Greater variety in fruit and vegetable intake is associated with lower inflammation in Puerto Rican adults. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2011;93(1):37-46.
45. Ye X, Bhupathiraju SN, Tucker KL. Variety in fruit and vegetable intake and cognitive function in middle-aged and older Puerto Rican adults. *Br J Nutr*. 2013;109(3):503-510.
46. Jansen MCJF, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Feskens EJM, Streppel MT, Kok FJ, Kromhout D. Reports: quantity and variety of fruit and vegetable consumption and cancer risk. *Nutrition and Cancer*. 2004;48(2):142-148.
47. Azadbakht L, Mirmiran P, Azizi F. Dietary diversity score is favorably associated with the metabolic syndrome in Tehranian adults. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2005;29(11):1361-1367.
48. Bertoia ML, Mukamal KJ, Cahill LE, et al. Changes in intake of fruits and vegetables and weight change in United States men and women followed for up to 24 years: analysis from three prospective cohort studies. *PLoS Med*. 2015;12(9):e1001878.
49. Wall J, Mhurchu CN, Blakely T, Rodgers A, Wilton J. Effectiveness of monetary incentives in modifying dietary behavior: a review of randomized, controlled trials. *Nutr Rev*. 2006;64(12):518-531.
50. Anderson JV, Bybee DI, Brown RM, et al. 5 a day fruit and vegetable intervention improves consumption in a low income population. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2001;101(2):195-202.
51. Ericsson KA, Kintsch W. Long-term working memory. *Psychol Rev*. 1995;102(2):211-245.
52. Miller LMS, Cassidy DL. The effects of nutrition knowledge on food label use. A review of the literature. *Appetite*. 2015;92:207-216.
53. Food Allergy Research & Education. Facts and Statistics: The Food Allergy Epidemic. <https://www.foodallergy.org/resources/facts-and-statistics>. Published n.d. Accessed May 1, 2020.

54. Vartanian LR, Schwartz MB, Brownell KD. Effects of soft drink consumption on nutrition and health: a systematic review and meta-analysis. 2007(1541-0048 (Electronic)).
55. Food Service Guidelines Federal Working G. Food Service Guidelines for Federal Facilities. In:2017.
56. Malik VS, A. P, C. WW, Hu FB. Sugar-sweetened beverages and weight gain in children and adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. 2013(1938-3207 (Electronic)).
57. Hauner H, Bechthold A Fau - Boeing H, Boeing H Fau - Bronstrup A, et al. Evidence-based guideline of the German Nutrition Society: carbohydrate intake and prevention of nutrition-related diseases. *Ann Nutr Metab.* 2012(1421-9697 (Electronic)).
58. Pi-Sunyer FX. Comorbidities of overweight and obesity: current evidence and research issues. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1999;31(11 Suppl):S602-608.
59. World Cancer Reserach Fund International. Curbing global sugar consumption: effective food policy actions to help promote healthy diets and tackle obesity. 2015.
60. Cecchini M, Sassi F, Lauer JA, Lee YY, Guajardo-Barron V, Chisholm D. Tackling of unhealthy diets, physical inactivity, and obesity: health effects and cost-effectiveness. *The Lancet.* 2010;376(9754):1775-1784.
61. St-Onge MP, Keller KL, Heymsfield SB. Changes in childhood food consumption patterns: a cause for concern in light of increasing body weights. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2003;78(6):1068-1073.
62. Schnabel L, Kesse-Guyot E, Allès B, et al. Association Between Ultraprocessed Food Consumption and Risk of Mortality Among Middle-aged Adults in France. *JAMA Internal Medicine.* 2019;179(4):490-498.
63. World Health Organization. Guideline: Sugars intake for adults and children. In:2015.
64. Brouwer IA, Wanders AJ, Katan MB. Effect of animal and industrial trans fatty acids on HDL and LDL cholesterol levels in humans--a quantitative review. *PLoS One.* 2010;5(3):e9434-e9434.
65. United States Department of Health and Human Services, United States Department of Agriculture. Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2015-2020. In. 8 ed. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2015.
66. Schulze MB, Manson JE, Ludwig DS, et al. Sugar-sweetened beverages, weight gain, and incidence of type 2 diabetes in young and middle-aged women. *JAMA.* 2004;292(8):927-934.
67. Rodriguez LA, Madsen KA, Cotterman C, Lustig RH. Added sugar intake and metabolic syndrome in US adolescents: cross-sectional analysis of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2005-2012. *Public Health Nutr.* 2016;19(13):2424-2434.
68. Mandle J, Tugendhaft A, Michalow J, Hofman K. Nutrition labelling: a review of research on consumer and industry response in the global South. *Glob Health Action.* 2015;8:25912-25912.
69. Campos S, Doxey J, Hammond D. Nutrition labels on pre-packaged foods: a systematic review. *Public Health Nutr.* 2011;14(8):1496-1506.
70. Ollberding NJ, Wolf RL, Contento I. Food label use and its relation to dietary intake among US adults. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2010;110(8):1233-1237.
71. Norazmir MN, Norazlanshah H, Naqieyah N, Anuar K. Understanding and Use of Food Package Nutrition Label among Educated Young Adults. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition.* 2012;11.
72. Allen KJ, Turner PJ, Pawankar R, et al. Precautionary labelling of foods for allergen content: are we ready for a global framework? *World Allergy Organization Journal.* 2014;7(1):1-14.
73. Cooke R, Papadaki A. Nutrition label use mediates the positive relationship between nutrition knowledge and attitudes towards healthy eating with dietary quality among university students in the UK. *Appetite.* 2014;83:297-303.
74. Buyuktuncer Z, Ayaz A, Dedebyraktar D, Inan-Eroglu E, Ellahi B, Besler HT. Promoting a Healthy Diet in Young Adults: The Role of Nutrition Labelling. *Nutrients.* 2018;10(10):1335.
75. Wills JM, Schmidt DB, Pillo-Blocka F, Cairns G. Exploring global consumer attitudes toward nutrition information on food labels. *Nutr Rev.* 2009;67 Suppl 1:S102-106.
76. Bates K. Battling the bulge: menu board calorie legislation and its potential impact on meal repurchase intentions. *Journal of Consumer Marketing.* 2011;28(2):104-113.
77. Block JP, Condon SK, Kleinman K, et al. Consumers' estimation of calorie content at fast food restaurants: cross sectional observational study. *Bmj.* 2013;346:f2907.
78. Taksler GB, Elbel B. Calorie labeling and consumer estimation of calories purchased. *The international journal of behavioral nutrition and physical activity.* 2014;11:91-91.
79. Food Allergy Research & Education. FARECheck. <https://www.foodallergy.org/our-initiatives/education-programs-training/farecheck>. Published n.d. Accessed May 1, 2020.
80. Bleich SN, Economos CD, Spiker ML, et al. A Systematic Review of Calorie Labeling and Modified Calorie Labeling Interventions: Impact on Consumer and Restaurant Behavior. *Obesity (Silver Spring, Md).* 2017;25(12):2018-2044.

81. Gorski Findling MT, Werth PM, Musicus AA, et al. Comparing five front-of-pack nutrition labels' influence on consumers' perceptions and purchase intentions. *Preventive medicine*. 2018;106:114-121.
82. Ni Mhurchu C, Eyles H, Jiang Y, Blakely T. Do nutrition labels influence healthier food choices? Analysis of label viewing behaviour and subsequent food purchases in a labelling intervention trial. *Appetite*. 2018;121:360-365.
83. Spronk I, Kullen C, Burdon C, O'Connor H. Relationship between nutrition knowledge and dietary intake. *Br J Nutr*. 2014;111(10):1713-1726.
84. Hieke S, Taylor CR. A Critical Review of the Literature on Nutritional Labeling. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*. 2012;46(1):120-156.
85. Harnack LJ, French SA. Effect of point-of-purchase calorie labeling on restaurant and cafeteria food choices: a review of the literature. *The international journal of behavioral nutrition and physical activity*. 2008;5:51-51.
86. Drichoutis AC, Nayga JRM, Lazaridis P. Can Nutritional Label Use Influence Body Weight Outcomes? *Kyklos*. 2009;62(4):500-525.
87. Organization WH. Noncommunicable diseases in the South-East Asia Region, 2011: situation and response. 2012.
88. Tan M, He FJ, Wang C, MacGregor GA. Twenty-Four-Hour Urinary Sodium and Potassium Excretion in China: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2019;8(14):e012923.
89. Salt reduction. World Health Organization Newsroom Web site. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/salt-reduction>. Published 2016. Accessed.
90. Van den Wijngaart AW. Nutrition labelling: purpose, scientific issues and challenges. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr*. 2002;11(2):S68-71.
91. Mhurchu CN, Gorton D. Nutrition labels and claims in New Zealand and Australia: a review of use and understanding. *Aust N Z J Public Health*. 2007;31(2):105-112.
92. Wang S, Chen Y, Liu M, et al. The changes of nutrition labeling of packaged food in Hangzhou in China during 2008-2010. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(12):e28443-e28443.
93. Yeung J, Robert MC. Challenges and path forward on mandatory allergen labeling and voluntary precautionary allergen labeling for a global company. *J AOAC Int*. 2018;101(1):70-76.
94. Joshi P, Mofidi S, Sicherer SH. Interpretation of commercial food ingredient labels by parents of food-allergic children. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2002;109(6):1019-1021.
95. Kliemann N, Kraemer MVS, Scapin T, et al. Serving Size and Nutrition Labelling: Implications for Nutrition Information and Nutrition Claims on Packaged Foods. *Nutrients*. 2018;10(7):891.
96. Roberto CA, Khandpur N. Improving the design of nutrition labels to promote healthier food choices and reasonable portion sizes. *International journal of obesity (2005)*. 2014;38 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):S25-S33.
97. France becomes one of the first countries in Region to recommend colour-coded front-of-pack nutrition labelling system [press release]. World Health Organization 2017.
98. Ducrot P, Méjean C, Julia C, et al. Effectiveness of front-of-pack nutrition labels in French adults: results from the NutriNet-Sante cohort study. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(10).
99. Drewnowski A, Rehm CD. Consumption of added sugars among US children and adults by food purchase location and food source. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2014;100(3):901-907.
100. Huth PJ, Fulgoni VL, Keast DR, Park K, Auestad N. Major food sources of calories, added sugars, and saturated fat and their contribution to essential nutrient intakes in the U.S. diet: data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (2003-2006). *Nutr J*. 2013;12:116-116.
101. Aune D, Norat T, Romundstad P, Vatten LJ. Whole grain and refined grain consumption and the risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of cohort studies. 2013(1573-7284 (Electronic)).
102. Morenga LT, Mallard S, Mann J. Dietary sugars and body weight: systematic review and meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials and cohort studies. *BMJ: British Medical Journal*. 2013;346(7891):12-12.
103. Aleixandre A, Miguel M. Dietary fiber and blood pressure control. 2016(2042-650X (Electronic)).
104. Reynolds A, Mann J, Cummings J, Winter N, Mete E, Te Morenga L. Carbohydrate quality and human health: a series of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *The Lancet*. 2019;393(10170):434-445.
105. Anderson JW, Baird P, Davis RH, et al. Health benefits of dietary fiber. *Nutrition Reviews*. 2009;67(4):188-205.
106. Malik VS, Li Y, Pan A, et al. Long-Term Consumption of Sugar-Sweetened and Artificially Sweetened Beverages and Risk of Mortality in US Adults. *Circulation*. 2019;139(18):2113-2125.
107. Association AH. Healthy Eating. Added Sugars. AHA. http://www.heart.org/HEARTORG/HealthyLiving/HealthyEating/Nutrition/Added-Sugars_UCM_305858_Article.jsp#.WLRcd6PMxE5. Published 2017. Accessed February 20, 2017.

108. United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The CDC Guide to Strategies for Reducing the Consumption of Sugar-Sweetened Beverages. California Department of Public Health. [Online]: CDC;2010.
109. Albala C, Ebbeling CB, Cifuentes M, Lera L, Bustos N, Ludwig DS. Effects of replacing the habitual consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages with milk in Chilean children. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2008(1938-3207 (Electronic)).
110. Caldwell JD, Cooke BS, Greer MK. High Performance Liquid Chromatography–Size Exclusion Chromatography for Rapid Analysis of Total Polar Compounds in Used Frying Oils. *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society.* 2011;88(11):1669-1674.
111. Gertz C. Chemical and physical parameters as quality indicators of used frying fats. *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology.* 2000;102(8-9):566-572.
112. Billek G. Health aspects of thermoxidized oils and fats. *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology.* 2000;102(8-9):587-593.
113. World Cancer Research Fund, American Institute for Cancer Research. Food, Nutrition, Physical Activity, and the Prevention of Cancer: a Global Perspective. In:2007.
114. Gleason PM, Suiitor CW. Eating at School: How the National School Lunch Program Affects Children's Diets. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics.* 2003;85(4):1047-1061.
115. Johnsen NF, Frederiksen K, Christensen J, et al. Whole-grain products and whole-grain types are associated with lower all-cause and cause-specific mortality in the Scandinavian HELGA cohort. 2015;114(4):608-623.
116. Frolich W, Aman P, Tetens I. Whole grain foods and health - a Scandinavian perspective. *Food Nutr Res.* 2013;57.
117. Whole Grains Council. Whole Grain Stamp. <https://wholegrainscouncil.org/whole-grain-stamp>. Published n.d. Accessed May 14, 2020.
118. Water Panel on Dietary Reference Intakes for Electrolytes and Water. Dietary Reference Intakes for Water, Potassium, Sodium, Chloride, and Sulfate. Washington: National Academies Press; 2004.
119. Hu FB. Resolved: there is sufficient scientific evidence that decreasing sugar-sweetened beverage consumption will reduce the prevalence of obesity and obesity-related diseases. *Obesity reviews : an official journal of the International Association for the Study of Obesity.* 2013;14(8):606-619.
120. World Cancer Research Fund, The American Institute for Cancer Research. Food, nutrition, physical activity, and the prevention of cancer: a global perspective - third expert report. London: WCRF International;2018.
121. Gadiraju TV, Patel Y, Gaziano JM, Djoussé L. Fried Food Consumption and Cardiovascular Health: A Review of Current Evidence. *Nutrients.* 2015;7(10):8424-8430.
122. Sanchez-Muniz FJ. Oils and fats: changes due to culinary and industrial processes. *Int J Vitam Nutr Res.* 2006;76(4):230-237.
123. Minihane AM, Harland JI. Impact of oil used by the frying industry on population fat intake. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr.* 2007;47(3):287-297.
124. Stott-Miller M, Neuhauser ML, Stanford JL. Consumption of deep-fried foods and risk of prostate cancer. *Prostate.* 2013;73(9):960-969.
125. Kazerouni N, Sinha R, Hsu CH, Greenberg A, Rothman N. Analysis of 200 food items for benzo[a]pyrene and estimation of its intake in an epidemiologic study. *Food Chem Toxicol.* 2001;39(5):423-436.
126. Sjaastad AK, Svendsen K. Exposure to mutagenic aldehydes and particulate matter during panfrying of beefsteak with margarine, rapeseed oil, olive oil or soybean oil. *Ann Occup Hyg.* 2008;52(8):739-745.
127. Fillion L, Henry CJ. Nutrient losses and gains during frying: a review. *Int J Food Sci Nutr.* 1998;49(2):157-168.
128. Casal S, Malheiro R, Sendas A, Oliveira BP, Pereira JA. Olive oil stability under deep-frying conditions. *Food Chem Toxicol.* 2010;48(10):2972-2979.
129. Guallar-Castillon P, Rodriguez-Artalejo F, Lopez-Garcia E, et al. Consumption of fried foods and risk of coronary heart disease: Spanish cohort of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition study. *Bmj.* 2012;344:e363.
130. Boeing H, Bechthold A, Bub A, et al. Critical review: vegetables and fruit in the prevention of chronic diseases. *Eur J Nutr.* 2012;51(6):637-663.
131. Rogers PJ, Kainth A, Smit HJ. A drink of water can improve or impair mental performance depending on small differences in thirst. *Appetite.* 2001;36(1):57-58.
132. Benton D. Dehydration influences mood and cognition: a plausible hypothesis? *Nutrients.* 2011;3(5):555-573.
133. Benton D, Young HA. Do small differences in hydration status affect mood and mental performance? *Nutrition Reviews.* 2015;73(suppl_2):83-96.

134. Neave N, Scholey AB, Emmett JR, Moss M, Kennedy DO, Wesnes KA. Water ingestion improves subjective alertness, but has no effect on cognitive performance in dehydrated healthy young volunteers. In. *Appetite*. Vol 37: Elsevier Ltd; 2001:255-256.
135. Muckelbauer R, Libuda L, Clausen K, Toschke AM, Reinehr T, Kersting M. Promotion and provision of drinking water in schools for overweight prevention: randomized, controlled cluster trial. *Pediatrics*. 2009;123(4):e661.
136. Daniels MC, Popkin BM. Impact of water intake on energy intake and weight status: a systematic review. *Nutrition reviews*. 2010;68(9):505-521.
137. Bragg MA, Pageot YK, Amico A, et al. Fast food, beverage, and snack brands on social media in the United States: An examination of marketing techniques utilized in 2000 brand posts. *Pediatr Obes*. 2019:e12606.
138. Boyland EJ, Nolan S, Kelly B, et al. Advertising as a cue to consume: a systematic review and meta-analysis of the effects of acute exposure to unhealthy food and nonalcoholic beverage advertising on intake in children and adults^{1,2}. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2016;103(2):519-533.
139. Harris JL, Bargh JA, Brownell KD. Priming effects of television food advertising on eating behavior. *Health Psychol*. 2009;28(4):404-413.
140. Loughridge JL, Barratt J. Does the provision of cooled filtered water in secondary school cafeterias increase water drinking and decrease the purchase of soft drinks? 2005(0952-3871 (Print)).
141. Popkin BM, D' Anci KE, Rosenberg IH. Water, hydration, and health. *Nutrition Reviews*. 2010;68(8):439-458.
142. Muckelbauer R, Libuda L, Clausen K, Toschke AM, Reinehr T, Kersting M. Promotion and provision of drinking water in schools for overweight prevention: randomized, controlled cluster trial. *Pediatrics*. 2009;123(4):e661-667.
143. Patel AI, Hampton KE. Encouraging consumption of water in school and child care settings: access, challenges, and strategies for improvement. *Am J Public Health*. 2011;101(8):1370-1379.
144. Tate DF, Turner-McGrievy G, Lyons E, et al. Replacing caloric beverages with water or diet beverages for weight loss in adults: main results of the Choose Healthy Options Consciously Everyday (CHOICE) randomized clinical trial. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2012;95(3):555-563.
145. Johnson RK. Children gain less weight and accumulate less fat when sugar-free, non-caloric beverages are substituted for sugar-sweetened beverages. *Evid Based Med*. 2013;18(5):185-186.
146. World Health Organization. Food additives. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/food-additives>. Published 2018. Updated January 31. Accessed July 1, 2019.
147. International Food Information Council, United States Food & Drug Administration. Overview of food ingredients, additives & colors. <https://www.fda.gov/food/food-ingredients-packaging/overview-food-ingredients-additives-colors>. Published 2010. Updated April. Accessed July 1, 2019.
148. Spencer M. Food additives. *Postgrad Med J*. 1974;50(588):620-624.
149. Simmons AL, Schlezinger JJ, Corkey BE. What are we putting in our food that is making us fat? Food additives, contaminants, and other putative contributors to obesity. *Curr Obes Rep*. 2014;3(2):273-285.
150. Substances Added to Food (formerly EAFUS). US FDA. <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/fdcc/?set=FoodSubstances>.
151. Andreozzi L, Giannetti A, Cipriani F, Caffarelli C, Mastroilli C, Ricci G. Hypersensitivity reactions to food and drug additives: problem or myth? *Acta Biomed*. 2019;90(3-S):80-90.
152. Tarlo SM, Sussman GL. Asthma and anaphylactoid reactions to food additives. *Can Fam Physician*. 1993;39:1119-1123.
153. Boyce JA, Assa'ad A, Burks AW, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of food allergy in the United States: report of the NIAID-sponsored expert panel. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2010;126(6 Suppl):S1-S58.
154. Forbes A, Escher J, Hebuterne X, et al. ESPEN guideline: Clinical nutrition in inflammatory bowel disease. *Clin Nutr*. 2017;36(2):321-347.
155. Hansen TS, Jess T, Vind I, et al. Environmental factors in inflammatory bowel disease: A case-control study based on a Danish inception cohort. *Journal of Crohn's and Colitis*. 2011;5(6):577-584.
156. Sakamoto N, Kono S, Wakai K, et al. Dietary risk factors for inflammatory bowel disease: a multicenter case-control study in Japan. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2005;11(2):154-163.
157. Brown AC, Roy M. Does evidence exist to include dietary therapy in the treatment of Crohn's disease? *Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2010;4(2):191-215.
158. Kregiel D. Health safety of soft drinks: Contents, containers, and microorganisms. *BioMed Research International*. 2015;2015:15.
159. Juul F, Hemmingsson E. Trends in consumption of ultra-processed foods and obesity in Sweden between 1960 and 2010. *Public Health Nutr*. 2015;18(17):3096-3107.

160. Suez J, Korem T, Zeevi D, et al. Artificial sweeteners induce glucose intolerance by altering the gut microbiota. *Nature*. 2014;514(7521):181-186.
161. Henao-Mejia J, Elinav E, Jin C, et al. Inflammasome-mediated dysbiosis regulates progression of NAFLD and obesity. *Nature*. 2012;482(7384):179-185.
162. Suez J, Korem T, Zilberman-Schapira G, Segal E, Elinav E. Non-caloric artificial sweeteners and the microbiome: findings and challenges. *Gut Microbes*. 2015;6(2):149-155.
163. Andersson C, Sullivan L, Benjamin EJ, et al. Association of soda consumption with subclinical cardiac remodeling in the Framingham heart study. *Metabolism*. 2015;64(2):208-212.
164. Turnbaugh PJ, Ley RE, Mahowald MA, Magrini V, Mardis ER, Gordon JI. An obesity-associated gut microbiome with increased capacity for energy harvest. *Nature*. 2006;444(7122):1027-1031.
165. Fowler SP, Williams K, Resendez RG, Hunt KJ, Hazuda HP, Stern MP. Fueling the obesity epidemic? Artificially sweetened beverage use and long-term weight gain. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2008;16(8):1894-1900.
166. Qin J, Li Y, Cai Z, et al. A metagenome-wide association study of gut microbiota in type 2 diabetes. *Nature*. 2012;490(7418):55-60.
167. Fagherazzi G, Vilier A, Saes Sartorelli D, Lajous M, Balkau B, Clavel-Chapelon F. Consumption of artificially and sugar-sweetened beverages and incident type 2 diabetes in the Etude Epidemiologique aupres des femmes de la Mutuelle Generale de l'Education Nationale-European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition cohort. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2013;97(3):517-523.
168. Chen M-F. Modeling an extended theory of planned behavior model to predict intention to take precautions to avoid consuming food with additives. *Food Quality and Preference*. 2017;58:24-33.
169. Shim S-M, Seo SH, Lee Y, Moon G-I, Kim M-S, Park J-H. Consumers' knowledge and safety perceptions of food additives: Evaluation on the effectiveness of transmitting information on preservatives. *Food Control*. 2011;22(7):1054-1060.
170. Szűcs V, Szabó E, Banati D. Factors affecting the avoidance of food additives in Hungary. *Journal of Hygienic Engineering and Design*. 2014;8:61-66.
171. Varela P. Exploring consumers' knowledge and perceptions of hydrocolloids used as food additives and ingredients. *Food hydrocolloids*. 2013;v. 30(no. 1):pp. 477-484-2013 v.2030 no.2011.
172. Asioli D, Aschemann-Witzel J, Caputo V, et al. Making sense of the "clean label" trends: A review of consumer food choice behavior and discussion of industry implications. *Food Research International*. 2017;99:58-71.
173. Carocho M, Barreiro MF, Morales P, Ferreira ICFR. Adding molecules to food, pros and cons: A review on synthetic and natural food additives. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*. 2014;13(4):377-399.
174. Goodman MJ. The "natural" vs. "natural flavors" conflict in food labeling: A regulatory viewpoint. *Food Drug Law J*. 2017;72(1):78-102.
175. Polonio ML, Peres F. Food additive intake and health effects: public health challenges in Brazil. *Cad Saude Publica*. 2009;25(8):1653-1666.
176. Trasande L, Shaffer RM, Sathyanarayana S. Food additives and child health. *Pediatrics*. 2018;142(2).
177. Wolff MS, Teitelbaum SL, Windham G, et al. Pilot study of urinary biomarkers of phytoestrogens, phthalates, and phenols in girls. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2007;115(1):116-121.
178. Silva MJ, Barr DB, Reidy JA, et al. Urinary levels of seven phthalate metabolites in the U.S. population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 1999-2000. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2004;112(3):331-338.
179. Livingstone MB, Pourshahidi LK. Portion size and obesity. *Adv Nutr*. 2014;5(6):829-834.
180. Young LR, Nestle M. The contribution of expanding portion sizes to the US obesity epidemic. *Am J Public Health*. 2002;92(2):246-249.
181. Nielsen SJ, Popkin BM. Patterns and trends in food portion sizes, 1977-1998. *JAMA*. 2003;289(4):450-453.
182. Bezerra IN, Alencar ESd. Association between excess weight and beverage portion size consumed in Brazil. *Revista de saude publica*. 2018;52:21.
183. Goffe L, Rushton S, White M, Adamson A, Adams J. Relationship between mean daily energy intake and frequency of consumption of out-of-home meals in the UK National Diet and Nutrition Survey. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*. 2017;14(1):131.
184. Hill JO, Wyatt HR, Peters JC. Energy balance and obesity. *Circulation*. 2012;126(1):126-132.
185. Barsh GS, Farooqi IS, O'Rahilly S. Genetics of body-weight regulation. *Nature*. 2000;404(6778):644-651.
186. Goodarzi MO. Genetics of obesity: what genetic association studies have taught us about the biology of obesity and its complications. *The Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology*. 2018;6(3):223-236.

187. Maes HH, Neale MC, Eaves LJ. Genetic and environmental factors in relative body weight and human adiposity. *Behav Genet.* 1997;27(4):325-351.
188. Zheng Y, Manson JE, Yuan C, et al. Associations of Weight Gain From Early to Middle Adulthood With Major Health Outcomes Later in Life. *JAMA.* 2017;318(3):255-269.
189. Ryan DH, Yockey SR. Weight Loss and Improvement in Comorbidity: Differences at 5%, 10%, 15%, and Over. *Curr Obes Rep.* 2017;6(2):187-194.
190. Anderson JW, Konz EC. Obesity and disease management: effects of weight loss on comorbid conditions. *Obes Res.* 2001;9 Suppl 4:326S-334S.
191. Blackburn G. Effect of degree of weight loss on health benefits. *Obes Res.* 1995;3 Suppl 2:211s-216s.
192. Clinical Guidelines on the Identification, Evaluation, and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults: The Evidence Report. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute: National Institutes of Health;1998.
193. Hollands GJ, Shemilt I, Marteau TM, et al. Portion, package or tableware size for changing selection and consumption of food, alcohol and tobacco. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2015(9):CD011045.
194. Pi-Sunyer X, Perez-Escamilla R, Nelson M, Slavin J, Willimas C. 2010 Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee: Systematic Reviews of the Energy Balance and Weight Management Subcommittee. USDA;2010.
195. Peng M. How does plate size affect estimated satiation and intake for individuals in normal-weight and overweight groups? *Obesity science & practice.* 2017;3(3):282-288.
196. DiSantis KI, Birch LL, Davey A, et al. Plate size and children's appetite: effects of larger dishware on self-served portions and intake. *Pediatrics.* 2013;131(5):e1451-1458.
197. Cadario R, Chandon P. Which Healthy Eating Nudges Work Best? A Meta-Analysis of Field Experiments. *Marketing Science.* 2018.
198. Pratt IS, Croager EJ, Rosenberg M. The mathematical relationship between dishware size and portion size. *Appetite.* 2012;58(1):299-302.
199. Hughes JW, Goldstein CM, Logan C, et al. Controlled testing of novel portion control plate produces smaller self-selected portion sizes compared to regular dinner plate. *BMC Obes.* 2017;4:30.
200. Flegal KM, Graubard BI, Williamson DF, Gail MH. Cause-specific excess deaths associated with underweight, overweight, and obesity. *JAMA.* 2007;298(17):2028-2037.
201. Roh L, Braun J, Chioloro A, et al. Mortality risk associated with underweight: a census-linked cohort of 31,578 individuals with up to 32 years of follow-up. *BMC public health.* 2014;14:371.
202. Schneider M, Norman R, Steyn N, Bradshaw D. Estimating the burden of disease attributable to low fruit and vegetable intake in South Africa in 2000. *S Afr Med J.* 2007;97(8 Pt 2):717-723.
203. Lock K, Pomerleau J, Causser L, Altmann DR, McKee M. The global burden of disease attributable to low consumption of fruit and vegetables: implications for the global strategy on diet. *Bull World Health Organ.* 2005;83(2):100-108.
204. Grimm KA, Blanck HM, Scanlon DS. State-specific trends in fruit and vegetable consumption among adults, U.S. 2000-2009. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2010;59:1126-1130.
205. Currie C, Zanotti C, Morgan A, et al. Social determinants of health and well-being among young people. *Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC) study: international report from the 2009/2010 survey.* 2012.
206. Leal F, Oliveira B, Pereira S. Relationship between cooking habits and skills and Mediterranean diet in a sample of Portuguese adolescents. *Perspectives in Public Health.* 2011;131:283-287.
207. Kelishadi R, Ardalan G, Gheiratmand R, et al. Association of physical activity and dietary behaviours in relation to the body mass index in a national sample of Iranian children and adolescents: CASPIAN Study. *Bull World Health Organ.* 2007;85(1):19-26.
208. Laska MN, Larson NI, Neumark-Sztainer D, Story M. Does involvement in food preparation track from adolescence to young adulthood and is it associated with better dietary quality? Findings from a 10-year longitudinal study. *Public Health Nutr.* 2012;15(7):1150-1158.
209. Ratzan SC, Parker RM. Introduction. In: Selden CR ZM, Ratzan SC, Parker RM, ed. *National Library of Medicine current bibliographies in medicine: Health literacy.* Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health; 2000.
210. Nutbeam D. The evolving concept of health literacy. *Soc Sci Med.* 2008;67(12):2072-2078.
211. Peerson A, Saunders M. Health literacy revisited: what do we mean and why does it matter? *Health Promot Int.* 2009;24(3):285-296.
212. Poureslami I, Nimmon L, Rootman I, Fitzgerald MJ. Health literacy and chronic disease management: drawing from expert knowledge to set an agenda. *Health promotion international.* 2017;32(4):743-754.
213. Velardo S. The nuances of health literacy, nutrition literacy, and food literacy. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior.* 2015;47(4):385-389.e381.

214. Yuen EYN, Thomson M, Gardiner H. Measuring nutrition and food literacy in adults: A systematic review and appraisal of existing measurement tools. *Health Lit Res Pract.* 2018;2(3):e134-e160.
215. Cullen T, Hatch J, Martin W, Higgins JW, Sheppard R. Food literacy: Definition and framework for action. *Can J Diet Pract Res.* 2015;76(3):140-145.
216. Vidgen HA, Gallegos D. Defining food literacy and its components. *Appetite.* 2014;76:50-59.
217. Krause C, Sommerhalder K, BeerBorst S. Nutrition-specific health literacy: development and testing of a multi-dimensional questionnaire. *Ernaehrungs Umschau.* 2016;63(11):214-220.
218. World Health Organization. Population-based prevention strategies for childhood obesity: Report of a WHO forum and technical meeting, Geneva, 15-17 December 2009. In. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2010.
219. Wang Y, Monteiro C, Popkin BM. Trends of obesity and underweight in older children and adolescents in the United States, Brazil, China, and Russia. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2002;75(6):971-977.
220. de Onis M, Blossner M, Borghi E. Global prevalence and trends of overweight and obesity among preschool children. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2010;92(5):1257-1264.
221. Guilbert JJ. The world health report 2002 - reducing risks, promoting healthy life. *Educ Health (Abingdon).* 2003;16(2):230.
222. Arnold M, Pandeya N, Byrnes G, et al. Global burden of cancer attributable to high body-mass index in 2012: a population-based study. *The Lancet Oncology.* 2015;16(1):36-46.
223. Garg SK, Maurer H, Reed K, Selagamsetty R. Diabetes and cancer: two diseases with obesity as a common risk factor. *Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism.* 2014;16(2):97-110.
224. Mozaffarian D. Dietary and policy priorities for cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and obesity. *Circulation.* 2016;133(2):187-225.
225. Nishida C, Uauy R, Kumanyika S, Shetty P. The Joint WHO/FAO Expert Consultation on diet, nutrition and the prevention of chronic diseases: process, product and policy implications. *Public Health Nutr.* 2004;7(1a):245-250.
226. Marmot M, Friel S, Bell R, Houweling TA, Taylor S. Closing the gap in a generation: health equity through action on the social determinants of health. *Lancet.* 2008;372(9650):1661-1669.
227. Schillinger D, Grumbach K, Piette J, et al. Association of health literacy with diabetes outcomes. *Jama.* 2002;288(4):475-482.
228. Vidgen HA, Gallegos D. What is food literacy and does it influence what we eat: a study of Australian food experts. Brisbane, Queensland: Queensland University of Technology;2011.
229. Contento IR. Nutrition education: Linking research, theory, and practice. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr.* 2008;17 Suppl 1:176-179.
230. Reicks M, Trofholz AC, Stang JS, Laska MN. Impact of cooking and home food preparation interventions among adults: outcomes and implications for future programs. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior.* 2014;46(4):259-276.
231. Hasan B, Thompson WG, Almasri J, et al. The effect of culinary interventions (cooking classes) on dietary intake and behavioral change: a systematic review and evidence map. *BMC Nutrition.* 2019;5(1):29.
232. Caraher M, Seeley A, Wu M, Lloyd S. When chefs adopt a school? An evaluation of a cooking intervention in English primary schools. *Appetite.* 2013;62:50-59.
233. Begley A, Paynter E, Butcher LM, Dhaliwal SS. Effectiveness of an adult food literacy program. *Nutrients.* 2019;11(4):797.
234. Garcia AL, Reardon R, McDonald M, Vargas-Garcia EJ. Community interventions to improve cooking skills and their effects on confidence and eating behaviour. *Curr Nutr Rep.* 2016;5(4):315-322.
235. Lavelle F, Spence M, Hollywood L, et al. Learning cooking skills at different ages: a cross-sectional study. *The international journal of behavioral nutrition and physical activity.* 2016;13(1):119-119.
236. Vaitkeviciute R, Ball LE, Harris N. The relationship between food literacy and dietary intake in adolescents: a systematic review. *Public Health Nutr.* 2015;18(4):649-658.
237. Thomas HMC, Irwin JD. Cook It Up! A community-based cooking program for at-risk youth: overview of a food literacy intervention. *BMC Research Notes.* 2011;4(1):495.
238. Brown BJ, Hermann JR. Cooking classes increase fruit and vegetable intake and food safety behaviors in youth and adults. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior.* 2005;37(2):104-105.
239. Larson NI, Story M, Eisenberg ME, Neumark-Sztainer D. Food preparation and purchasing roles among adolescents: associations with sociodemographic characteristics and diet quality. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2006;106(2):211-218.
240. Wrieden WL, Anderson AS, Longbottom PJ, et al. The impact of a community-based food skills intervention on cooking confidence, food preparation methods and dietary choices – an exploratory trial. *Public Health Nutr.* 2007;10(2):203-211.

241. Berge JM, MacLehose RF, Larson N, Laska M, Neumark-Sztainer D. Family food preparation and its effects on adolescent dietary quality and eating patterns. *J Adolesc Health*. 2016;59(5):530-536.
242. Geaney F, Kelly C, Greiner BA, Harrington JM, Perry IJ, Beirne P. The effectiveness of workplace dietary modification interventions: A systematic review. *Preventive Medicine*. 2013;57(5):438-447.
243. Braeckman L, De Bacquer D, Maes L, De Backer G. Effects of a low-intensity worksite-based nutrition intervention. *Occup Med (Lond)*. 1999;49(8):549-555.
244. Roberto CA, Swinburn B, Hawkes C, et al. Patchy progress on obesity prevention: emerging examples, entrenched barriers, and new thinking. *Lancet*. 2015;385(9985):2400-2409.
245. Murimi MW, Kanyi M, Mupfudze T, Amin MR, Mbogori T, Aldubayan K. Factors influencing efficacy of nutrition education interventions: a systematic review. *J Nutr Educ Behav*. 2017;49(2):142-165.e141.
246. Silveira JA, Taddei JA, Guerra PH, Nobre MR. Effectiveness of school-based nutrition education interventions to prevent and reduce excessive weight gain in children and adolescents: a systematic review. *J Pediatr (Rio J)*. 2011;87(5):382-392.
247. Prelip M, Kinsler J, Thai CL, Erausquin JT, Slusser W. Evaluation of a school-based multicomponent nutrition education program to improve young children's fruit and vegetable consumption. *J Nutr Educ Behav*. 2012;44(4):310-318.
248. Wall DE, Least C, Gromis J, Lohse B. Nutrition education intervention improves vegetable-related attitude, self-efficacy, preference, and knowledge of fourth-grade students. *J Sch Health*. 2012;82(1):37-43.
249. Pollard C, Miller M, Woodman RJ, Meng R, Binns C. Changes in knowledge, beliefs, and behaviors related to fruit and vegetable consumption among Western Australian adults from 1995 to 2004. *Am J Public Health*. 2009;99(2):355-361.
250. Shariff ZM, Bukhari SS, Othman N, et al. Nutrition education intervention improves nutrition knowledge, attitude and practices of primary school children: a pilot study. *International Electronic Journal of Health Education*. 2008;11:119-132.
251. California Department of Education Nutrition Services Division. Nutrition education resource guide for California public schools: kindergarten through grade twelve. Sacramento, CA: California Department of Education;2017.
252. Parmer SM, Salisbury-Glennon J, Shannon D, Struempfer B. School gardens: an experiential learning approach for a nutrition education program to increase fruit and vegetable knowledge, preference, and consumption among second-grade students. *J Nutr Educ Behav*. 2009;41(3):212-217.
253. Vøllestad J, Nielsen MB, Nielsen GH. Mindfulness- and acceptance-based interventions for anxiety disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*. 2012;51(3):239-260.
254. Eberth J, Sedlmeier P. The Effects of Mindfulness Meditation: A Meta-Analysis. *Mindfulness*. 2012;3(3):174-189.
255. Stanzus LS, Frank P, Geiger SM. Healthy eating and sustainable nutrition through mindfulness? Mixed method results of a controlled intervention study. *Appetite*. 2019;141:104325.
256. van't Riet J, Sijtsema SJ, Dagevos H, de Bruijn GJ. The importance of habits in eating behaviour. An overview and recommendations for future research. *Appetite*. 2011;57(3):585-596.
257. Kristeller J, Wolever RQ, Sheets V. Mindfulness-Based Eating Awareness Training (MB-EAT) for Binge Eating: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Mindfulness*. 2014;5(3):282-297.
258. Kim SE, Lee SM, Kim KO. Consumer acceptability of coffee as affected by situational conditions and involvement. *Food Quality and Preference*. 2016;52:124-132.
259. Drazen DLea. Effects of a fixed meal pattern on ghrelin secretion: evidence for a learned response independent of nutrient status. *Endocrinology*. 2006;147:23-30.
260. Dailey MJea. Disassociation between preprandial gut peptide release and food-anticipatory activity. *Endocrinology*. 2012;153:132-142.
261. Higgs S, Thomas J. Social influences on eating. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*. 2016;9:1-6.
262. Vartanian LR, Spanos S, Herman CP, Polivy J. Modeling of food intake: a meta-analytic review. *Social Influence*. 2015;10(3):119-136.
263. Leigh-Hunt N, Bagguley D, Bash K, et al. An overview of systematic reviews on the public health consequences of social isolation and loneliness. *Public Health*. 2017;152:157-171.
264. Heinrich LM, Gullone E. The clinical significance of loneliness: A literature review. *Clinical Psychology Review*. 2006;26(6):695-718.
265. Conklin AI, Forouhi NG, Surtees P, Khaw KT, Wareham NJ, Monsivais P. Social relationships and healthful dietary behaviour: evidence from over-50s in the EPIC cohort, UK. *Soc Sci Med*. 2014;100:167-175.
266. Raine KD. Determinants of healthy eating in Canada: an overview and synthesis. *Can J Public Health*. 2005;96 Suppl 3:S8-14, S18-15.

267. Kwon AR, Yoon YS, Min KP, Lee YK, Jeon JH. Eating alone and metabolic syndrome: A population-based Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2013-2014. *Obes Res Clin Pract.* 2018;12(2):146-157.
268. Reaven GM. The metabolic syndrome: requiescat in pace. *Clin Chem.* 2005;51(6):931-938.
269. Ogden J, Coop N, Cousins C, et al. Distraction, the desire to eat and food intake. Towards an expanded model of mindless eating. *Appetite.* 2013;62:119-126.
270. Robinson E, Aveyard P, Daley A, et al. Eating attentively: a systematic review and meta-analysis of the effect of food intake memory and awareness on eating. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2013;97(4):728-742.
271. Long S, Meyer C, Leung N, Wallis DJ. Effects of distraction and focused attention on actual and perceived food intake in females with non-clinical eating psychopathology. *Appetite.* 2011;56(2):350-356.
272. van der Wal RC, van Dillen LF. Leaving a Flat Taste in Your Mouth: Task Load Reduces Taste Perception. *Psychological Science.* 2013;24(7):1277-1284.
273. Boon B, Stroebe W, Schut H, Ijntema R. Ironic processes in the eating behaviour of restrained eaters. *British Journal of Health Psychology.* 2002;7(1):1-10.
274. Ward A, Mann T. Don't mind if I do: Disinhibited eating under cognitive load. *Journal of personality and social psychology.* 2000;78(4):753-763.
275. Gibson EL. The psychobiology of comfort eating: Implications for neuropharmacological interventions. *Behavioural Pharmacology.* 2012;23(5-6):442-460.
276. Cleobury L, Tapper K. Reasons for eating 'unhealthy' snacks in overweight and obese males and females. *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics.* 2014;27(4):333-341.
277. Mitchell SL, Epstein LH. Changes in taste and satiety in dietary-restrained women following stress. *Physiology and Behavior.* 1996;60(2):495-499.
278. Nakagawa M, Mizuma K, Inui T. Changes in taste perception following mental or physical stress. *Chemical Senses.* 1996;21(2):195-200.
279. Fritz C, Ellis A, Demsky C, Lin B, Guros F. Embracing work breaks: Recovering from work stress. *Organizational Dynamics.* 2013;42(4):274-280.
280. Jordan CH, Wang W, Donatoni L, Meier BP. Mindful eating: Trait and state mindfulness predict healthier eating behavior. *Personality and Individual Differences.* 2014;68:107-111.
281. Beshara M, Hutchinson AD, Wilson C. Does mindfulness matter? Everyday mindfulness, mindful eating and self-reported serving size of energy dense foods among a sample of South Australian adults. *Appetite.* 2013;67:25-29.
282. Rogers R, Krallman R, Jackson EA, et al. Top 10 Lessons Learned from Project Healthy Schools. *The American Journal of Medicine.* 2017;130(8):990.e991-990.e997.
283. Cohen JFW, Jahn JL, Richardson S, Cluggish SA, Parker E, Rimm EB. Amount of Time to Eat Lunch Is Associated with Children's Selection and Consumption of School Meal Entrée, Fruits, Vegetables, and Milk. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics.* 2016;116(1):123-128.
284. Bosma H, Jansen M, Schefman S, Hajema KJ, Feron F. Lonely at the bottom: a cross-sectional study on being ill, poor, and lonely. *Public Health.* 2015;129(2):185-187.
285. Prescott SL, Pawankar R, Allen KJ, et al. A global survey of changing patterns of food allergy burden in children. *World Allergy Organization Journal.* 2013;6(1):1-12.
286. Kagan RS. Food allergy: an overview. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2003;111(2):223-225.
287. United States Food & Drug Administration. What you need to know about food allergies. <https://www.fda.gov/food/buy-store-serve-safe-food/what-you-need-know-about-food-allergies>. Published 2018. Accessed June 17, 2019.
288. Cianferoni A, Muraro A. Food-induced anaphylaxis. *Immunol Allergy Clin North Am.* 2012;32(1):165-195.
289. N. IAID-Sponsored Expert Panel, Boyce JA, Assa'ad A, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of food allergy in the United States: report of the NIAID-sponsored expert panel. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2010;126(6 Suppl):S1-S58.
290. Sampson HA. 9. Food allergy. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology.* 2003;111(2):S540-S547.
291. Mayo Clinic. Food allergy: symptoms & causes. <https://www.mayoclinic.org/diseases-conditions/food-allergy/symptoms-causes/syc-20355095>. Published 2017. Updated May 2, 2017. Accessed June 17, 2019.
292. Jackson L, Al-Taher F, Moorman M, et al. Cleaning and other control and validation strategies to prevent allergen cross-contact in food-processing operations. *Journal of food protection.* 2008;71:445-458.
293. Taylor S, Hefle SL. Allergen control. *Food technology.* 2005;59:40-+.
294. Eigenmann PA. Food allergy: a long way to safe processed foods. *Allergy.* 2001;56(12):1112-1113.

295. Gendel SM. The regulatory challenge of food allergens. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 2013;61(24):5634-5637.
296. University of Nebraska-Lincoln Institute of Agriculture and Natural Resources. Food allergens - International regulatory chart. <https://farrp.unl.edu/IRChart>. Published 2019. Updated September 11, 2019. Accessed September 13, 2019.
297. Roses JB. Food allergen law and the Food Allergen Labeling and Consumer Protection Act of 2004: falling short of true protection for food allergy sufferers. *Food Drug Law J*. 2011;66(2):225-242, ii.
298. Yu W, Freeland DMH, Nadeau KC. Food allergy: immune mechanisms, diagnosis and immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2016;16(12):751-765.
299. Savage J, Johns CB. Food allergy: epidemiology and natural history. *Immunol Allergy Clin North Am*. 2015;35(1):45-59.
300. Anagnostou K, Meyer R, Fox A, Shah N. The rapidly changing world of food allergy in children. *F1000Prime Rep*. 2015;7:35-35.
301. Sampson HA. Update on food allergy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2004;113(5):805-819; quiz 820.
302. Koplin JJ, Mills ENC, Allen KJ. Epidemiology of food allergy and food-induced anaphylaxis: is there really a Western world epidemic? *Current Opinion in Allergy and Clinical Immunology*. 2015;15(5):409-416.
303. Food Restrictions and Allergies Harvard University
<http://universityevents.harvard.edu/sites/universityevents.harvard.edu/files/FOOD%20RESTRICTIONS%20AND%20ALLERGIES.pdf>. Accessed.
304. Guthrie JF, Lin BH, Frazao E. Role of food prepared away from home in the American diet, 1977-78 versus 1994-96: changes and consequences. *J Nutr Educ Behav*. 2002;34(3):140-150.
305. Fulkerson JA, Farbakhsh K, Lytle L, et al. Away-from-home family dinner sources and associations with weight status, body composition, and related biomarkers of chronic disease among adolescents and their parents. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2011;111(12):1892-1897.
306. Mills S, Brown H, Wrieden W, White M, Adams J. Frequency of eating home cooked meals and potential benefits for diet and health: cross-sectional analysis of a population-based cohort study. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2017;14(1):109.
307. Beelen R, Raaschou-Nielsen O, Stafoggia M, et al. Effects of long-term exposure to air pollution on natural-cause mortality: an analysis of 22 European cohorts within the multicentre ESCAPE project. *Lancet*. 2014;383(9919):785-795.
308. Mills S, White M, Brown H, et al. Health and social determinants and outcomes of home cooking: A systematic review of observational studies. *Appetite*. 2017;111:116-134.
309. Kramer RF, Coutinho AJ, Vaeth E, Christiansen K, Suratkar S, Gittelsohn J. Healthier home food preparation methods and youth and caregiver psychosocial factors are associated with lower BMI in African American youth. *Journal of Nutrition*. 2012;142(5):948-954.
310. Wolfson JA, Bleich SN. Is cooking at home associated with better diet quality or weight-loss intention? *Public health nutrition*. 2015;18(8):1397-1406.
311. Todd J, Mancino L, Lin B. The Impact of Food Away From Home on Adult Diet Quality. United States Department of Agriculture;2010.
312. Seguin RA, Aggarwal A, Vermeylen F, Drewnowski A. Consumption Frequency of Foods Away from Home Linked with Higher Body Mass Index and Lower Fruit and Vegetable Intake among Adults: A Cross-Sectional Study. *J Environ Public Health*. 2016;2016:3074241.
313. Huang TT, Sorensen D, Davis S, et al. Healthy eating design guidelines for school architecture. *Prev Chronic Dis*. 2013;10:E27.
314. Nicholls R, Perry L, Duffield C, Gallagher R, Pierce H. Barriers and facilitators to healthy eating for nurses in the workplace: an integrative review. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*. 2017;73(5):1051-1065.
315. Stathopoulos AS. You are what your food eats: how regulation of factory farm conditions could improve human health and animal welfare alike. *New York University Journal of Legislation and Public Policy*. 2010;13(7):407-444.
316. The Harvard Law Review Association. Challenging concentration of control in the American meat industry. *Harvard Law Review*. 2004;117(8):2643-2664.
317. Boris LM. The food-borne ultimatum: proposing federal legislation to create humane living conditions for animals raised for food in order to improve human health. *Journal of Law and Health*. 2011;24(2):285-322.
318. Spaan S, Pronk A, Koch HM, et al. Reliability of concentrations of organophosphate pesticide metabolites in serial urine specimens from pregnancy in the Generation R Study. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol*. 2015;25(3):286-294.

319. Roca M, Miralles-Marco A, Ferre J, Perez R, Yusa V. Biomonitoring exposure assessment to contemporary pesticides in a school children population of Spain. *Environ Res.* 2014;131:77-85.
320. Viel JF, Warembourg C, Le Maner-Idrissi G, et al. Pyrethroid insecticide exposure and cognitive developmental disabilities in children: The PELAGIE mother-child cohort. *Environ Int.* 2015;82:69-75.
321. Croes K, Den Hond E, Bruckers L, et al. Endocrine actions of pesticides measured in the Flemish environment and health studies (FLEHS I and II). *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* 2015;22(19):14589-14599.
322. Heudorf U, Butte W, Schulz C, Angerer J. Reference values for metabolites of pyrethroid and organophosphorous insecticides in urine for human biomonitoring in environmental medicine. *Int J Hyg Environ Health.* 2006;209(3):293-299.
323. Cartier C, Warembourg C, Le Maner-Idrissi G, et al. Organophosphate Insecticide Metabolites in Prenatal and Childhood Urine Samples and Intelligence Scores at 6 Years of Age: Results from the Mother-Child PELAGIE Cohort (France). *Environ Health Perspect.* 2016;124(5):674-680.
324. Muñoz-Quezada MT, Lucero BA, Barr DB, et al. Neurodevelopmental effects in children associated with exposure to organophosphate pesticides: a systematic review. *Neurotoxicology.* 2013;39:158-168.
325. Ross SM, McManus IC, Harrison V, Mason O. Neurobehavioral problems following low-level exposure to organophosphate pesticides: a systematic and meta-analytic review. *Crit Rev Toxicol.* 2013;43(1):21-44.
326. Starling AP, Umbach DM, Kamel F, Long S, Sandler DP, Hoppin JA. Pesticide use and incident diabetes among wives of farmers in the Agricultural Health Study. *Occup Environ Med.* 2014;71(9):629-635.
327. Dyck R, Karunanayake C, Pahwa P, et al. Prevalence, risk factors and co-morbidities of diabetes among adults in rural Saskatchewan: the influence of farm residence and agriculture-related exposures. *BMC Public Health.* 2013;13:7.
328. Schinasi L, Leon ME. Non-Hodgkin lymphoma and occupational exposure to agricultural pesticide chemical groups and active ingredients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2014;11(4):4449-4527.
329. Ntzani EE, Ntritsos G CM, Evangelou E, Tzoulaki I. Literature review on epidemiological studies linking exposure to pesticides and health effects. *EFSA Supporting Publications.* 2013;10(10):497E.
330. Moisan F, Spinosi J, Delabre L, et al. Association of Parkinson's disease and its subtypes with agricultural pesticide exposures in men: a case-control study in France. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2015;123(11):1123-1129.
331. Van Maele-Fabry G, Hoet P, Vilain F, Lison D. Occupational exposure to pesticides and Parkinson's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Environ Int.* 2012;46:30-43.
332. Chen M, Chang CH, Tao L, Lu C. Residential exposure to pesticide during childhood and childhood cancers: a meta-analysis. *Pediatrics.* 2015;136(4):719-729.
333. Van Maele-Fabry G, Lantin AC, Hoet P, Lison D. Residential exposure to pesticides and childhood leukaemia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ Int.* 2011;37(1):280-291.
334. Van Maele-Fabry G, Hoet P, Lison D. Parental occupational exposure to pesticides as risk factor for brain tumors in children and young adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ Int.* 2013;56:19-31.
335. Mie A, Andersen HR, Gunnarsson S, et al. Human health implications of organic food and organic agriculture: a comprehensive review. *Environmental health : a global access science source.* 2017;16(1):111-111.
336. Wolfe ND, Dunavan CP, Diamond J. Origins of major human infectious diseases. *Nature.* 2007;447(7142):279-283.
337. Ross SM. Food for thought, part I: foodborne illness and factory farming. *Holistic Nursing Practice.* 2010;24(3):169-173.
338. Molbak K, Neimann J. Risk factors for sporadic infection with Salmonella enteritidis, Denmark, 1997-1999. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2002;156(7):654-661.
339. Wilesmith JW, Ryan JB, Atkinson MJ. Bovine spongiform encephalopathy: epidemiological studies on the origin. *Vet Rec.* 1991;128(9):199-203.
340. Kan B, Wang M, Jing H, et al. Molecular evolution analysis and geographic investigation of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-like virus in palm civets at an animal market and on farms. *J Virol.* 2005;79(18):11892-11900.
341. Tauxe RV. Emerging foodborne pathogens. *Int J Food Microbiol.* 2002;78(1-2):31-41.
342. Silbergeld EK, Graham J, Price LB. Industrial food animal production, antimicrobial resistance, and human health. *Annu Rev Public Health.* 2008;29:151-169.
343. Brantsæter AL, Ydersbond TA, Hoppin JA, Haugen M, Meltzer HM. Organic food in the diet: exposure and health implications. *Annual Review of Public Health.* 2017;38(1):295-313.
344. Smith-Spangler C, Brandeau ML, Hunter GE, et al. Are organic foods safer or healthier than conventional alternatives?: a systematic review. *Annals of Internal Medicine.* 2012;157(5):348-366.
345. Mather AE, Matthews L, Mellor DJ, et al. An ecological approach to assessing the epidemiology of antimicrobial resistance in animal and human populations. *Proc Biol Sci.* 2012;279(1733):1630-1639.

346. Mather AE, Reid SW, Maskell DJ, et al. Distinguishable epidemics of multidrug-resistant *Salmonella* Typhimurium DT104 in different hosts. *Science*. 2013;341(6153):1514-1517.
347. Knoblauch WA, Brown R, Braster M. Organic field crop production: a review of the economic literature. In:1990:19pp.
348. Hurtado-Barroso S, Tresserra-Rimbau A, Vallverdu-Queralt A, Lamuela-Raventos RM. Organic food and the impact on human health. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr*. 2019;59(4):704-714.
349. Barański M, Rempelos L, Iversen PO, Leifert C. Effects of organic food consumption on human health; the jury is still out! *Food Nutr Res*. 2017;61(1):1287333-1287333.
350. Beckman K. Exponering för resthalter av pesticider i konventionellt odlade frukter, bär och grönsaker inom EU och i tredje land jämfört med konventionellt odlade i Sverige samt ekologiskt odlade. (Exposure for pesticide residues in conventionally grown fruits, berries and vegetables from the EU and third countries, compared to conventionally grown products from Sweden and to organically grown products). Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm University; 2015.
351. Tilman D, Clark M. Global diets link environmental sustainability and human health. *Nature*. 2014;515(7528):518-522.
352. Tschardt T, Clough Y, Wanger TC, et al. Global food security, biodiversity conservation and the future of agricultural intensification. *Biological Conservation*. 2012;151(1):53-59.
353. Wheeler T, von Braun J. Climate change impacts on global food security. *Science*. 2013;341(6145):508-513.
354. Bengtsson J, Ahnström J, Weibull A. The effects of organic agriculture on biodiversity and abundance: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Ecology*. 2005;42(2):261-269.
355. Tuck SL, Winqvist C, Mota F, Ahnstrom J, Turnbull LA, Bengtsson J. Land-use intensity and the effects of organic farming on biodiversity: a hierarchical meta-analysis. *J Appl Ecol*. 2014;51(3):746-755.
356. Mondelaers K. A meta-analysis of the differences in environmental impacts between organic and conventional farming. *British Food Journal*. 2009;111(10):1098-1119.
357. Tuomisto HL, Hodge ID, Riordan P, Macdonald DW. Does organic farming reduce environmental impacts?--a meta-analysis of European research. *J Environ Manage*. 2012;112:309-320.
358. Ugarte CM, Kwon H, Andrews SS, Wander MM. A meta-analysis of soil organic matter response to soil management practices: An approach to evaluate conservation indicators. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*. 2014;69(5):422-430.
359. Gustafson C. Point-of-decision prompts and cognitive resources. Nebraska: University of Nebraska-Lincoln; May 29 2019.
360. Kahn EB, Ramsey LT, Brownson RC, et al. The effectiveness of interventions to increase physical activity. A systematic review. *Am J Prev Med*. 2002;22(4 Suppl):73-107.
361. Soler RE, Leeks KD, Buchanan LR, et al. Point-of-decision prompts to increase stair use. A systematic review update. *Am J Prev Med*. 2010;38(2 Suppl):S292-300.
362. Gustafson CR, Zeballos E. The effect of ingredient-specific calorie information on calories ordered. *Preventive medicine reports*. 2018;12:186-190.
363. Gustafson CR, Kent R, Prate MR, Jr. Retail-based healthy food point-of-decision prompts (PDPs) increase healthy food choices in a rural, low-income, minority community. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(12):e0207792.
364. Hare TA, Malmaud J, Rangel A. Focusing attention on the health aspects of foods changes value signals in vmPFC and improves dietary choice. *J Neurosci*. 2011;31(30):11077-11087.
365. Appleby MC. Sustainable agriculture is humane, humane agriculture is sustainable. *Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics*. 2005;18(3):293-303.
366. Johnston J, Szabo M, Rodney A. Good food, good people: understanding the cultural repertoire of ethical eating. *Journal of Consumer Culture*. 2011;11(3):293-318.
367. Perez J, Allen P, Brown M. Community Supported Agriculture on the Central Coast: The CSA member experience. 2003.
368. Hinrichs CC, Lyson TA. Remaking the North American food system: strategies for sustainability. Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press; 2008.
369. United States Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. Chapter 2: shifts needed to align with healthy eating patterns. 2019.
370. Dixon JM, Donati KJ, Pike LL, Hattersley L. Functional foods and urban agriculture: two responses to climate change-related food insecurity. *New South Wales public health bulletin*. 2009;20(1-2):14.
371. Soga M, Gaston KJ, Yamaura Y. Gardening is beneficial for health: A meta-analysis. *Preventive Medicine Reports*. 2017;5(C):92-99.
372. Center for Ecogenetics & Environmental Health. Health risks of pesticides in food. 2013.

373. United States Department of Agriculture. People's garden. http://www.usda.gov/wps/portal/usda/usdahome?navid=GARDEN_RT1&parentnav=PEOPLES_GARDEN&navtype=RT. Accessed October 14, 2019.
374. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. A new deal for school gardens. 2010.
375. United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Approach 3: make more healthful foods and beverages available. <http://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/mih/pdf/approach3.pdf>. Published 2019. Accessed October 14, 2019.
376. National Farm to School Network. National Farm to School Network. <http://www.farmtoschool.org/>. Accessed October 10, 2019.
377. Ritter E, Angulo B, Riga P, Herrán C, Relloso J, San Jose M. Comparison of hydroponic and aeroponic cultivation systems for the production of potato minitubers. *Potato Research*. 2001;44(2):127-135.
378. Estabrook B. *Tomatoland: how modern industrial agriculture destroyed our most alluring fruit*. Kansas City: Andrews McMeel; 2011.
379. Sacks R, Yi SS, Nonas C. Increasing access to fruits and vegetables: perspectives from the New York City experience. *Am J Public Health*. 2015;105(5):e29.
380. Aggarwal A, Cook AJ, Jiao J, et al. Access to supermarkets and fruit and vegetable consumption. *Am J Public Health*. 2014;104(5):917.
381. Ruel MT, Quisumbing AR, Balagamwala M. Nutrition-sensitive agriculture: What have we learned so far? *Global Food Security*. 2018;17:128-153.
382. Wells NM, Myers BM, Henderson CR. School gardens and physical activity: A randomized controlled trial of low-income elementary schools. *Preventive Medicine*. 2014;69:S27-S33.
383. Hand KL, Freeman C, Seddon PJ, Recio MR, Stein A, van Heezik Y. The importance of urban gardens in supporting children's biophilia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (1091-6490 (Electronic)).
384. Davis KL, Brann LA-O. Examining the benefits and barriers of instructional gardening programs to increase fruit and vegetable intake among preschool-age children. *Journal of Environmental and Public Health*. (1687-9813 (Electronic)).
385. Schmutz U, Lennartsson M, Williams S, Devereaux M, Davies G. The benefits of gardening and food growing for health and wellbeing. 2014.
386. Leng CH, Wang JD. Daily home gardening improved survival for older people with mobility limitations: an 11-year follow-up study in Taiwan. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2016;15(947-59).
387. Gonzalez MT, Kirkeveld M. Benefits of sensory garden and horticultural activities in dementia care: a modified scoping review. *J Clin Nurs*. 2014;23:2698-2715.
388. Sallis JF, Floyd MF, Rodríguez DA, Saelens BE. Role of built environments in physical activity, obesity, and cardiovascular disease. *Circulation*. 2012;125(5):729-737.
389. Carroll-Scott A, Gilstad-Hayden K, Rosenthal L, et al. Disentangling neighborhood contextual associations with child body mass index, diet, and physical activity: the role of built, socioeconomic, and social environments. *Soc Sci Med*. 2013;95:106-114.
390. Caspi CE, Kawachi I, Subramanian SV, Adamkiewicz G, Sorensen G. The relationship between diet and perceived and objective access to supermarkets among low-income housing residents. *Soc Sci Med*. 2012;75(7):1254-1262.
391. Ding D, Sallis JF, Norman GJ, et al. Community food environment, home food environment, and fruit and vegetable intake of children and adolescents. *J Nutr Educ Behav*. 2012;44(6):634-638.
392. Ahern M, Brown C, Dukas S. A national study of the association between food environments and county-level health outcomes. *J Rural Health*. 2011;27(4):367-379.
393. Dutko P, Ver Ploeg M, Farrigan T. Characteristics and influential factors of food deserts. August 1 2012.
394. Congdon P. Obesity and urban environments. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2019;16(3):464.
395. Prentice AM. The emerging epidemic of obesity in developing countries. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2006;35(1):93-99.
396. Walker RE, Keane CR, Burke JG. Disparities and access to healthy food in the United States: A review of food deserts literature. *Health & Place*. 2010;16(5):876-884.
397. Morland K, Wing S, Diez Roux A. The contextual effect of the local food environment on residents' diets: the atherosclerosis risk in communities study. *Am J Public Health*. 2002;92(11):1761-1767.
398. Giskes K, van Lenthe F, Avendano-Pabon M, Brug J. A systematic review of environmental factors and obesogenic dietary intakes among adults: are we getting closer to understanding obesogenic environments? *Obes Rev*. 2011;12(5):e95-e106.
399. World Health Organization. Promoting fruit and vegetable consumption around the world. <https://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/fruit/en/index2.html>. Published 2019. Accessed September 12, 2019.

400. World Health Organization. Metrics: Disability-adjusted life year (DALY). https://www.who.int/healthinfo/global_burden_disease/metrics_daly/en/. Published 2019. Accessed January 5, 2019.
401. Weinstein Agrawal A, Schlossberg M, Irvin K. How far, by which route and why? A spatial analysis of pedestrian preference. *Journal of Urban Design*. 2008;13(1):81-98.
402. Cervero R. Alternative approaches to modeling the travel-demand impacts of smart growth. *Journal of the American Planning Association*. 2006;72(3):285-295.
403. Calthorpe P. *The next American metropolis: Ecology, community, and the American dream*. New York: Princeton Architectural Press; 1993.
404. Lamichhane AP, Puett R, Porter DE, Bottai M, Mayer-Davis EJ, Liese AD. Associations of built food environment with body mass index and waist circumference among youth with diabetes. *The international journal of behavioral nutrition and physical activity*. 2012;9:81-81.
405. Pouliot MC, Despres JP, Lemieux S, et al. Waist circumference and abdominal sagittal diameter: best simple anthropometric indexes of abdominal visceral adipose tissue accumulation and related cardiovascular risk in men and women. *Am J Cardiol*. 1994;73(7):460-468.
406. Rundle A, Neckerman KM, Freeman L, et al. Neighborhood food environment and walkability predict obesity in New York City. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2009;117(3):442-447.
407. Larson NI, Story MT, Nelson MC. Neighborhood environments: Disparities in access to healthy foods in the U.S. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. 2009;36(1):74-81.e10.
408. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Strategies to prevent obesity and other chronic diseases: The CDC guide to strategies to increase the consumption of fruits and vegetables*. Atlanta: United States Department of Health and Human Services;2011.
409. Lo BK, Megiel S, Liu E, Folta SC, Graham ML, Seguin RA. Associations between frequency of food shopping at different food sources and fruit and vegetable intake among rural residents in upstate New York, USA. *Public Health Nutr*. 2019;22(13):2472-2478.
410. New York Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. *Farmers' markets -- Bringing fresh, nutritious food to the South Bronx*. New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene; 2008.
411. DeAnn C, Allen C, Loel S, Preston M, Elisa W, Kathleen MR. Kaiser Permanente's farmers' market program: Description, impact, and lessons learned. *Journal of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Community Development*. 2012;2(2).
412. Thornton LE, Lamb KE, Ball K. Employment status, residential and workplace food environments: associations with women's eating behaviours. *Health Place*. 2013;24:80-89.
413. Hanson KL, Kolodinsky J, Wang W, et al. Adults and children in low-income households that participate in cost-offset Community Supported Agriculture have high fruit and vegetable consumption. *Nutrients*. 2017;9(7):726.
414. Andreatta S, Rhyne M, Dery N. Lessons learned from advocating CSAs for low-income and food insecure households. *Southern Rural Sociology*. 2008;23.
415. Minaker LM, Raine KD, Fisher P, Thompson ME, Van Loon J, Frank LD. Food purchasing from farmers' markets and community-supported agriculture is associated with reduced weight and better diets in a population-based sample. *Journal of Hunger & Environmental Nutrition*. 2014;9(4):485-497.
416. Allen Iv J, Rossi J, Woods T, Davis A. Do Community Supported Agriculture programmes encourage change to food lifestyle behaviours and health outcomes? New evidence from shareholders. *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*. 2016;15:1-17.
417. Perez J, Allen P, Brown M. *Community Supported Agriculture on the Central Coast: The CSA member experience*. 2003.
418. Mead MN. The sprawl of food deserts. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2008;116(8):A335-A335.
419. Widener MJ, Metcalf SS, Bar-Yam Y. Developing a mobile produce distribution system for low-income urban residents in food deserts. *J Urban Health*. 2012;89(5):733-745.
420. Larsen K, Gilliland J. Mapping the evolution of 'food deserts' in a Canadian city: Supermarket accessibility in London, Ontario, 1961–2005. *International Journal of Health Geographics*. 2008;7(1):16.
421. Hilmers A, Hilmers DC, Dave J. Neighborhood disparities in access to healthy foods and their effects on environmental justice. *Am J Public Health*. 2012;102(9):1644-1654.
422. Treuhart S, Karpyn A. *The grocery gap: Who has access to healthy food and why it matters*. Policy Link, The Food Trust;2010.

423. Ghosh-Dastidar M, Hunter G, Collins RL, et al. Does opening a supermarket in a food desert change the food environment? *Health & place*. 2017;46:249-256.
424. Morland KB, Evenson KR. Obesity prevalence and the local food environment. *Health & place*. 2009;15(2):491-495.
425. Gase LN, DeFosset AR, Smith LV, Kuo T. The association between self-reported grocery store access, fruit and vegetable intake, sugar-sweetened beverage consumption, and obesity in a racially diverse, low-income population. *Front Public Health*. 2014;2:229-229.
426. Cummins S, Flint E, Matthews SA. New neighborhood grocery store increased awareness of food access but did not alter dietary habits or obesity. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2014;33(2):283-291.
427. Abeykoon AH, Engler-Stringer R, Muhajarine N. Health-related outcomes of new grocery store interventions: a systematic review. *Public Health Nutr*. 2017;20(12):2236-2248.
428. Freedman DA, Flocke S, Shon E-J, et al. Farmers' market use patterns among supplemental nutrition assistance program recipients with high access to farmers' markets. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*. 2017;49(5):397-404.e391.
429. Burnett R, Chen H, Szyszkowicz M, et al. Global estimates of mortality associated with long-term exposure to outdoor fine particulate matter. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2018;115(38):9592-9597.
430. Freedman DA, Vaudrin N, Schneider C, et al. Systematic review of factors influencing farmers' market use overall and among low-income populations. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*. 2016;116(7):1136-1155.
431. Larsen K, Gilliland J. A farmers' market in a food desert: Evaluating impacts on the price and availability of healthy food. *Health & place*. 2009;15:1158-1162.
432. Inagami S, Cohen DA, Finch BK, Asch SM. You are where you shop: grocery store locations, weight, and neighborhoods. *Am J Prev Med*. 2006;31(1):10-17.

The WELL Building Standard (“WELL”) and related resources such as Evidence Box documents constitute proprietary information of the International WELL Building Institute pbc (IWBI). All information contained herein is provided without warranties of any kind, either express or implied, including but not limited to warranties of the accuracy or completeness of the information or the suitability of the information for any particular purpose. Use of this document in any form implies acceptance of these conditions.

IWBI authorizes individual use of this document. In exchange for this authorization, the user agrees:

1. to retain all copyright and other proprietary notices contained herein,
2. not to sell or modify this document, and
3. not to reproduce, display or distribute this document in any way for any public or commercial purpose.
4. To ensure that any and all authorized uses of this document, including excerpts thereof, are accompanied by attribution, including to the appropriate addendum.

Unauthorized use of this document violates copyright, trademark and other laws and is prohibited.

INTERNATIONAL WELL BUILDING INSTITUTE, IWBI, THE WELL BUILDING STANDARD, THE WELL COMMUNITY STANDARD, WELL CERTIFIED, WELL PORTFOLIO, WELL PORTFOLIO SCORE, WELL AP, THE WELL CONFERENCE, WELL Health-Safety Rating, WELL™, and others and their related logos are trademarks or certification marks of the International WELL Building Institute pbc in the United States and other countries.

Disclaimer

Although the information contained in WELL v2 is believed to be reliable and accurate, all materials set forth within are provided without warranties of any kind, either express or implied, including but not limited to warranties of the accuracy or completeness of information or the suitability of the information for any particular purpose. The WELL Building Standard and resources related thereto including this document are intended to educate and assist organizations, building stakeholders, real estate owners, tenants, occupants and other and related resources including this document should be considered, or used as a substitute for, quality control, safety analysis, legal compliance (including zoning), comprehensive urban planning, medical advice, diagnosis or treatment.