WELL V2:

EVIDENCE BEHIND THE **COMMUNITY** CONCEPT

FEATURE C01: HEALTH AND WELLNESS PROMOTION

OVERVIEW

Part 1: Provide information and communications about the WELL features pursued by the project as well as general information on the impact of the built environment on health.

SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND

- Health literacy refers to a person's cognitive and social ability to access, correctly interpret and truly understand basic health information – as well as the resources needed to act on these understandings and make decisions that promote and maintain individual and community health.[1-4]
- A person's level of health literacy is affected by their personal background and environment, including culture, language, general education and the individuals and institutions in their social and professional networks.[5]
- Self-efficacy refers to an individual's belief in their ability to control aspects and events in their life, and is tied to the ability to cultivate feelings of empowerment.[6]

KEY HEALTH AND WELL-BEING EFFECTS

- Low health literacy is directly correlated with lower general, mental and physical health -- an association that has been particularly well documented in studies of older adult populations.[7-13] Low health literacy is also associated with higher rates of all-cause mortality (i.e., deaths from any cause in a given population or subset of a population across a certain length of time), particularly in older populations who have not participated in formal or informal lifelong learning.[14-16]
  - Several studies note the impact of health literacy on health status varies depending on immigrant status, race/ethnicity and language proficiency.[17-21]
- Improved health literacy is associated with an increase in preventative health measures, such as getting a general health check-up, mammograms, flu vaccinations and dental cleanings.[22-27]
  - Providing health trainings can empower employees to organize and lead programming related to their own health goals, and encourage a higher level of engagement with existing programming.[28]

HEALTH PROMOTION BENEFITS AND STRATEGIES

- Incorporating functional health literacy skills (e.g., reading and interpreting nutrition labels) into educational centers for both children and adults has been identified as an effective route for improving health literacy and reducing health inequity.[29-34]
- The workplace can be a vehicle for health promotion of all kinds, but participation in programming can be low.[35-38] To be the most effective, research shows that programming and communication should be multi-modal (e.g., a mix of behavioral and organizational interventions).[39]
- Two types of workplace interventions are most impactful in enhancing employee health:
  - Preventative measures that can reduce accidents or occupational/industrial risks.
  - Education and access to health-promoting behaviors that can reduce noncommunicable diseases.[16]
- Organizations looking to cultivate a health-promoting workplace environment should identify and prioritize the specific needs and current competencies of the people using the space, as well as the culture and norms of the organization.[40] Health promotion and communication efforts tailored to specific audiences and their needs are the most effective.[41]
  - Improvements to individual and community health can be achieved by making information more accessible, and building individual knowledge on healthy behaviors.[42-45] Workplaces can further support employees by encouraging them to utilize health-promoting amenities and consistently communicating opportunities that facilitate acting on that knowledge to engage in healthy behaviors.[46]
- Other strategies for success include engaging all levels of the organization, accommodating various learning styles and creating messaging that is simple and aligned with other programming.[16]

ADDITIONAL NOTES

- Around the world, the prevalence and consequence of low health literacy and poor health promotion most severely affect vulnerable populations, including older adults, minority groups, individuals with low levels of education, immigrants and people with chronic diseases.[16,31,47]

FEATURE C02: INTEGRATIVE DESIGN

OVERVIEW
Part 1: Facilitate an inclusive and collaborative planning process to engage stakeholders from project planning to WELL Certification.
Part 2: Establish and promote a health-oriented project mission.

SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND
- The socio-ecological approach to public health, sometimes called eco-epidemiology, recognizes that only a fraction of an individual's health status comes from genetic factors.[48] An individual's health status is significantly influenced by their physical and social environment – even more so than genetics or medical care – including community-level factors such as neighborhood amenities, social support and opportunities to be physically active and make healthy food choices.[49,50]
- This is in contrast to the bio-medical approach to public health, which focuses on individual behavior change and is context- and place-neutral, and which has dominated public health during the last 50 years.[51,52]
- The socio-ecological approach recognizes that environmental modifications and programmatic changes have longer-lasting impacts than exhortations for individual change, particularly for vulnerable populations.[53,54] Stakeholder engagement is key to establishing environmental modifications, programmatic changes and other strategies that meet stakeholder needs and help improve stakeholder health.[55]

KEY HEALTH AND WELL-BEING EFFECTS
- Projects that consult key stakeholders during planning and development processes can better serve stakeholder needs and positively impact the health and well-being of certain populations, particularly disadvantaged communities.[56-58]
- Studies show that low-income and minority populations are most often excluded from planning discussions and decision-making, leading to design decisions that do not address their needs and even increase their exposure to a disproportionate burden of poor health conditions, displacement, pollution, crime and lack of access to opportunities and services.[57,58]
  - Populations such as children, minority groups, Native Americans and rural and urban poor experience increased risk from environmental exposures and related health conditions.[58]
  - Furthermore, current methods of data capture are believed to underestimate exposure levels, suggesting exposure is greater than reported.[58]
- Engaging stakeholders in decision making also fosters social cohesion, which builds social bonds among members of a community.[59]
  - Often referred to as "social capital," social cohesion serves as a proxy for the belief that an individual can impact collective action and contribute to the common good of their social network.[60]
  - Studies have found that individuals within neighborhoods that reported higher scores of social cohesion experienced positive effects on physical and mental health.[61]

HEALTH PROMOTION BENEFITS AND STRATEGIES
- Engaging diverse stakeholders from the project onset creates the opportunity for collaborative dialogue between key decision-makers, planners and individuals who will be impacted by the project.
- This integrative stakeholder engagement can enhance the legitimacy and accountability of policies and practices, increase policy effectiveness, increase transparency in decision-making, improve trust and reduce conflict.[55,62-66]
- Practicing goal-setting and incorporating integrative design with key community stakeholders from the start of a project can enhance the creation of equitable policies by engaging with disadvantaged groups and empowering them.[67,68]
- Participation in collaborative, stakeholder planning can also create social learning by valuing other stakeholders' perspectives, as well as social and human capital.[69,70]
- Stakeholder input can help a project identify and address its essential goals for health promotion and incorporate design that best celebrates the project's unique identity, culture and place, creating a space that meets the needs of all stakeholders and enriches the well-being of both occupants and visitors.[57,71,72]

- A case study in France that brought diverse stakeholders together in integrative planning for a project reported that the community engagement and feedback provided valuable input that would be incorporated in the master plan. The process was also used to engage community stakeholders, cultivate a shared practice of community engagement and create an educational tool for participants on urban planning and design.[73]
- Establishing a health-centered mission from the beginning that reflects occupants needs and voices, and transparently communicates how the project will adhere to that mission through design and operations, can help occupants remain engaged in the space and empower them to utilize all available health and wellness programs and policies.[69,74]

ADDITIONAL NOTES
- As marginalized communities tend to be less represented in stakeholder consultations, it is important to be clear on the cost for each group to participate in terms of time and labor.[55]
- Taking the time to develop better community relationships can help support more effective engagement, particularly for groups that may have historical distrust of certain organizations and of government.[75]
- Holding stakeholder meetings after normal work hours, offering free childcare, locating the meeting close to transit and reimbursing travel costs are strategies that may help encourage better participation from underrepresented groups.[76]

FEATURE C03: EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS

OVERVIEW

Part 1: Undertake a risk assessment, develop an emergency management and response plan outlining specific steps to take in various types of emergencies and conduct occupant emergency drills.

SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND

- A disaster is any event that exposes a significant number of people to a hazard or threat, resulting in injuries and/or death.[77] Disasters disrupt normal processes and overwhelm the affected community's capacity to respond.[78,79]
- An emergency refers to an event that can be handled with existing resources and capacities, but requires individuals and communities to take extraordinary measures to prevent further harm or damage to people or the environment.[77-79]
- Both natural- and human-caused emergencies and disasters can occur anywhere in the world, affect any person and impact the health and well-being of both persons directly affected and also the community at large.[77,80]
- Planning helps communities adequately respond to active emergencies and prevents future emergency or disaster events from escalating into extreme situations by identifying ways to potentially mitigate and control the reach and magnitude of experienced losses.[77]

KEY HEALTH AND WELL-BEING EFFECTS

- Types of emergencies and disasters range from natural disasters, toxic exposures and acts of violence, to communicable and non-communicable disease events.
- The frequency of natural disasters has increased in modern times. In 1960 there were 40 natural disasters globally - the highest recorded number since the beginning of the 20th century.[81] In the 21st century, the lowest recorded year saw 276 natural disaster events, while the highest year saw 432.[81] In 2018 alone, 281 natural disasters caused nearly 11,000 deaths and further affected 61.7 million people worldwide.[82] Global death rates due to natural disasters have decreased during this time due to prediction technology, resilient infrastructure and emergency preparedness and response, but this increase in annual disasters highlights the need for institutions and communities to be prepared to quickly and efficiently respond to natural disasters when they occur.[81]
- The International Association of Fire and Rescue Services reports that there were 777,794 structure fires in 2016, over half of which (475,500) were in the United States, followed by Russia (107,205) and France (86,407).[83]
  - The above reflects data from 20 countries around the world, suggesting that if more countries collected this data and/or participated in reporting, the total number of annual structure fires would be much higher.
- The health consequences of chemical incidents (e.g., accidental exposure to chemicals typically related to industrial practices) vary depending on several factors, such as the nature of the chemicals in question, the duration of exposure, the dose, and so on. Chemical incidents can cause everything from skin or eye irritation to depression of the central nervous system and mental health issues.[84,85] These effects can be acute or chronic, and exposure could trigger the onset of other common diseases that vary in severity down the line, such as cancers and asthma.[86-90]
- Data available from 171 countries around the world showed an average of 1.7 mass shooters per country from 1966 – 2012.[91] Mass shooting events occur in many countries, but 31% occurred in the United States during this span of years. This data also shows that the United States had by far the highest number of mass shooters, with 90 offenders, followed by the Philippines, Russia, Yemen and France.[91]
- There are dozens of disease outbreaks (e.g., Diphtheria, Ebola, COVID-19) occurring globally at any given time, and in an increasingly connected world, a disease from an isolated, rural village can move to a major city within 36 hours.[92-94]
- COVID-19, a respiratory disease that is caused by the novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2, was first documented in 2019 and spread globally, becoming a pandemic in 2020.[93] In May 2020, 188 countries had confirmed cases of COVID-19, with each person infected spreading it to, on average, 2-3 other individuals.[95,96]
  - The impact of COVID-19 across countries and regions has varied widely, dependent on preparedness and response measures: where early action was taken to rapidly test and isolate positive cases, implement comprehensive contact tracing and quarantine contacts for those who tested positive, regions were able to slow the spread, bring outbreaks under control and minimize secondary mortality.[97]

- o In regions that have seen exponential growth in transmission, new emergency response measures have been put in place to enforce physical distancing and movement restrictions in order to slow the spread; however, such isolating measures have contributed to severe economic downturn and profound mental health repercussions, while disadvantaged groups who are unable to physically distance or seek health services have been disproportionately impacted by the disease.[97]
  - o While the evidence is still emerging as of June 2020, according to the WHO there is urgent need to control the pandemic by maintaining current response strategies and to carefully plan for a phased transition away from current measures to avoid resurgence in COVID-19 transmission.[97]

HEALTH PROMOTION BENEFITS AND STRATEGIES
- Disaster events are unpredictable, sometimes inevitable, and can happen at any time, in any place.[98] In most disaster events, victims are affected instantly. Chemicals or biological toxins can exert health effects immediately upon exposure; each minute that passes after cardiac arrest without intervention decreases the chance of survival by 10%; and most active shooter events last less than 15 minutes.[99-101] All of this means a rapid, efficient response is necessary in times of crisis, and that there is a strong need to consider and plan a response protocol.
  - o During a terrorist attack at the World Trade Center in 1993, it took an investment banking company four hours to evacuate employees from the building, requiring some to walk down more than 60 flights of stairs. This prompted the company's reevaluation of emergency response plans and the company ran drills to practice new procedures. When the Sept. 11, 2001, terrorist attack on the World Trade Center occurred, the company was able to evacuate 3,800 employees within 45 minutes.[102]
- Leading emergency management institutions such as the World Health Organization (WHO) and Federal Emergency Management Administration (FEMA) consider a risk assessment (also known as a vulnerability, hazard or threat assessment) as an essential step in effective emergency response, since it helps identify resource gaps and anticipates the short- and long-term impact of hazards on different groups; it can, therefore, inform strategies for reducing disaster vulnerability, increasing resilience and carrying out emergency preparedness and response measures more quickly and effectively.[77]
- The WHO, FEMA and other leading groups such as the American Red Cross also recommend practicing emergency drills, and having a clear communication plan in place to convey emergency response protocols at the time of an emergency, to help organizations appropriately and efficiently execute their emergency response plans.[103]
- Especially with disease outbreak management, planning is necessary for effective communication, but this holds for other emergencies as well.[98] The WHO recommends that response strategies should include early and transparent announcements covering the situation and leadership consensus on any limits on transparency and other communication protocols before a crisis occurs.[98]

ADDITIONAL NOTES
- Compared to people living in high-income countries, people living in low-income countries are seven times more likely to die and six times more likely to be injured, displaced or require emergency assistance when a natural disaster strikes.[104]
- Natural disasters force an estimated 26 million people around the world every year into poverty.[105]
- Research also suggests that both in the case of natural disasters and cardiac events, people are differentially affected depending on factors like socioeconomic status, race and gender.[106-112]

FEATURE C04: OCCUPANT SURVEY

OVERVIEW
Part 1: Select an occupant survey that allows measurement of common building characteristics, health- and well-being-related factors and sociodemographic information using a third-party or custom survey.
Part 2: Administer the survey using techniques to protect participant-identifying data, analyze and report results.

SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND
- Survey research is the collection of data from a sample of individuals based on their answers to questions.[113] Surveys are often interpreted generally to mean questionnaires, but survey research in fact refers to a variety of research aims, sampling and recruitment strategies, data collection instruments, and methods of survey administration and analysis.[113]
- Survey research can capture both quantitative data through psychometric tools or rating scales, as well as qualitative data (i.e. open-ended questions, such as "do you have any additional comments?").[114] Survey data is collected using self-reported measures that rely on respondents' knowledge and perceptions and is referred to as subjective research.[115]
- Common goals of surveys in health and social science research are to capture the prevalence of certain conditions in a population, assess the effectiveness and impacts of interventions, and provide insight on potential relationships between two or more variables (e.g. between air quality and comfort).[115,116]
- Surveys also capture what cannot be captured from objective research, including respondents' thoughts, feelings, attitudes and self-reported behaviors.[114]
- Monitoring building environmental parameters alone (e.g., indoor air quality) is called objective research and is typically not sufficient to accurately or deeply understand occupant experiences and related health effects.[117-119]
  - This is particularly true as human perceptions of concepts like "comfort" are a highly subjective phenomenon that can vary as a function of individual physiological differences and behavioral and psychological factors.[120-123]
- Survey research can also be combined with other tools or measures such as behavioral measures, in which respondents' behavior is observed and recorded in both natural settings and structured laboratory tasks (often used for productivity studies).[124,125]
- Surveys that measure thoughts, feelings, attitudes and behaviors can use a variety of measurements, including rating scales to assess attitudes (e.g., Likert Scale) and items (i.e., questions) that, when combined in a certain order, accurately reflect the psychological construct they are intended to assess.[115]
  - Psychological constructs include personality traits, emotional states, attitudes, abilities and other variables that are difficult to measure.[114,126] Researchers continually test and revise the conceptual definitions of their constructs, which influence the items - or questions - included in a survey .[114]
  - When evaluating a measurement method, psychologists consider reliability and validity.
  - Reliability refers to the consistency of a measure – that is, where the measure is consistent across time, both internally (i.e., people's responses are consistent across a multiple-item measure of the same construct) and between researchers' interpretations.[114,127,128]
  - Validity is the extent to which the scores from a measure represent the variable they are intended to measure.[114,127,129]

KEY HEALTH AND WELL-BEING EFFECTS
- Surveys are a well-established research method that can provide information on a population of interest through the use of data collected from a subset (i.e., sample) of that population.[130]
- The relationship between the physical parameters of the environment and occupant satisfaction is complex and may interact to influence occupant outcomes.[131]
- Post-occupancy surveys are a method for building designers, building owners and facilities managers to assess building conditions and receive feedback to identify areas that require improvement or could otherwise promote greater comfort or satisfaction for occupants.[132]

- Data from post-occupancy surveys can be an effective tool to link building conditions with occupant outcomes that matter to projects. A recent study linked higher CO_2 levels to poorer cognitive function scores in a controlled environment office space.[133]
- Post-occupancy surveys can also be used to get feedback on why a program may not be working or used by employees. For example, several studies suggest that employees may underutilize available mental healthcare services for fear of stigma in the workplace.[134-136]

HEALTH PROMOTION BENEFITS AND STRATEGIES
- There are several key implementation and analysis considerations when conducting survey research.
    - (1) Sampling. Sampling is a critical part of conducting surveys and can have a significant impact on the usefulness and accuracy of findings. Ideally, sample groups should be large and selected in a randomized methodology so that the data collected can most appropriately reflect the conditions and experiences of the entire population of interest, as opposed to those of the individuals who are most likely to provide feedback or are most convenient to poll (i.e., a convenience sample).[113,126]
    - This is not always possible in a building-level study, so attempts should be made to get a sample that is as representative (i.e., the sample responding to the survey resembles the population of the building) as possible.[137]
    - (2) Response Rate. Strategies to achieve higher response rates include designing questions to be concise and easily understood and sending reminders to respondents to complete surveys.[138] Offering respondents insights on results after survey completion is another way to improve response rates to questionnaires.[139]
    - (3) Consent and privacy. Informed consent and issues of privacy and confidentiality are basic principles of ethical research conduct.[140] Best practice includes informing participants of the main research goals, providing contact information for the principal investigator and a strict assurance and outlining of the procedures to maintain confidentiality and data storage protocols.[140,141]
- There are two main types of statistical analysis that are impacted by the way researchers recruit participants: descriptive statistics summarize and organize the data collected, while inferential statistics provide insight on a population using data collected from a representative sample of that population.[115,138,142,143]
    - Common ways of describing and organizing the data include frequency tables (which show the way the data is distributed across variables), the mean (average), median (middle value) and mode (most frequent score).[130]
    - Common statistical analyses that researchers use include correlations to determine whether two variables of interest are related and means comparisons, such as t-tests or ANOVA to compare groups on particular variables.[130]
    - Researchers use various statistical tools to design and make sense of the findings from statistical tests. Some of the most important are statistical significance (a value researchers use to determine if the findings are real versus spurious), power (the likelihood that a study will detect an effect that actually exists) and effect size (a standardized measure of the strength or magnitude of the effect which allows researchers to communicate the practical consequences of their findings).[130,144-146]
- Using third-party surveys and third-party expert analysis enhances the likelihood that an administered survey is measuring its intended subject.[147] Third-party providers can also help improve credibility of the results, enable benchmarking (or comparison) across similar projects, and help participants feel that their responses are confidential, encouraging a higher response rate.[148]

ADDITIONAL NOTES
- Survey measures are often developed and validated in English-speaking countries and must be assessed for reliability and validity in new populations when translated for multicultural and international populations.[149] Cross-cultural applicability must therefore be tested to evaluate if such tools can be equally discerning across different countries and population groups.
- Tools should also be tested for certain contexts or populations. For example, few health-screening tools have been developed for low-to-middle-income populations.[150]

FEATURE C05: ENHANCED OCCUPANT SURVEY

OVERVIEW

Part 1: Select a third-party survey and add customized questions to evaluate more in-depth occupant health and well-being information.

Part 2: Administer a pre-occupancy survey using a third-party provider and compare results against a post-occupancy survey.

Part 3: Implement a plan that identifies satisfaction thresholds for post-occupancy survey responses and utilizes strategies for improving unmet thresholds.

Part 4: Identify a professional experienced in qualitative research to conduct interviews, focus groups and/or observation and analyze results.

SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND

- Survey research is the collection of data from a sample of individuals based on their answers to questions.[113]
- Survey research can capture both quantitative data through psychometric tools or rating scales, as well as qualitative data (i.e., open-ended questions).[114] As survey data is collected using self-reported measures that rely on respondents' knowledge and perceptions, it is referred to as subjective research.[115]
- Pre-occupancy surveys can be used to learn about occupant expectations, needs and desires, preconceptions, and behaviors, identify areas for occupant education and enable occupant input to influence design decisions.[151,152] Post-occupancy surveys can then measure the success of the interventions and identify potential areas for improvement in design, policy or operations.[153]
- While surveys encompass both quantitative and qualitative tools, the vast majority focus on quantitative data and follow a positivist research framework. This framework is based in the scientific method and assumes or aims for a value-free and objective science that seeks knowledge of universal truths that are knowable and quantifiable through the "right" methods and tools.[154]
- Qualitative research is focused on the how, why and what of a phenomenon and looks for the meaning people attribute to their experiences and situations. It explores the feelings, emotions, attitudes, perceptions and cognitions that may not be well captured by surveys.[155]
  - By allowing people to frame their experiences in their own words and by emphasizing a diversity of voices, qualitative research can be an effective tool for listening to how diverse racial and ethnic group members - and subjugated groups - give voice to their own lived experiences, which often differ from those in positions of power.[156,157]
- There are three main types of qualitative research.
  - (1) Exploratory. Investigates emerging and under-researched topics.[157]
  - (2) Descriptive. Uses "thick" descriptions to describe the social lived experience.[157]
  - (3) Explanatory. Explains social phenomena and the relationship between different parts of a topic.[157]
- Qualitative researchers tend to use a post-positivism framework that assumes human nature is complex, multidimensional, situated and relational, and that there is rarely a single cause and effect relationship behind human behavior.[158,159] They seek a deeper understanding of the social and cultural context in which people live.[160-162] For example, people can have overlapping and conflicting identities that influence their behavior and perceptions such as doctor, mother, wife, daughter, immigrant and citizen, that are difficult to capture in quantitative survey work.[159]
- Common qualitative methods include interviews; focus groups; ethnographies; and observation, which is common in architectural and design work.[162,163]
- Semi-structured or unstructured interviews allow for the probing and clarification of participant responses and the exploration of tangential areas that arise.[164]
- Focus groups are typically used to gain an understanding of how people feel about a particular issue and typically include 6-10 participants. Because group dynamics can influence the discussion, focus groups should avoid power differentials (i.e., bosses and direct reports).[162]
  - Focus groups highlight social interaction and common experience, reveal conflicting opinions and implicit shared and common knowledge (especially with culturally diverse populations) and allow participants to co-create the research data.[162,165-167]
  - Focus groups may be less useful when dealing with a sensitive issue, as people may be reluctant to voice their experiences in a group setting.[162]

- Observation is non-experimental research in which people's behavior is systematically observed and recorded. The goal in observational research is to obtain a snapshot of an individual, group or setting and the interactions between them.[168]
  - The main types of observation used in built environment research include naturalistic observation (i.e., observing people in their typical environment, also called field research) and structured observation, such as in a laboratory or modified natural environment.[168]
- Triangulation, or the use of multiple methods in research, can strengthen research conclusions, reduce possible errors in measurement or participant response, tailor design interventions to the specific population and provide deeper insight into the "why" on research results.[169]
- Combining qualitative and quantitative research methods can allow for the power of the general (i.e., survey work) with the insight and nuance of the particular (i.e., interviews).[170]

KEY HEALTH AND WELL-BEING EFFECTS
- Mixed method approaches can give a more holistic understanding of the interactions between buildings and occupants and possible health and performance outcomes.[170]
- Using both pre-occupancy and post-occupancy surveys allows researchers to pinpoint the intervention in question as a possible explanation for any changes seen before and after the intervention.[153]
  - The American Society of Interior Design did pre- and post-occupancy evaluations of their WELL Certified headquarters in Washington, D.C. They found that collaborative work increased by 9% after working in their new office for 15 months and satisfaction with air quality increased by 110%.[171]
- Interviews, focus groups and observation can help answer why something is happening and give context to the quantitative data from surveys.
  - Survey research on the perceptions of office workers on green roofs found that 80% of participants believed nearby nature was important to their health and well-being, and 80% of participants felt that green roofs were part of nature. However, only slightly more than half thought the green roof visible from their office building impacted their health. Interviews revealed that participants were unsure on how to quantify the impact of one green roof on their physical health, but they felt the green roof strongly impacted their well-being, ability to focus and creative problem solving.[172,173]

HEALTH PROMOTION BENEFITS AND STRATEGIES
- In conducting qualitative research, key implementation considerations include sampling and response rate, analysis and informed consent:
  - (1) Sampling and response rate. In qualitative research, sampling is usually purposeful. In other words, the researcher selects participants who represent the group to be studied, aiming for a reasonable cross-section of people.[174]
  - Key sampling methods include snowball (i.e., where participants recruit other participants), theoretical (i.e., to gather data for the generation of theory) and stratified, purposeful sampling (i.e., wanting to target certain groups).[174-177] Snowball sampling is particularly useful when the target population is hard to reach.
  - Interviews should be conducted until the same themes are heard over and over, called saturation. Approximately 30 participants is considered the minimum for saturation for most qualitative work.[148,178]
  - (2) Analysis. Qualitative researchers should practice reflexivity, a process by which they recognize, examine and understand how their social background, location and assumptions can influence the research. Reflexivity is a way to account for their personal biases and examine the effects that these biases may have on the data produced.[154]
  - In qualitative research validity, or credibility, means ensuring that the findings are accurate from the perspective of the participants. Some ways to help attain accuracy include using questions that are relevant to the goals of the project, triangulation, member checking, rich description, transferability (i.e., the degree to which findings might fit within contexts outside the study), the use of negative cases and external review of the results.[148,162,179]
  - (3) Consent. Informed consent, privacy and confidentiality are basic principles of ethical research conduct.[140] Best practices include informing participants of the main research goals, providing contact information for the principal investigator and a strict assurance and outlining of the procedures to protect confidentiality and data storage protocols.[140,141,148,162]

ADDITIONAL NOTES

- Lessons learned from qualitative research that aims to support social justice causes, such as feminism and post-colonialism, can be useful to study subjugated groups who are less represented in research and to identify differences between the intent of the law and how it is practiced. For example, while sexual harassment policies aim to prevent and mitigate sexual harassment, there may be negative repercussions against victims who report sexual harassment incidents.[154]

FEATURE C06: HEALTH SERVICES AND BENEFITS

OVERVIEW

Part 1: Provide a comprehensive health benefits plan, including essential health care and services at no or subsidized cost, and offer confidential benefits consultation.

Part 2: Offer on-site or immediately accessible medical treatment by qualified healthcare providers with a scheduling system and a policy that allows appointments during business hours as needed.

Part 3: Implement a seasonal flu prevention and education campaign and provide on-site flu vaccines or flu vaccine coverage.

SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND

- There are four main models for health care systems around the world: the Beveridge model, the Bismarck model, the national health insurance model and the out-of-pocket model.[180]
    - (1) The Beveridge model features single-payer insurance, where health care is financed and largely provided by the government through taxes (e.g., the United Kingdom, Spain, New Zealand).[180]
    - (2) The Bismarck model is more decentralized and features social health insurance, wherein employers and employees jointly fund health insurance through payroll deductions for "sickness funds" (e.g., Germany, Japan, the Netherlands, France, Switzerland).[180]
    - (3) The national health insurance model is another single-payer system that incorporates aspects of both the Beveridge and Bismarckian systems, using private providers with payment coming from a government-run insurance program that citizens pay into (e.g., Canada, Taiwan, South Korea).[180]
    - (4) The out-of-pocket model is driven primarily by individual income level, and people pay out-of-pocket for services if they can afford it (e.g., China, India, South America).[180] If prices are prohibitive to care, people are often left untreated or rely on traditional medicine alone.[180]
- Health coverage in countries with health insurance systems (mostly industrialized nations) is treated variously as either a right of labor or a constitutional or human right. Most industrialized countries offer some form of universal coverage to help ensure all members of society can access and use necessary health services without running the risk of financial ruin.[181]
    - The United States is one of several exceptions to this as it features a fragmented system of coverage drawing from a mix of all four models depending on age, income level, employment status or veteran status.[182]
- Vaccines are inactive (killed) or attenuated (weakened) microorganisms that cause certain diseases and are introduced to the body to stimulate the natural immune response, triggering the creation of antibodies that then can fight the disease if encountered later in active or potent form.[183]
- Seasonal influenza has four types of viruses.[184] Influenza virus A and B (which have further sub-types) are the two types that cause seasonal outbreaks of the flu in human populations.[184,185]
- Every season there may be new strains of the influenza virus. The efficacy of a vaccine is contingent upon a number of factors, including how many doses (as applicable) of the vaccine are received and how well-matched the virus in the vaccine is to the virus in circulation. Immunity to one type or sub-type of influenza offers no or only limited protection against other types or sub-types.[185] Additionally, some vaccines are single-dose and others are multi-dose.[185] This is why it is important to receive flu vaccines every year: vaccine efficacy varies season to season and from context to context.[186,187]

KEY HEALTH AND WELL-BEING EFFECTS

- In countries such as the United States, uninsured people are less likely to have a regular source of care and are more likely to delay care even when sick or injured.[188,189] Lack of insurance is also associated with poorer overall health status and an increased risk of death.[190-192]
- Missed or delayed medical care is associated with excess morbidity and mortality, and a greater severity of illness.[193] Delayed care is particularly harmful in the case of diseases like cancer, where early detection is critical for healthy outcomes and proper disease management.[194,195]
- People without health insurance coverage in countries such as the United States are less likely to receive necessary inpatient and outpatient medical services, resulting in poorer patient outcomes.[196-200]

- One study found that sick newborns without insurance received fewer inpatient services compared to other newborns, despite no differences in medical need.[201] Another found that uninsured hospital patients were at greater risk of medical injury from substandard care.[202]
- Globally, on average, governments provide 51% of financing for their health systems. Yet 35% of health expenses come from out of pocket expenditures. This health care burden annually forces 100 million people into extreme poverty.[203]
- In the Netherlands, all legal residents must buy individual private health insurance and will be accepted regardless of pre-existing conditions.[204] The system is viewed as affordable (averaging $1,615 annually) and in 2016 ranked third in the global Health Care Access and Quality Index (value of 96.1.[205,206]
- In countries such as India, the healthcare burden persists. 25% percent of patients who have to pay out of pocket for a hospitalized stay are cast below the poverty line due to their hospitalization.[207] Furthermore, 71% of reported healthcare expenditures are cited as out-of-pocket payments, demonstrating the severity of financial stress on the general population.[208]
- Vaccines are one of the most cost-effective ways to prevent disease, saving an estimated 2-3 million lives per year.[209] A further 1.5 million lives could be saved with improved worldwide coverage of vaccinations.[209]
- The flu can make people seriously ill and, in some cases, even results in death, especially for adults over the age of 65, children under the age of two and people with other medical conditions that can be complicated by the flu.[185] As with any disease, the best way to address the flu is to prevent it, and annual vaccinations are the primary way to prevent both the flu and its related complications.[185,210]
  - Studies suggest that adults over 65 may have diminished antibody responses to flu vaccines, suggesting a need to develop different vaccine types for this community.[187,211,212] However, vaccination is still considered effective for protecting older populations against the flu.[213,214]

HEALTH PROMOTION BENEFITS AND STRATEGIES
- In the United States, insurance coverage promotes greater utilization of preventative health services, reduces risk through behavioral changes, and improves disease management.[215-217]
- Insurance is linked to better access to and utilization of health care services overall.[218,219]
- Screenings are a critical part of preventive health care. They facilitate the early detection of disease in people who may otherwise appear healthy and can help individuals more immediately treat and manage any conditions needing medical attention.[220]
  - While there are substantial benefits to screening, it is important to follow clinical guidelines for appropriate screenings. In some cases, screenings can lead to over-diagnosis and unnecessary treatment, and avoidable psychological distress. This was demonstrated to be the case with breast cancer screenings, wherein screening frequency guidelines were modified based on research including a Cochrane Systematic Review that estimated that for every 2,000 women screened for breast cancer over a span of 10 years, one would avoid early mortality and 10 healthy women experienced false positive findings.[221,222]
- Even in countries with universal health insurance systems, prescription drugs may not always be covered.[223] Including prescription drug coverage and minimizing caps on drug insurance helps to remove a potentially significant barrier to accessing necessary medication and can improve patient outcomes.[224,225]
- On-site workplace clinics are an increasingly popular way to provide both preventative care and treatment to employees, including more convenient treatment of any acute conditions that otherwise would require potentially time-consuming trips off-site to seek medical care.[226,227]
  - School-based health clinics have been shown to reduce annual healthcare costs by 15% and decrease rates of teacher absenteeism.[228]
  - More evidence is needed to assess the impact of on-site clinics in workplaces. For example, such clinics have the potential to reduce costs associated with care for both patients and employers, but further research on well-designed and well-implemented workplace clinics is needed to identify any return on investment and any conferred health benefits.[227]
- Misinformation related to disease pathology and low health literacy can contribute to reduced rates of preventative care utilization, such as with vaccinations. Removing cost and lack of knowledge or information as barriers to obtaining vaccines can significantly increase the number of people who get immunizations, serving to help protect both the individual and the community at-large by supporting efforts toward herd immunity.[229-231]

- Herd immunity refers to when a community as a whole can resist a contagious disease because a large enough proportion of that community is immune — whether because they are vaccinated or have already contracted the disease — making it highly unlikely that anyone who is not yet immune (such as infants or people who cannot get vaccinated because they have compromised immune systems) will be exposed, thereby slowing or effectively ending the spread of the disease in the population.[232-237]
  - The herd immunity threshold (i.e., the proportion of the population that needs to be immune to achieve herd immunity) varies by disease, based on factors such as ease of disease transmission across persons and how long the disease or the immunity lasts. But the threshold is typically very high, ranging from 75% to 95% of the population.[238]
- Research spanning several countries has demonstrated that the workplace can be an effective setting to increase rates of vaccination, particularly through on-site, free or reduced-cost administrations that are well-promoted with the provision of reliable information in the workplace.[239-243]
  - One study in the United States found that those who received the flu vaccine had 43% fewer days of sick leave compared to those who did not.[244]

ADDITIONAL NOTES
- Much of the research on the health effects associated with health coverage derive from the United States. This may be due to its unique position as one of the few developed nations in the world (next to some Balkan states and Belarus) lacking universal health care, resulting in large, comparable groups who are uninsured, insured through a public program (e.g., Medicare or Medicaid) and/or insured through private insurance.
- Achieving universal health coverage for all is a key goal promoted by the World Health Organization.[245] Health coverage addresses a crucial potential barrier to health care by limiting financial burden. However, there are several other potential barriers to health care utilization that must also be addressed to truly facilitate equal access to health care for all. These include health beliefs, vaccine hesitancy, cultural practices, language, social networks and the landscape of actually available and accessible services and qualified medical professionals.[246-249]

FEATURE C07: ENHANCED HEALTH AND WELLNESS PROMOTION

OVERVIEW
Part 1: Support a culture of health through quarterly health programs and monthly educational communications and implement internal health promotion coordinators to organize programming.
Part 2: Dedicate at least one executive-level employee to plan and oversee the implementation of health promotion programs.

SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND
- In 2014, the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation launched an initiative to cultivate a "culture of health," advancing a vision for society founded on the prioritization of health, principles of health equity and equal access for all to the opportunity to lead healthy lives.[250,251] This concept focuses on building a culture that places central value on health and on systems that readily facilitate the pursuit and achievement of healthy lifestyles. The idea of building a "culture of health" has become a key foundation for organizational health policies and programming.
- An organizational culture of health helps the members of the organization adopt fewer unhealthy behaviors and can result in fewer illnesses affecting the community overall.[252] A culture of health is achieved when the overarching identity of an organization — at every level — is one that values the health of its members.[253]

KEY HEALTH AND WELL-BEING EFFECTS
- Organizational health and wellness interventions may support reductions in negative health outcomes, such as rates of absenteeism, and can create cost savings up to $10 for every $1 invested.[254] In a meta-analysis of the economic impact of implementing workplace health promotion programs in the United States, participating employers saw average reductions in sick leave, health plan costs and workers' compensation and disability insurance costs of about 25%.[255]
  - One study investigating outcomes across nearly three dozen studies spanning 10 years of data found that there were average reductions of around 25% in sick leave and costs associated with health plans, workers' compensation and disability.[256]
- While a RAND Corporation study found that over 85% of large employers (i.e., with 1,000 or more employees) in the United States offer workplace wellness programs, Gallup research reveals that only 60% of employees at those companies are aware their employer offers a wellness program, and only 24% of employees at companies that offer a workplace wellness program participate.[257,258]
  - A comprehensive review of workplace wellness programs identified that consistent comparison across programs is limited due to a convenience sample of employers with substantial commitment and investment into wellness programs, differential motivation of participants in wellness programs and differences between program participants and nonparticipants. The review also identified priority areas of future research to include contextual factors and program design features that influence program impact, the effect of financial incentives on employee engagement and long-term health impact of wellness programs.[257]

HEALTH PROMOTION BENEFITS AND STRATEGIES
- Strategic and frequent communications are important to promote health programming and encourage active participation. Electronic communications may be a good option in terms of feasibility, broad reach and employee acceptance.[259]
  - A review of several studies indicates that strong, effective communications include a communication plan that is comprehensive and integrated into broader programming, with multiple messages delivered through a range of channels and tailored to the specific audience.[260]
- Financial incentives have been shown to be effective in promoting healthy behaviors, specifically by encouraging people to adopt healthier behaviors (e.g., abstaining from tobacco use) or to participate in screenings or Health Risk Assessments (HRAs), a tool used to evaluate an individual's health by determining health risks and habits.[260-264]
  - With HRAs, for example, there may be a relationship between incentive value and organizational commitment. The higher the level of organizational commitment, the smaller the financial incentive needs to be to compel engagement in preventive actions and vice versa.[265]

- Effective incentives are not limited to financial rewards — anything that encourages or compels certain behavior can act as an incentive, and studies demonstrate that non-financial incentives such as paid leave time or fitness wearables can sometimes be as powerful as financial rewards in driving engagement in wellness programming.[266,267]
- Leadership commitment to and active participation in wellness programming consistently appears across the literature as the top predictor of employee engagement in wellness programming.[268-273] Leadership includes executives as well as other senior- and mid-level management. A show of commitment to healthy behavior and health program engagement from these individuals is a strong driver of the overall culture of health in an organization.[268-273]
  - In a study that assessed the differences in engagement rates and risk reductions between a range of "best" and "common" practice health programs, best practice programs found strategies such as strong management support and dedicated onsite staff important in cultivating higher engagement with health programs.[271]
  - Best practice and common practice rankings were determined via an organization's overall score on the nine criteria metrics, with a range of 7-22 (lowest to highest) points, with highest scorers deemed as "best practice."[271]
  - Programs were considered "best practice" if they were comprehensively designed to meet the needs of the target participants, integrate population-based interventions, engage organizational decision-makers and create an ecosystem of transparent communication, relevant programming and access to care.[271]
  - In organizations that adhered to "best practices," health assessment participation rates were 144% higher and health coaching participation was 141% higher compared to "common practice" programs.[271] These results demonstrate that engagement at the leadership level and integration of health into an organization's workplace culture result in improved health outcomes for employees and organizational-level health risk reduction.
- Some studies further recommend the establishment of wellness committees that plan and execute events and advocate for health-promoting design and policy changes.[274-277]

FEATURE C08: NEW PARENT SUPPORT

OVERVIEW

Part 1: Offer paid parental leave for use during pregnancies, after birth, during the adoption of a child, or when fostering children, and provide supportive services to facilitate a smoother transition back to work for employees returning from leave.

SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND

- Every day, approximately 830 maternal deaths globally are related to pregnancy and childbirth, most of which are due to preventable causes.[278,279] This speaks to a need to provide mothers with proper care and support before, during and after childbirth to support safer and healthier pregnancies and births.
    - Maternal deaths include deaths during pregnancy or within 42 days of pregnancy termination, regardless of pregnancy duration. It does not include deaths from accidental or incidental causes.[279]
    - Global maternal mortality overall is on the decline. By 2015, the figures had dropped by 44% over the preceding 25 years.[278]
- According to data from the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) on 27 (mostly developed) countries around the world, an average of 56.6% of women with children under the age of two work.[280] A significant sector of the workforce is made up of new parents, so it is critical to structure the workplace as an environment supportive of working parents and of their needs to balance work with the challenging responsibilities of parenting.
- A large body of evidence shows that the time parents (both mothers and fathers; both biological parents or other caregivers) spend with children, especially in the first few weeks, months and years of a child's life, is crucial for the health and well-being of both parent and child.[281,282] This is related to a number of factors, including parent-infant bonding and attachment, the role of the hormone oxytocin and the ease and likelihood of mothers starting and sustaining breastfeeding.[281-287]
    - Oxytocin, known sometimes as the "love hormone," is produced and secreted in different parts of the brain and facilitates pro-social behaviors such as bonding and attachment. For infants, receiving affection, particularly in the form of physical touch in the first several weeks and months of life, is vital to healthy development and correlated with mental and physical health outcomes later in life, such as mood or anxiety disorders and depression.[281,282,287,288]

KEY HEALTH AND WELL-BEING EFFECTS

- The first three years of a child's life are particularly important for brain development, and several studies indicate that the cognitive, physical, mental and emotional development that a child goes through during these early stages of life can have a lasting impact on future health and well-being, educational attainment levels, income and other elements related to socioeconomic status.[288-292] Therefore, workplace policies that support a parent's ability to be present and focus on child-rearing, particularly within the first year of a child's life, can go a long way to support the health of both new parents and their developing children.
    - Immunization rates increase when parents can take leave: A higher number of full-time equivalent weeks of paid leave is associated with higher rates of childhood vaccination.[293] One study reported that the likelihood of a child receiving vaccines to protect against measles and polio increase by more than 20% in cases when mothers can take paid leave for longer than 12 weeks.[294]
- The World Health Organization recommends that women breastfeed exclusively for six months (or 24 weeks) for the health of both mother and child.[295] The number of women who breastfeed exclusively for this length of time can increase by up to 9% when mothers are able to take leave from work for up to 3.5 months, and the same study notes that the length of time women breastfed increased by approximately ten days for every additional month away from work.[296]
- Although much of the research on parent-child attachment focuses on mothers, a nascent and growing body of research supports the significance of father-child bonding. Studies suggest that bonding between fathers and infants can play a critical role in the child's future emotional and social development, including potentially helping children experience fewer behavioral problems and more reciprocated friendships later in life.[297-301]

HEALTH PROMOTION BENEFITS AND STRATEGIES

- Comparing across 141 different countries that ranged from zero full-time equivalent weeks of paid leave to 86 full-time equivalent weeks of paid leave, ten more full-time-equivalent weeks of paid parental leave is correlated with a 10% reduction in neonatal and infant mortality rates, and a 9% reduction in mortality rates of children under five years of age.[283]

- Studies suggest that women with maternity leave between 15 and 24 weeks experience improved mental health (in terms of depression, anxiety and life satisfaction) compared to women with maternity leave less than nine weeks.[302-304]

- Parental leave taken by fathers can help address concerns of gender equality in childcare. Some studies suggest that paternity leave can improve a father's emotional investment in connecting with children.[305,306]
    - Robust parental leave policies can help mitigate the "child penalty" – i.e., the percentage that women fall behind men in work earnings due to raising children.[307] Spanning their entire career, women with children experience a nearly 20% decrease in earnings, compared to their male counterparts, with little improvement seen over the decades even as women have increased their education levels and job-specific experiences.[307,308] Major contributors to this disparity include lack of ability for new mothers to participate in the labor force, wage rates, inflexible working hours and a shift in job selection to employers that provide parental support policies.[307]

- In comparing paid leave of various durations across several countries, providing at least 40 weeks of paid leave is recommended. Paid leave of this duration was found to be associated with a 15% reduction in child mortality.[309,310]

- Parental leave policies that allow leave for up to a year (i.e., 52 weeks) may be able to improve job continuity and increase rates of employment for women without introducing negative impacts on earning potential or career advancement.[311] To further support equal career advancement, parental leave policies can be divided between parents to split the time spent out of the workforce.[307]

- Employee retention is directly tied to organizational support for parents returning to work after leave, including things such as social support from co-workers and managers, lengthier leave time and the elimination of overtime hours for new mothers.[312-314]

ADDITIONAL NOTES

- Policies that enable new parents to take leave from work to focus on childcare without fear of compromising their family's economic security are particularly important in countries where such policies are not standard or nationally mandated. The United States is one of only a handful of countries, and the only high-income country, that does not have a formal, national policy that guarantees new parents paid time off.[310] Further, same-sex parents and reconstituted families experience varying levels of legal coverage compared to traditional family units.[315]

- As rights and representation for non-traditional families (specifically same-sex couples) vary from country to country and are linked to their legal rights to become parents, policies and support range widely, and thus further disjoint access to universal parental leave policies.[315]

- Almost all maternal deaths occur in developing countries.
    - In 2015, there were 239 maternal deaths per 100,000 live births in developing countries compared to 12 maternal deaths per 100,000 live births in developed countries.
    - Therefore, health and well-being interventions of all kinds aimed at improving maternal health should especially be pursued in developing countries.
    - Within any country, practitioners should consider the disparities in maternal mortality ratio between low- and high-income families, families in rural versus urban environments, and families of different race and ethnicity.[278,316]

FEATURE C09: NEW MOTHER SUPPORT

OVERVIEW
Part 1: Provide breastfeeding support in the workplace through paid breaks, counseling and breast pump coverage, and provide accommodations to support breastfeeding while traveling for business.
Part 2: Provide a dedicated lactation room that meets best practice specifications and is designed to address user needs.

SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND
- The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends exclusive breastfeeding (i.e., no other food or drink, not even water) for the first six months of a child's life for the health of both mother and child.[317] After six months, continued breastfeeding with appropriate complementary foods is recommended up to age two.[318]
- In some countries, even if breastfeeding is initiated at birth, exclusive breastfeeding is not maintained for six months. According to the latest available data, less than 1% of infants are exclusively breastfed for the first six months of life in Finland, Greece, Chad and the United Kingdom.[319]
- Globally, between 2007 and 2014, only an estimated 36% of infants under six months of age were exclusively breastfed.[319]

KEY HEALTH AND WELL-BEING EFFECTS
- Every year, if breastfeeding was scaled to near universal levels, an estimated 823,000 children and 20,000 mothers could be prevented from dying and an estimated $300 billion worldwide could be saved from costs related to issues ranging from diarrheal diseases and respiratory infections in children, to reduced risks of breast and ovarian cancer in mothers.[284,286]
- Regular breastfeeding has been shown to reduce the odds of several infections and illnesses in children, including diarrhea, pneumonia, sudden infant death syndrome, acute lymphocytic leukemia, acute myelogenous leukemia, acute otitis media, gastrointestinal infections, type I diabetes, asthma, hospitalizations from respiratory illnesses and later development of type II diabetes and obesity.[285,320-325]
- There are also many benefits to the mother associated with breastfeeding, including a faster return to pre-pregnancy weight, a reduced risk of breast and ovarian cancer and reduced odds of type II diabetes, metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular disease and obesity.[323,325-330]

HEALTH PROMOTION BENEFITS AND STRATEGIES
- Rates of breastfeeding tend to decrease significantly when mothers return to work; therefore, workplace lactation programs that support breastfeeding are critical to help both mother and child benefit from the positive health impacts of breastfeeding.[70,331-334]
- A comprehensive workplace lactation program that includes supportive policies and amenities such as paid breaks for expressing milk, breast pumps, accessories to transport milk, education and counseling has been shown to not only improve breastfeeding duration (i.e., increase number of weeks or months that mothers breastfeed) but in one study also correspond with a 94.2% employee retention rate for mothers.[335]
- Lactation programs that support breastfeeding have been shown to reduce absenteeism due to a child's illness and to reduce the number of child health insurance claims.[70,336]
  - Cigna, a health insurance company based in the United States, implemented a workplace lactation program that offered breastfeeding support and services before, during and after maternity leave, consultations with a lactation specialist and private on-site breastfeeding rooms with breast pumps. A study by a team at the University of California, Los Angeles evaluated the program, comparing results across women who planned to formula feed (control group 1), planned to breastfeed but chose not to participate in the lactation program (control group 2) and planned to breastfeed and participated in the lactation program (the intervention group). At six months, more than 70% of the women in the intervention group were still breastfeeding, compared to 20% in control group two. And 49% of those women indicated that the lactation program influenced their feeding decisions. In the first year of life, breastfed infants required 62% fewer prescriptions per child compared to infants in control group 1, and finally, mothers in the intervention group had a collective 444 fewer days of absenteeism compared to the control group.[337]

- Qualitative reports indicate that lactation programs can improve employee morale, reduce turnover and decrease stress, thereby improving productivity at work.[331,332,338]
- The World Health Organization recommends that support — both practical and social — is given to new mothers in the form of coaching or counseling to assist those who may be struggling with common issues in expressing milk.[339]

ADDITIONAL NOTES
- In addition to the considerable health benefits associated with breastfeeding, there are also significant estimated economic benefits.[333] In the case of exclusive breastfeeding, families may benefit from cost-savings of up to $1,500 in the first year of the child's life. However, the impact of these savings diminishes if parents must nevertheless invest in other tools or needed supplies such as breast pumps.
- It has been demonstrated that for every $1 invested in facilitating exclusive breastfeeding for the first six months of a child's life, there may be an estimated $35 return on investment (i.e., through educational attainment, workforce participation and lifetime earnings) on a global scale.[338,340,341]

FEATURE C10: FAMILY SUPPORT

OVERVIEW

Part 1: Provide support for childcare through on-site childcare centers or subsidies of at least 50% for off-site childcare, back-up childcare assistance, seasonal childcare programs, flexible work options and/or paid time to care for a child.

Part 2: Offer at least 12 weeks of leave, paid at 75% or higher of the employee's full salary, during any 12-month period to attend to caregiver responsibilities; provide flexible work options or the option to use paid sick time to care for family members or other dependents as needed.

SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND

- Work-life balance refers to a harmonious interplay between work and family or personal life domains.[342] Some view the concept of work and personal life as distinct domains of life that should necessarily and strictly be separate, whereas others may prefer a more integrated approach.[343,344] Further distinctions arise between views on whether time should be split equally between work and life domains.[345]
  - In a study conducted on supervisors' self-reported perceptions of employees, in countries with less gender-egalitarian societies (i.e., countries with greater gender imbalances), supervisors rated women lower than men in work-life balance attainment.[346] Countries with high ratings of gender egalitarianism observed equivalent ratings for both women and men.[346] This demonstrates that social structure and workplace dynamics in certain regions can be pre-dispositioned to unequal treatment of employees.
- The demands of work and personal life exert two distinct sets of responsibilities and time constraints on an individual. There are several ways to support the needs of both domains, and often times, positive interdependencies can be established.[347,348]
- An increasing number of employees act as caregivers outside of their paid employment, providing care and assistance to friends or family members who may require support due to a wide range of potential physical, mental or cognitive conditions.[349]
  - Estimates from limited available data suggest that there are 3 million caregiver-employees in the United Kingdom, 5.6 million in Canada and 26.1 million in the United States.[350-352]
- The cost of child and infant care in countries such as the United States can create moderate to severe financial burdens. Throughout the United States, infant care costs take up between 10.2%-28.6% of the median family income.[353] The percentage of families in the United States that can afford care in their state ranges from 5%-28.9%, based on the assumption that care costs 7% or less of total family income.[353]
  - The OECD reports that the percentage of employee pay that goes towards childcare is also significantly higher in countries such as New Zealand (37.3%), the United Kingdom (35.7%), Australia (31.1%), and Ireland (27.8%).[354]

KEY HEALTH AND WELL-BEING EFFECTS

- Employees who face difficulties balancing the demands of work and personal life often experience poorer work performance, increased stress and increased risk of various health problems.[355]
- Family care demands can compromise an individual's ability to meet work demands, often differentially affecting career prospects and job status for women compared to men, as women are often more likely to adopt a greater burden of caregiver responsibilities -- particularly with newborns or infants, but with older family members as well.[350,356-359]

HEALTH PROMOTION BENEFITS AND STRATEGIES

- Studies suggest that employees require emotional and instrumental support in complementary fashion to successfully achieve work-life balance.[360] For example, support in childcare arrangements or leave to attend to caretaker duties is necessary for work-life balance, but should also be coupled with emotional support, which can take the form of manager or colleague support.[361,362] Some studies even suggest that informal, emotional support of this kind can influence work-life balance even more than benefits offered to employees.[363]
- For childcare, workplaces can offer on-site childcare services or negotiated arrangements with community facilities, financial support (e.g., childcare vouchers or subsidies) and referral services.[357]

- o In one report, the availability of childcare services decreased staff absenteeism by 20-30%, and reduced staff turnover by 37-60%, supporting satisfaction and retention of staff.[364]
- Different parents may prefer to approach childcare responsibilities in different ways, suggesting that providing a variety of options may allow for accommodation of diverse needs and support the most employees.[365]
- Measures that can help employees balance caregiver duties with work responsibilities include allowing parental, emergency or sick leave to care for children or other family members and flexible work arrangements.[349,357]
- Policies supporting employee leave at the national level range widely.
  - o Currently 19 out of 36 OECD countries provide some capacity of paid family leave for caregiving. Belgium provides the most expansive program, with 52 weeks per worker's lifetime and two months to provide palliative care; Sweden offers 100 days per worker per sick dependent; Poland provides 14 days per year per worker.[366] Many countries do not nationally mandate any time off, such as the United States, the United Kingdom, Switzerland and Turkey.[366]
- Flexible work arrangements can provide employees with opportunities to better manage work and personal life demands.[343,360] Some options include reducing long or overtime work hours, temporarily switching to part-time hours and telework and flexible options that allow different arrival and departure times to/from work.[357] While promising, and often appreciated by employees, studies suggest that flexibility is only as useful as the employee's degree of control over aspects of the job, including time and place of work.[360] Further, flexible work arrangements can often result in the blurring of boundaries between different domains of life, making it more difficult for employees to separate themselves from work.[367]
- Organizational culture, supported by specific protocols and programming, can contribute to organizational compassion and improved work-life balance. The workplace is a critical setting (particularly through managers) to support people with caregiving responsibilities.[349,368]

FEATURE C11: CIVIC ENGAGEMENT

OVERVIEW
Part 1: Support community engagement for all eligible employees through annual paid time off to volunteer, employer-organized volunteer events, matched charitable contributions or public engagement programming.
Part 2: Designate an indoor or outdoor community or meeting space for public use.

SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND
- Volunteering is defined as any activity that is voluntarily and freely performed for the benefit of others or a cause without monetary or material reward to the volunteer.[369,370]
- A common model for explaining prosocial behaviors such as volunteering and charitable giving refers to the "warm-glow" effect, which describes the positive emotions that arise from acting or giving in service of others.[371]
- Definitions of social cohesion vary across the literature, and the term is often used interchangeably with other terms invoking notions of social support or community connectedness. Overall, social cohesion refers to the extent to which a community or society equitably grants all members opportunities for inclusive participation in common institutions and activities, to promote a sense of belonging and to facilitate equal access to fundamental social and economic rights.[372,373] It is a measure of the disparities, divisions, discrimination and marginalization — or lack thereof — within a community, and the shared values, culture and solidarity that can arise from an orderly, integrated society.[372,374]
- Another dimension of social cohesion is captured by social capital, which is founded on the notion that social networks hold value in society.[375,376] Social capital refers to the strength of social relationships between members of a community or society, which can subsequently lead to greater access to resources through both formal and informal channels.[372]

KEY HEALTH AND WELL-BEING EFFECTS
- Volunteering and utilization of public services such as the library may be associated with higher levels of health literacy.[377] Such activities may be indicative of high civic literacy (i.e., knowledge of ways to participate in society and to initiate change), which can raise an individual's awareness of health issues and facilitate an ability to become engaged in relevant decision-making processes (e.g., supporting/opposing policies or otherwise exerting influence over social, economic or governmental activities).[377,378]
  - Health literacy refers to a person's cognitive and social ability to access, correctly interpret and truly understand basic health information.[1-4] It further involves the ability to identify and access resources needed to actually act on those understandings and make decisions that promote and maintain individual and community health.[1-4]
- A study based on data from high-income countries showed that volunteering was associated with lower mortality rates among older adults.[379]
- Volunteering overall is directed at benefitting others but can also benefit the volunteer. Studies indicate that adult volunteers report higher self-rated health, self-esteem, life-satisfaction, educational and occupational achievement, functional ability and mortality.[370] Among children and adolescents, volunteering may also positively impact academic achievement and reduce the likelihood of engaging in potential problem behaviors that can lead to truancy, drug abuse, arrest, violence and early pregnancy.[370,380,381]
  - Volunteering encourages an individual's connection to their surrounding community, which can broaden the sense of community and connectedness across minority, socioeconomic and gender divides.[382]
- Functional MRI studies demonstrate that charitable giving can activate brain regions that regulate levels of oxytocin and dopamine, which are associated with pleasure and reward.[383-387] Accordingly, studies indicate that giving is associated with happiness.[387-389]
  - The release of hormones such as oxytocin and dopamine in response to behaviors such as charitable giving has been described as both an effect and potential motive for prosocial behaviors (i.e., behaviors performed with the intent of benefiting others).[383-387]
- Public spaces provide a sense of community and grounding for surrounding populations.[390] An influential factor in the usage of a public space is the quality of the site provided, with high quality spaces encouraging higher levels of social interactions with users.[390]

- A sense of community, which involves feelings of membership and emotional connections in a neighborhood, can have an impact on personal coping behaviors and self-rated health and well-being.[391,392]
- Improved social cohesion has been found to have a protective effect against morbidities and mortalities related to cardiovascular disease and stroke, and is associated with improved mental health and general self-rated health overall.[375,393-398]
    - One longitudinal study with nearly 6,000 participants found that each unit increase in neighborhood social cohesion corresponded to a 53% reduction in risk of stroke mortality.[393]
- Elements of social capital, including isolation, integration, quality of relationships and size and diversity of networks, have been consistently shown to decrease risks of mortality in studies across developed nations, particularly related to cardiovascular and mental health.[399-404]
    - One study demonstrated that the risk of death among adults with the fewest social ties was more than twice that of adults with the most social ties.[405]
    - Studies suggest that social capital can have an impact on health and well-being through several mechanisms, including the facilitation of social support, a pathway for social influence, opportunities for social engagement, bonds/attachments to other members of a community and access to goods, services and other resources.[406,407]

HEALTH PROMOTION BENEFITS AND STRATEGIES

- Motives for volunteering can be both altruistic and egoistic, including service as a way of expressing values, understanding others or oneself, raising self-esteem, advancing career opportunities or developing skills, meeting social expectations/norms and escaping or relieving personal negative feelings.[408-410] Studies suggest that matching personal motives to volunteer activity can improve service performance and satisfaction.[411]
- Studies across various types of behaviors show that individuals are more likely to participate in certain behaviors if doing so aligns with the norms of a group or the culture, suggesting that creating an organizational culture of civic engagement where participation in relevant activities is the norm makes it more likely for individuals to engage in prosocial behaviors such as volunteering and charitable giving.[412-414]
- Placemaking – the collaborative process of maximizing the value of shared public spaces – cultivates social interactions between building occupants and surrounding populations, facilitates civic engagement and strengthens community relationships.[415]
    - Reinforcing community engagement has been linked to positive health benefits, such as an increased sense of belonging, increased physical activity and reduced reporting of psychological distress.[415]
- A positive dose-response relationship has been observed between individuals feeling a sense of belonging to their given community and positive health behavior changes.[416] Those who viewed themselves as an active member of their given community were more likely to participate in health-seeking behaviors, such as increased exercise, successful weight management and loss and improved dietary choices.[416]

ADDITIONAL NOTES

- Overall, the majority of available research on the benefits of public open spaces has focused on parks and gardens and related impacts on physical activity, mental health and access to primary health care services - more research is needed to understand if other types of public community spaces likewise contribute to health and if there are specific design considerations that can help create high quality public spaces.[417-426]

FEATURE C12: DIVERSITY AND INCLUSION REPORTING

OVERVIEW

Part 1: Promote a workplace culture of diversity and inclusion through a third-party or custom program that evaluates and reports on organizational practices; make evaluation results publicly available; establish goals to improve diversity and inclusion policies.

SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND

- Corporate social responsibility (CSR) refers to the social, environmental and economic accountability of an organization and its business operations to the organization's stakeholders and the community at large, focusing on local community needs and their connection to the larger global context.[427]
- The goal of social impact reporting is to ensure an organization acts in an ethical and transparent way that contributes positively to societal health and welfare.[428]
- Third-party transparency and reporting programs can benchmark an organization's performance across a broad range of topics. Benchmarking performance marks a first step in achieving improvements across various metrics.
- Topics commonly addressed by best practice diversity and inclusion policies, or measured by third-party reporting programs, can include activities related to wages (e.g., provision of a living wage, minimum wage, wage equity), gender, racial and ethnic equity (e.g., in hiring, leadership representation, a culture of diversity and inclusivity), supply chain management (e.g., environmental impact of products and services, including of contracted or sub-contracted entities), local community engagement and impact (e.g., support of community activities, proactive engagement in local welfare efforts), ethical internal processes (e.g., conflict resolution, organizational governance, support for freedom of association and collective bargaining) and overall transparency (e.g., board membership, financial performance).[429-432]

KEY HEALTH AND WELL-BEING EFFECTS

- Gender-based differences in wages is a problem around the world.[247] Eliminating gender-based wage gaps can lead to significant improvements in the quality of life of women and families. An analysis in the United States, for example, shows that equal pay for women of the same age, educational attainment level and working the same number of hours as men would result in a 50% reduction in the poverty rate for working women, including benefits to an estimated 25.8 million children accrued through the increased earnings of mothers.[433]
  - Gender pay gaps vary considerably by country. An analysis across member countries of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) shows that pay gaps average between 10-20%, with the widest gaps/greatest disparity in East Asian OECD countries and Estonia.[434]
  - Inequitable levels of wealth can place a disproportionate, gender-skewed health burden on women, which can include lacking health insurance and living in poor health or poverty.[435]
- Racial discrimination affects millions of people worldwide, ranging from people of color to ethnic minorities, indigenous peoples and migrant workers.[436] There is large overlap between discrimination and poverty, wherein a history of unequal treatment has contributed to persistent disparities in educational and occupational attainment, which can be addressed in part through more mindful and concerted practices on a systemic level in approaches to organizational employment opportunities and culture.[437] Other minority groups, such as the LGBTQ+ community, experience disproportionate levels of discrimination. While various countries differ in their treatment of the LGBTQ+ community, LGBTQ+ individuals are burdened with potential criminalization for their sexual orientation, restrictions on civil rights and a lack of baseline recognition in society. [438]
- Collective bargaining is a mechanism through which organizations can create real changes in the living and working conditions of workers, particularly those who are vulnerable to discrimination.[436]
  - Collective bargaining occurs through unions and refers to negotiations which occur between employers, employees or one or more employer/worker organizations that define working conditions and regulations for all involved parties.[439] Unionized workers can negotiate for health benefits that represent their needs and offer effective preventative health measures such as primary and evidence-based care.[440]

HEALTH PROMOTION BENEFITS AND STRATEGIES

- One way to measure and improve an organization's CSR and associated metrics is through voluntary participation in social responsibility reporting.[428] Engaging in CSR measurement and reporting is an increasingly popular way for organizations around the world to measure overall performance, operational efficiency and social impact. Approximately 93% of the world's largest companies engage in some form of formal reporting on CSR.[441]
  - The quality of reporting is an important consideration. A review of CSR reporting across several countries in the European Union indicates that reporting quality is typically low, with higher quality reports coming from countries that have introduced mandatory requirements for reporting on a national level (e.g., France, Netherlands).[442] The relationship between quality reporting and actual impact on the organization, employees or the community requires further investigation.
  - Quality is defined by the credibility and relevance of information provided in reports, measured through 11 criteria.[442] Some criteria, for example, include sustainability strategies, trends over time, readability, independent verification and key stakeholders.[442]
- Across several equity reporting programs, the reporting process typically entails a materiality assessment wherein an organization identifies the specific environmental-, social- or governance-related issues that are relevant to the business and/or its stakeholders, assesses internal structures and practices relevant to those topics of material import in the organization, and refines organizational elements or processes to optimize positive impact.[443-448]
- Formal reporting through participation in ethics programs and similar efforts that improve employee perceptions of organizational performance have been associated with positive effects across several employee metrics, including job satisfaction, performance and engagement.[449-455]
  - Key CSR components, such as job satisfaction, have shown positive relationships with overall happiness and life satisfaction.[456]
- Improved CSR is also associated with improved employee commitment and organizational citizenship behavior, or an employee's voluntary participation in organizational activities and tasks outside of job duties.[457-461] This suggests that when organizations demonstrate an effort to go above and beyond a focus on achieving financial goals, employees likewise apply greater effort beyond primary tasks to contribute to the greater good of the organization.[451,462]
- Race-based discrimination in the workplace – which can include discrimination based on race, ethnicity, culture or religion – has been tied to negative health outcomes such as anxiety and depression, as well as lower productivity, trust, morale and satisfaction and higher absenteeism and turnover.[463]
  - Key interventions that have been shown to be effective in reducing race-based discrimination organization-wide include diversity training, support systems such as mentoring programs and resource groups, accountability for diversity in recruitment and top tiers of management, development of resources, policies and procedures to support diversity, established processes for incident reporting and management, systems for gathering and integrating staff feedback, re-evaluation of workplace culture and management styles, transparent development and reporting on diversity goals and modeling anti-discrimination, pro-diversity practices for other organizations.[463]
- Promoting gender equity and reducing gender-based discrimination is also imperative to a functioning workplace ecosystem. Effective steps for promoting gender equity in the workplace include empowering all employees and treating them as equals, regardless of gender, identifying and addressing systemic patterns of gender-based discrimination and implementing anti-discrimination trainings and programming.[464]
- The Human Rights Campaign annually produces the Corporate Equality Index, which outlines essential steps for promoting workforce diversity and inclusion, such as hiring diverse employees, supporting employee financial equality and economic success and providing education on legal rights in the workplace.[465]

FEATURE C13: ACCESSIBILITY AND UNIVERSAL DESIGN

OVERVIEW

Part 1: Implement design strategies that adhere to universal design principles to ensure spaces meet the needs of occupants with all levels of ability in terms of physical access, developmental and intellectual health, wayfinding, operations, technology and safety.

SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND

- Accessibility refers to the minimum level of design necessary to accommodate people living with the full spectrum of abilities, including physical, cognitive and behavioral.[466]
- Universal Design (UD), also referred to as "inclusive design," is defined as the design of products and environments to be accessed, understood and used by all people to the fullest extent possible regardless of identity or ability, without requiring specialized modifications to accommodate specific individuals or groups of individuals.[467]
    - While UD is often referenced in efforts to design products and environments that are inclusive of people living with disabilities, in line with its definition, the approach does not promote modifications for individual needs but rather celebrates the inherent diversity of humans and advances design that, by default, could universally accommodate use by and benefit all.[468]

KEY HEALTH AND WELL-BEING EFFECTS

- Modern advances in medicine and technology have made accidents and injuries that once may have been fatal now treatable and have helped to expand average human life expectancy, making older adults and individuals of varying abilities more proportionally prominent members of our communities.[469]
- Demographic changes around the world in both developed and developing countries, including declining fertility rates, have resulted in an aging population.[470,471] Estimates from the United Nations predict that by 2050, worldwide, one in six people will be over the age of 65 (one in four in Europe and North America).[472] The year 2018 marked the first year in history where adults over 65 years of age outnumbered children under five years of age.[472] These new trends will have profound impacts on housing, transportation and needed goods and services, among other societal dimensions, and healthy aging will require support for physical and cognitive functioning and for social participation and inclusion.[473-475]
    - Aging involves the accumulation of damage to cells that can weaken the immune system and increase a person's risk of disease.[476] While these effects will invariably happen to everyone, there is considerable variance in an individual's health trajectory, wherein some individuals experience sudden and rapid declines in health, while others can maintain good health without need of much support or medical intervention.[472,476-478]
- Individuals living with disabilities account for approximately 15% of the world's population, and often experience poorer health outcomes and discrimination.[479] Further adverse effects can be experienced by these individuals as a function of environments or products poorly suited to their use.[480] For example, children living with disabilities are often prone to lower academic performance, higher dropout rates and poorer outcomes post-graduation, including lower participation or achievement in postsecondary education and difficulties securing meaningful employment.[481] Studies indicate that features of the built environment, such as household design, can become barriers for older adults, especially those with functional/physical limitations, as environments are not typically designed to accommodate these needs.[482]
- Neurodiverse individuals – or those with variation in the brain related to learning, attention, mood, sociability and other mental functions, including those with autism spectrum disorder (ASD), attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) or dyslexia -- are an integral component of the workforce.[483] It is critical to consider how workspaces can be designed to support the diverse learning needs of these individuals.[483]
    - Employers have reported that taking steps to recruit and retain neurodiverse employees has improved their bottom line and that implementing inclusive design accommodations through the principles of universal design resulted in workplace environment improvements for all employees.[483]
    - Effective accommodation practices to support inclusiveness for neurodiverse individuals can include tailored trainings and strategies for stress management, time management, company structure and organization.[483]

- Neurodiverse individuals have varying levels of learning ability, including differences in how they process auditory events, memories, event sequencing, and language, alongside different abilities in gross and fine motor function.[484]
  - To support diverse learners, organizations can utilize strategies such as providing notes and recordings of meetings or trainings, creating daily task sheets, and allowing for flexible work scheduling to accommodate appointments.[484]
- UD can also serve employees who have experienced discrimination or stigma, such as members of the LGBTQ+ community, by supporting diverse backgrounds, cultures and identities through strategies such as safe zones and ally groups.[485]

HEALTH PROMOTION BENEFITS AND STRATEGIES
- Accessibility codes and standards represent a foundational step in giving individuals of diverse abilities an opportunity for equal, discrimination-free participation in society.[466]
- However, such codes and standards often provide only minimal or limited guidance on specific strategies to accommodate people of varying abilities, and typically only basic accessibility is established, neglecting further consideration of more comprehensive accessibility and actual usability.[468]
  - While these regulations establish minimum criteria, they can often be treated in the building industry as maximum criteria, defining the exact level of accessible design up to which spaces are made compliant.[466]
- UD expands beyond basic accessibility to emphasize inclusion and equality, and can thus represent a way to improve well-being and quality of life for individuals who may otherwise be restricted in their abilities to fully participate in certain activities.[486]
- Seven principles of UD guide the approach:
  - (1) Equitable use: Make design of spaces and features appealing and identical to all users and provide an equivalent if identical is not possible (e.g., different heights of drinking fountains available to accommodate children or individuals in wheelchairs).[468,469]
  - (2) Flexibility in use: Provide choices in methods of use; contribute to adaptability (e.g., larger buttons on an office desk phone to accommodate varying visual abilities).[468,469]
  - (3) Simple and intuitive use: Eliminate unnecessary complexity, be consistent with expectations and accommodate a wide range of literacy and language skills (e.g., icons adjacent to buttons on devices to accommodate diverse linguistic understanding and cognitive abilities).[468,469]
  - (4) Perceptible information: Use a variety of modes for information delivery (pictures, verbal, tactile) and maximize legibility of pertinent information (e.g., dark backgrounds with lit-up signs for directional information to facilitate easy wayfinding for wide-ranging mobility, cognitive and visual abilities).[468,469]
  - (5) Tolerance for error: Arrange objects and elements in a manner to minimize error; provide warnings of errors and fail-safe design (e.g., warning labels on chemicals).[468,469]
  - (6) Low physical effort: Ensure work tasks are conducted in a neutral body position; design to minimize repetitive or sustained physical efforts (e.g., ergonomic workspaces to support physical comfort for all, resting spots to break up prolonged walking spaces and accommodate diverse physical abilities).[468,469]
  - (7) Size and space for approach and use: Provide a clear line of sight for important elements from both seated and standing heights, and adequate space for assistive devices (e.g., wide points of entry/exit for ease of access by individuals with diverse mobility needs or those using a wheelchair or walker).[468,469]
- Educational application of UD (Universal Design for Learning, or UDL) is supported by a large body of literature that demonstrates UDL is an effective strategy to make learning processes accessible to students of diverse sensory, motor, linguistic, cognitive and affective abilities in intuitive and inclusive ways.[468,487-489]
  - UDL often focuses on technology-based strategies (e.g., use of virtual textbooks, keyboards that accommodate varying fine motor skills), but there are also low-tech or curriculum- and instruction-focused strategies that are equally important (e.g., accommodating right- and left-handedness, using a variety of modes — visual, verbal, tactile — in presenting information to provide choices in learning and accommodating different language and literacy skills).[468,487,488]

FEATURE C14: EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS

OVERVIEW
Part 1: Develop an emergency management and response plan outlining specific steps to take in various types of emergencies and conduct annual occupant emergency drills.
Part 2: Have in place specific resources to support emergency preparedness and response, as well as emergency training and personnel.
Part 3: Provide opioid response kits and protocol, in addition to opioid emergency response trainings.

SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND
- A disaster is any event that exposes a significant number of people to a hazard or threat, resulting in injuries and/or death.[77] Disasters disrupt normal processes and overwhelm the affected community's capacity to respond.[78,79]
- An emergency refers to an event that can be handled with existing resources and capacities, but requires individuals and communities to take extraordinary measures to prevent further harm or damage to people or the environment.[77-79]
- Both natural- and human-caused emergencies and disasters can occur anywhere in the world, affect any person and impact the health and well-being of both persons directly affected and also the community at large.[77,80]
- Planning helps communities adequately respond to active emergencies and prevents future emergency or disaster events from escalating into extreme situations by identifying ways to potentially mitigate and control the reach and magnitude of experienced losses.[77]
- Opiates are naturally occurring chemicals, such as morphine and codeine, that are found in the opium poppy plant. Opioids include opiates as well as human-made, chemically manufactured products that are derived from opium and are either wholly or semi-synthetic, such as fentanyl, oxycodone and hydrocodone.[490]
  - Opioids are used for a number of therapeutic purposes, chiefly for pain relief, and are often prescribed post-surgery for people who suffer from chronic pain and to provide relief for people with cancer.[491]
  - Opioids bind to receptors in a part of the brain associated with pain and emotions, reducing pain and promoting feelings of euphoria and calmness.[490] Opioids also act on a part of the brain that controls breathing and in high doses can cause respiratory depression, permanent brain damage or death.[492] Their highly addictive composition and uptick of use in recent years have led to the opioid epidemic in the United States.[490]

KEY HEALTH AND WELL-BEING EFFECTS
- Types of emergencies and disasters range from natural disasters, toxic exposures and acts of violence to communicable and non-communicable disease events.
- The frequency of natural disasters has increased in modern times. In 1960 there were 40 natural disasters globally – the highest recorded number since the beginning of the 20th century.[81] In the 21st century, the lowest recorded year saw 276 natural disaster events, while the highest year saw 432 natural disaster events.[81] In 2018 alone, 281 natural disasters caused nearly 11,000 deaths and further affected 61.7 million people worldwide.[82] Global death rates due to natural disasters have decreased during this time due to prediction technology, resilient infrastructure and emergency preparedness and response. But this increase in annual disasters highlights the need for institutions and communities to be prepared to quickly and efficiently respond to natural disasters when they occur.[81]
- The International Association of Fire and Rescue Services reports that there were 777,794 structure fires in 2016, more than half of which (475,500) were in the United States, followed by Russia (107,205) and France (86,407).[83]
  - The above reflects data from 20 countries around the world, suggesting that if more countries collected this data and/or participated in reporting, the total number of annual structure fires would be much higher.
- The health consequences of chemical incidents (e.g., accidental exposure to chemicals typically related to industrial practices) vary depending on a number of factors, such as the nature of the chemicals in question, the duration of exposure, the dose and so on. Chemical incidents can cause everything from skin or eye irritation to depression of the central nervous system and mental health issues.[84,85] These effects can be acute or chronic, and exposure could trigger the onset of other common diseases that vary in severity down the line, such as cancers and asthma.[86-90]
- Data available from 171 countries around the world showed an average of 1.7 mass shooters per country from 1966 – 2012.[91] Mass shooting events occur in many countries, but 31% occurred in the United States during this span of

years. This data also shows that the US had by far the greatest number of mass shooters, with 90 offenders, followed by the Philippines, Russia, Yemen and France.[91]

- There are dozens of disease outbreaks (e.g., Diphtheria, Ebola, COVID-19) occurring globally at any given time, and in an increasingly connected world, a disease from an isolated, rural village can move to a major city within 36 hours.[92-94]

- COVID-19, a respiratory disease that is caused by the novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2, was first documented in 2019 and spread globally, becoming a pandemic in 2020.[93] In May 2020, 188 countries had confirmed cases of COVID-19, with each person infected spreading it to, on average, 2-3 other individuals.[95,96]
  - o The impact of COVID-19 across countries and regions has varied widely, dependent on preparedness and response measures. Where early action was taken to rapidly test and isolate positive cases, implement comprehensive contact tracing, and quarantine contacts for those who tested positive, regions were able to slow the spread, bring outbreaks under control and minimize secondary mortality.[97]
  - o In regions that have seen exponential growth in transmission, new emergency response measures have been put in place to enforce physical distancing and movement restrictions to slow the spread. However, such isolating measures have contributed to severe economic downturn and deep mental health repercussions; disadvantaged groups who are unable to physically distance or seek health services have been disproportionately impacted.[97]

- Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of global mortality and out-of-hospital cardiac arrest is the leading cause of death.[493,494] 60% of all CVD deaths are attributable to sudden cardiac death (individuals who have no prior history of heart disease and do not meet high-risk diagnostic criteria) with approximately 4-5 million cases per year.[495,496] Close to 70% of sudden cardiac arrests may be observed by a witness, meaning that life-saving intervention could be possible.[497]

- The latest available data indicates that there were approximately 27 million people around the world who suffered from an opioid use disorder in 2016.[492] In 2017, 66% of 167,000 global deaths from drug use disorders were due to opioid use.[498]

- In the United States alone, drug overdose deaths more than tripled between 1999-2018, and in 2018, 69.5% of all drug overdose deaths were due to opioid use.[499]
  - o Opioid misuse overall is higher in the United States and Canada compared to other parts of the world.[500,501] However, evidence shows an increase in prescription opioids in parts of Europe as well.[502-505] In 2017, among those aged 15-64-years-old, there were an estimated 8,799 annual deaths caused by overdoses.[506]
  - o Overdose is also a major cause of death in Asia and Australia and it is suspected that opioid use is increasing in African regions as well.[507-510]
  - o The increase in the misuse of opioids and overdose deaths is widely attributed to the increase in prescription opioids by healthcare professionals, fueled by aggressive tactics from the pharmaceutical industry that exaggerate the benefits of opioids while under-emphasizing the risks.[511-521]

- As the world has woken up to the devastating effects of the opioid epidemic, most recent data from the United States is encouraging, stating that drug overdose deaths decreased by 4.1% from 2017 to 2018.[499]

HEALTH PROMOTION BENEFITS AND STRATEGIES

- Disaster events are unpredictable, sometimes inevitable, and can happen at any time, in any place.[98] In most disaster events, victims are affected instantly. Chemicals or biological toxins can exert health effects immediately upon exposure; each minute that passes after cardiac arrest without intervention decreases the chance of survival by 10%; and most active shooter events last less than 15 minutes.[99-101] All of this means a rapid, efficient response is necessary in times of crisis, and that there is a strong need to consider and plan a response protocol.
  - o During a terrorist attack at the World Trade Center in 1993, it took an investment banking company four hours to evacuate employees from the building, requiring some to walk down more than 60 flights of stairs. This prompted the company's reevaluation of emergency response plans and it ran drills to practice new procedures. When the Sept. 11, 2001 terrorist attack on the World Trade Center occurred, the company was able to evacuate 3,800 employees within 45 minutes.[102]

- The World Health Organization (WHO), Federal Emergency Management Administration, American Red Cross and other leading emergency response groups recommend that alongside proper planning, practicing emergency drills, and having a clear communication plan in place to convey emergency response protocols at the time of an emergency, is critical to help organizations appropriately and efficiently execute their emergency response plan.[103]

- Especially with disease outbreak management, planning is necessary for effective communication, but the WHO maintains that this holds true for other emergency situations as well.[98] The WHO indicates that effective response strategies include early and transparent announcements covering the situation and leadership consensus on any limits on transparency and other communication protocols before a crisis occurs.[98]
- Training individuals to respond to emergency events can be an effective strategy to minimize losses suffered, since bystanders are on the scene before professional response teams arrive. In the case of sudden cardiac arrest, studies show that laypeople can be effectively trained to perform cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) and use automated external defibrillation devices (AEDs) in an effort to improve survival rates.[522,523] A person who is defibrillated within 10 minutes of a cardiac arrest can have a 40% chance of survival without serious adverse neurological effects, compared to a 5% chance of survival for people who receive defibrillation 10 minutes or longer after a cardiac arrest.[100,524,525]
  - Studies show that even untrained laypersons can operate an AED, speaking to the utility of increasing the availability of AEDs in case of emergency.[526,527]
  - While the number of out-of-hospital cardiac arrests is difficult to measure accurately, it is estimated that up to around 70-80% of sudden cardiac arrest events occur in residential settings.[495,497,528,529]
- The WHO strongly recommends that people who are likely to witness an opioid overdose should have access to naloxone and be trained in how to use it in an emergency.[490]
- Since opioid overdoses are usually witnessed by a close friend, partner or family member, ensuring that naloxone is available means these individuals can act fast in case of an emergency.[530-534] This is particularly important in residential spaces, given that opioid overdoses occur most often in private homes.[535] Overall, bystander intervention has been shown to reverse between 75-100% of witnessed cases.[536-541]

ADDITIONAL NOTES
- Compared to people living in high-income countries, people living in low-income countries are seven times more likely to die and six times more likely to be injured, displaced or require emergency assistance when a natural disaster strikes.[104]
- Natural disasters force an estimated 26 million people around the world every year into poverty.[105]
- While reversing the effects of opioid overdose can save lives and prevent premature deaths through the use of naloxone, the most effective strategy for reducing the burden of disease associated with opioid use is prevention. Studies suggest that medication-assisted treatment that uses drugs such as methadone and buprenorphine can significantly help to prevent overdoses from occurring in the first place, and kick-start rehabilitation from substance use.[542-545]
- Research also suggests that both in the case of natural disasters and cardiac events, people are differentially affected depending on factors such as socioeconomic status, race and gender.[106-112]

REFERENCES

1. Ratzan SC, Parker RM. Introduction. In: Selden CR ZM, Ratzan SC, Parker RM, ed. National Library of Medicine current bibliographies in medicine: Health literacy. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health; 2000.
2. Poureslami I, Nimmon L, Rootman I, Fitzgerald MJ. Health literacy and chronic disease management: drawing from expert knowledge to set an agenda. Health promotion international. 2017;32(4):743-754.
3. Peerson A, Saunders M. Health literacy revisited: what do we mean and why does it matter? Health Promot Int. 2009;24(3):285-296.
4. Nutbeam D. The evolving concept of health literacy. Soc Sci Med. 2008;67(12):2072-2078.
5. Kindig DA, Panzer AM, Nielsen-Bohlman L. Health literacy: a prescription to end confusion. National Academies Press; 2004.
6. Bandura A. Self-Efficacy. The Corsini Encyclopedia of Psychology. 2010:1-3.
7. Kim SH. Health literacy and functional health status in Korean older adults. J Clin Nurs. 2009;18(16):2337-2343.
8. Gazmararian JA, Baker DW, Williams MV, et al. Health literacy among Medicare enrollees in a managed care organization. JAMA. 1999;281(6):545-551.
9. Cho YI, Lee SY, Arozullah AM, Crittenden KS. Effects of health literacy on health status and health service utilization amongst the elderly. Soc Sci Med. 2008;66(8):1809-1816.
10. Howard DH, Sentell T, Gazmararian JA. Impact of Health Literacy on Socioeconomic and Racial Differences in Health in an Elderly Population. J Gen Intern Med. 2006;21(8):857-861.
11. Berkman ND, Sheridan SL, Donahue KE, Halpern DJ, Crotty K. Low Health Literacy and Health Outcomes: An Updated Systematic Review. Ann Intern Med. 2011;155(2):97-107.
12. Wolf MS, Gazmararian JA, Baker DW. Health literacy and functional health status among older adults. Archives of internal medicine. 2005;165(17):1946-1952.
13. Tokuda Y, Doba N, Butler JP, Paasche-Orlow MK. Health literacy and physical and psychological wellbeing in Japanese adults. Patient Educ Couns. 2009;75(3):411-417.
14. Sudore RL, Yaffe K, Satterfield S, et al. Limited Literacy and Mortality in the Elderly: The Health, Aging, and Body Composition Study. J Gen Intern Med. 2006;21(8):806-812.
15. Baker DW, Wolf MS, Feinglass J, Thompson JA. Health literacy, cognitive abilities, and mortality among elderly persons. J Gen Intern Med. 2008;23(6):723-726.
16. World Health Organization. Health literacy: The solid facts. 2013.
17. Sentell T, Braun KL. Low health literacy, limited English proficiency, and health status in Asians, Latinos, and other racial/ethnic groups in California. Journal of health communication. 2012;17(sup3):82-99.
18. Lee HY, Rhee TG, Kim NK, Ahluwalia JS. Health literacy as a social determinant of health in Asian American immigrants: findings from a population-based survey in California. Journal of general internal medicine. 2015;30(8):1118-1124.
19. Omariba DW, Ng E. Immigration, generation and self-rated health in Canada: on the role of health literacy. Can J Public Health. 2011;102(4):281-285.
20. Mantwill S, Monestel-Umaña S, Schulz PJ. The relationship between health literacy and health disparities: a systematic review. PLoS One. 2015;10(12).
21. Wang C, Li H, Li L, Xu D, Kane RL, Meng Q. Health literacy and ethnic disparities in health-related quality of life among rural women: results from a Chinese poor minority area. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2013;11(1):153.
22. Bennett IM, Chen J, Soroui JS, White S. The contribution of health literacy to disparities in self-rated health status and preventive health behaviors in older adults. The Annals of Family Medicine. 2009;7(3):204-211.
23. Goto E, Ishikawa H, Okuhara T, Kiuchi T. Relationship of health literacy with utilization of health-care services in a general Japanese population. Prev Med Rep. 2019;14:100811.
24. Guerra CE, Krumholz M, Shea JA. Literacy and knowledge, attitudes and behavior about mammography in Latinas. Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved. 2005;16(1):152-166.
25. Berkman ND, Sheridan SL, Donahue KE, et al. Health literacy interventions and outcomes: an updated systematic review. Evid Rep Technol Assess (Full Rep). 2011;199(1):941.
26. White S, Chen J, Atchison R. Relationship of preventive health practices and health literacy: a national study. American journal of health behavior. 2008;32(3):227-242.
27. Sudore RL, Mehta KM, Simonsick EM, et al. Limited literacy in older people and disparities in health and healthcare access. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2006;54(5):770-776.

28. Lippin TM, Eckman A, Calkin KR, McQuiston TH. Empowerment-based health and safety training: Evidence of workplace change from four industrial sectors. American Journal of industrial medicine. 2000;38(6):697-706.
29. Nutbeam D. Health literacy as a public health goal: a challenge for contemporary health education and communication strategies into the 21st century. Health promotion international. 2000;15(3):259-267.
30. Flecha A, García R, Rudd R. Using health literacy in school to overcome inequalities. European Journal of Education. 2011;46(2):209-218.
31. Muscat DM, Smith S, Dhillon HM, et al. Incorporating health literacy in education for socially disadvantaged adults: an Australian feasibility study. Int J Equity Health. 2016;15:84.
32. Chervin C, Clift J, Woods L, Krause E, Lee K. Health literacy in adult education: a natural partnership for health equity. Health Promot Pract. 2012;13(6):738-746.
33. Diehl SJ. Health literacy education within adult literacy instruction. New Directions for Adult and Continuing Education. 2011;130:29-41.
34. Freedman AM, Miner KR, Echt KV, Parker R, Cooper HL. Amplifying diffusion of health information in low-literate populations through adult education health literacy classes. Journal of health communication. 2011;16(sup3):119-133.
35. Robroek SJ, van Lenthe FJ, van Empelen P, Burdorf A. Determinants of participation in worksite health promotion programmes: a systematic review. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2009;6:26.
36. Hughes SL, Seymour RB, Campbell RT, Shaw JW, Fabiyi C, Sokas R. Comparison of two health-promotion programs for older workers. Am J Public Health. 2011;101(5):883-890.
37. Goetzel RZ, Long SR, Ozminkowski RJ, Hawkins K, Wang S, Lynch W. Health, absence, disability, and presenteeism cost estimates of certain physical and mental health conditions affecting U.S. employers. J Occup Environ Med. 2004;46(4):398-412.
38. Rongen A, Robroek SJW, van Lenthe FJ, Burdorf A. Workplace health promotion: a meta-analysis of effectiveness. Am J Prev Med. 2013;44(4):406-415.
39. Schaller A, Dejonghe L, Alayli-Goebbels A, Biallas B, Froboese I. Promoting physical activity and health literacy: study protocol for a longitudinal, mixed methods evaluation of a cross-provider workplace-related intervention in Germany (The AtRisk study). BMC Public Health. 2016;16:626.
40. Murray E, Treweek S, Pope C, et al. Normalisation process theory: a framework for developing, evaluating and implementing complex interventions. BMC medicine. 2010;8:63-63.
41. Jung J, Nitzsche A, Ansmann L, et al. Organizational factors and the attitude toward health promotion in German ICT-companies. Health Promot Int. 2012;27(3):382-393.
42. Rod MH. What is health promotion capacity? A relational perspective. J Health Organ Manag. 2015;29(2):170-184.
43. Arneson H, Ekberg K. Evaluation of empowerment processes in a workplace health promotion intervention based on learning in Sweden. Health Promot Int. 2005;20(4):351-359.
44. Hawe P, Noort M, King L, Jordens C. Multiplying health gains: the critical role of capacity-building within health promotion programs. Health Policy. 1997;39(1):29-42.
45. Greenhalgh T. Health literacy: towards system level solutions. BMJ : British Medical Journal. 2015;350:h1026.
46. Larsen AK, Holtermann A, Mortensen OS, Punnett L, Rod MH, Jørgensen MB. Organizing workplace health literacy to reduce musculoskeletal pain and consequences. BMC Nurs. 2015;14:46-46.
47. Kutner M, Greenburg E, Jin Y, Paulsen C. The Health Literacy of America's Adults: Results from the 2003 National Assessment of Adult Literacy. NCES 2006-483. National Center for Education Statistics. 2006.
48. Susser M, Susser E. Choosing a future for epidemiology: II. From black box to Chinese boxes and eco-epidemiology. American journal of public health. 1996;86(5):674-677.
49. McGinnis JM, Williams-Russo P, Knickman JR. The Case For More Active Policy Attention To Health Promotion. Health Affairs. 2002;21(2):78-93.
50. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. What is health equity. https://www.cdc.gov/nchhstp/socialdeterminants/faq.html. Published n.d. Accessed September 9, 2020.
51. Carpenter M. From 'healthful exercise' to 'nature on prescription': The politics of urban green spaces and walking for health. Landscape and Urban Planning. 2013;118(0):120-127.
52. Smith TSJ, Reid L. Which 'being' in wellbeing? Ontology, wellness and the geographies of happiness. Progress in Human Geography. 2018;42(6):807-829.
53. County Health Rankings. Our Approach: County Health Rankings and Roadmaps. In: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation; 2011.

54.   McCullough JM, Leider JP. Associations Between County Wealth, Health and Social Services Spending, and Health Outcomes. American Journal of Preventive Medicine. 2017;53(5):592-598.

55.   Anggraeni M, Gupta J, Verrest HJLM. Cost and value of stakeholders participation: A systematic literature review. Environmental Science & Policy. 2019;101:364-373.

56.   Cyril S, Smith BJ, Possamai-Inesedy A, Renzaho AMN. Exploring the role of community engagement in improving the health of disadvantaged populations: a systematic review. Glob Health Action. 2015;8:29842-29842.

57.   Creating Equitable, Healthy, and Sustainable Communities: Strategies for Advancing Smart Growth, Environmental Justice, and Equitable Development. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. https://www.epa.gov/smartgrowth/creating-equitable-healthy-and-sustainable-communities. Published 2013. Accessed.

58.   Gochfeld M, Burger J. Disproportionate exposures in environmental justice and other populations: the importance of outliers. Am J Public Health. 2011;101 Suppl 1:S53-63.

59.   Friedkin NE. Social Cohesion. Annual Review of Sociology. 2004;30(1):409-425.

60.   Lynch JW, Kaplan GA. Understanding How Inequality in the Distribution of Income Affects Health. Journal of Health Psychology. 1997;2(3):297-314.

61.   Rios R, Aiken LS, Zautra AJ. Neighborhood Contexts and the Mediating Role of Neighborhood Social Cohesion on Health and Psychological Distress Among Hispanic and Non-Hispanic Residents. Annals of Behavioral Medicine. 2011;43(1):50-61.

62.   Chinman MJ, Anderson CM, Imm PS, Wandersman A, Goodman RM. The perceptions of costs and benefits of high active versus low active groups in community coalitions at different stages in coalition development. Journal of Community Psychology. 1996;24(3):263-274.

63.   Cornwall A. Unpacking 'Participation': models, meanings and practices. Community Development Journal. 2008;43(3):269-283.

64.   El Ansari W, Andersson E. Beyond value? Measuring the costs and benefits of public participation. Journal of Integrated Care. 2011;19(6):45-57.

65.   Lynham J, Halpern BS, Blenckner T, et al. Costly stakeholder participation creates inertia in marine ecosystems. Marine Policy. 2017;76:122-129.

66.   Tsang S, Burnett M, Hills P, Welford R. Trust, public participation and environmental governance in Hong Kong. Environmental Policy and Governance. 2009;19(2):99-114.

67.   Greenwood DJ, Whyte WF, Harkavy I. Participatory Action Research as a Process and as a Goal. Human Relations. 1993;46(2):175-192.

68.   Martin A, Sherington J. Participatory research methods- Implementation, effectiveness and institutional context. Agricultral Systems. 1997;55(2):195-216.

69.   Blackstock KL, Kelly GJ, Horsey BL. Developing and applying a framework to evaluate participatory research for sustainability. Ecological Economics. 2007;60(4):726-742.

70.   Ortiz J, McGilligan K, Kelly P. Duration of breast milk expression among working mothers enrolled in an employer-sponsored lactation program. Pediatric Nursing. 2004;30(2):111.

71.   Community Planning Toolkit - Community Engagement. 2014.

72.   Vera Ivanaj PS, Silvester Ivanaj The value of beauty for organizations. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2018;189:864-877.

73.   Wagner I, Basile M, Ehrenstrasser L, Maquil V, Terrin J-J, Wagner M. Supporting community engagement in the city: urban planning in the MR-tent. Proceedings of the fourth international conference on Communities and technologies; 2009; University Park, PA, USA.

74.   The CDC Worksite Health ScoreCard: An Assessment Tool for Employers to Prevent Heart Disease, Stroke, & Related Health Conditions 2014.

75.   Pothier M, Zewge-Abubaker N, Cahuas M, Borstad Klassen C, Wakefield S. Is "including them" enough? How narratives of race and class shape participation in a resident-led neighbourhood revitalization initiative. Geoforum. 2019;98:161-169.

76.   Washington State Department of Health. Community Engagement Guide. In:n.d.

77.   World Health Organization. Environmental health in emergencies and disasters: a practical guide. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization;2002.

78.   United Nations. Emergency and disaster management. http://www.un-spider.org/risks-and-disasters/emergency-and-disaster-management. Published 2019. Accessed March 29, 2019.

79.   World Health Organization/Emergency and Humanitarian Action. Disasters & Emergencies: definitions. WHO/EHA Panafrican Emergency Training Centre;2002.

80.   World Health Organization Collaborating Centre for an International Clearing House for Major Chemical Incidents. Public health and chemical incidents: guidance for national and regional policy makers in the public/environmental health roles. WHO Collaborating Centre for an International Clearing House for Major Chemical Incidents;1999.

81.   Ritchie H, Roser M. Natural disasters. https://ourworldindata.org/natural-disasters#number-of-reported-disaster-events. Published 2019. Updated November. Accessed March 30, 2019.

82.   2018: Extreme weather events affected 60 million people [press release]. January 24 2019.

83.   Brushlinsky NN, Ahrens M, Sokolov SV, Wagner P, International Association of Fire and Rescue Services. World fire statistics. Center of Fire Statistics of CTIF;2018.

84.   World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe. Assessing the health consequences of major chemical incidents – epidemiological approaches. Denmark: World Health Organization;1997.

85.   Bertazzi PA, Zocchetti C, Pesatori AC, Guercilena S, Sanarico M, Radice L. Ten-year mortality study of the population involved in the Seveso incident in 1976. Am J Epidemiol. 1989;129(6):1187-1200.

86.   Antó JM, Sunyer J. Epidemiologic studies of asthma epidemics in Barcelona. CHEST. 1990;98(5):185S-190S.

87.   Jacob P, Kenigsberg Y, Goulko G, et al. Thyroid cancer risk in Belarus after the Chernobyl accident: comparison with external exposures. Radiat Environ Biophys. 2000;39(1):25-31.

88.   World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe. Toxic oil syndrome: current knowledge and future perspectives. Copenhagen, Denmark: World Health Organization,;1992.

89.   Warner M, Mocarelli P, Samuels S, Needham L, Brambilla P, Eskenazi B. Dioxin exposure and cancer risk in the Seveso Women's Health Study. Environ Health Perspect. 2011;119(12):1700-1705.

90.   Sakamoto M, Itai T, Murata K. Effects of prenatal methylmercury exposure: from Minamata disease to environmental health studies. Nihon Eiseigaku Zasshi. 2017;72(3):140-148.

91.   Lankford A. Public mass shooters and firearms: a cross-national study of 171 countries. Violence Vict. (2):187-199.

92.   World Health Organization. Outbreaks: behind the headlines. https://www.who.int/emergencies/outbreaks-behind-the-headlines. Published 2018. Updated August 2. Accessed March 27, 2019.

93.   Frequently Asked Questions. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Web site. https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/faq.html. Published 2020. Accessed 5/18, 2020.

94.   United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Global emergency preparedness: disease knows no borders. https://www.cdc.gov/cpr/whatwedo/global.htm. Published 2018. Updated October 11. Accessed April 1, 2019.

95.   (JHU) SSaECaJHU. COVID-19 Dashboard. In: Medicine JHU, ed. Johns Hopkins University2020.

96.   Sanche S, Lin YT, Xu C, Romero-Severson E, Hengartner N, Ke R. High Contagiousness and Rapid Spread of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2. Emerg Infect Dis. 2020;26(7).

97.   COVID-19 Strategy Update. World Health Organization;2020.

98.   World Health Organization. WHO outbreak communication guidelines. World Health Organization;2005.

99.   United States Department of Homeland Security. Active shooter: how to respond. Washington, D.C. : United States Department of Homeland Security;2017.

100.   Hazinski Mary F, Idris Ahamed H, Kerber Richard E, et al. Lay rescuer automated external defibrillator ("public access defibrillation") programs. Circulation. 2005;111(24):3336-3340.

101.   World Health Organization. Public health response to biological and chemical weapons: WHO guidance. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization;2004.

102.   Morgan Stanley. Morgan Stanley case study. September 13 2004.

103.   Biddinger PD, Baggish A, Harrington L, et al. Be prepared — the Boston Marathon and mass-casualty events. New England Journal of Medicine. 2013;368(21):1958-1960.

104.   Wallemacq P, House R. Economic losses, poverty & disasters 1998-2017. United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction, Centre for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters;2018.

105.   Natural disasters force 26 million people into poverty and cost $520bn in losses every year, new World Bank analysis finds [press release]. November 14 2016.

106.   De Silva MMGT, Kawasaki A. Socioeconomic vulnerability to disaster risk: a case study of flood and drought impact in a rural Sri Lankan community. Ecological Economics. 2018;152:131-140.

107.   Cutter SL, Finch C. Temporal and spatial changes in social vulnerability to natural hazards. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008;105(7):2301-2306.

108. Neumayer E, Plümper T. The gendered nature of natural disasters: the impact of catastrophic events on the gender gap in life expectancy, 1981–2002. Annals of the Association of American Geographers. 2007;97(3):551-566.

109. Masozera M, Bailey M, Kerchner C. Distribution of impacts of natural disasters across income groups: A case study of New Orleans. Ecological Economics. 2007;63(2):299-306.

110. Starks MA, Schmicker RH, Peterson ED, et al. Association of neighborhood demographics with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest treatment and outcomes: where you live may matter. JAMA Cardiology. 2017;2(10):1110-1118.

111. Shah KSV, Shah ASV, Bhopal R. Systematic review and meta-analysis of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and race or ethnicity: black US populations fare worse. European Journal of Preventive Cardiology. 2012;21(5):619-638.

112. Soo L, Huff N, Gray D, Hampton JR. Geographical distribution of cardiac arrest in Nottinghamshire. Resuscitation. 2001;48(2):137-147.

113. Ponto J. Understanding and Evaluating Survey Research. J Adv Pract Oncol. 2015;6(2):168-171.

114. Jhangiani RS, Chiang I-CA, Cuttler C, Leighton DC. Research Methods in Psychology. 4th ed: Pressbooks Creative Commons BY-NC-SA; 2019.

115. Price PC, Jhangiani RS, Chiang IA. Survey research. In: Research methods in psychology. Second ed. Victoria, B.C.: BCcampus; 2015.

116. Frontczak M, Schiavon S, Goins J, Arens E, Zhang H, Wargocki P. Quantitative relationships between occupant satisfaction and satisfaction aspects of indoor environmental quality and building design. Indoor Air. 2012;22(2):119-131.

117. Altomonte S, Schiavon S, Kent MG, Brager G. Indoor environmental quality and occupant satisfaction in green-certified buildings. Building Research & Information. 2019;47(3):255-274.

118. Abbaszadeh S, Zagreus L, Lehrer D, Huizenga C. Occupant satisfaction with indoor environmental quality in green buildings. Indoor Air. 2003;14.

119. Lee J-Y, Wargocki P, Chan Y-H, Chen L, Tham K-W. Indoor environmental quality, occupant satisfaction, and acute building-related health symptoms in Green Mark-certified compared with non-certified office buildings. Indoor Air. 2019;29(1):112-129.

120. Frontczak M, Wargocki P. Literature survey on how different factors influence human comfort in indoor environments. Building and Environment. 2011;46(4):922-937.

121. de Dear RJ, Brager GS. Thermal comfort in naturally ventilated buildings: revisions to ASHRAE Standard 55. Energy and Buildings. 2002;34(6):549-561.

122. de Dear R, Brager G, D C. Developing an adaptive model of thermal comfort and preference - Final report on RP-884. Vol 1041997.

123. de Dear RJ, Brager GS. Developing an adaptive model of thermal comfort and preference. American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc., Atlanta, GA (United States); 1998.

124. Pilotti M, Klein E, Golem D, Piepenbrink E, Kaplan K. Is Viewing a Nature Video After Work Restorative? Effects on Blood Pressure, Task Performance, and Long-Term Memory. Environment and Behavior. 2015;47(9):947-969.

125. Yin J, Zhu S, Macnaughton P, Allen J, D. Spengler J. Physiological and cognitive performance of exposure to biophilic indoor environment. Vol 1322018.

126. Fowler Jr FJ. Survey research methods. Fifth ed: SAGE Publications, Inc.; 2014.

127. Keszei A, Novak M, Streiner DL. Introduction to health measurement scales. Journal of psychosomatic research. 2010;68:319-323.

128. Streiner DL, Norman GR, Cairney J. Health measurement scales: a practical guide to their development and use. Oxford University Press; 2015.

129. Landy F. Stamp collecting versus science: validation as hypothesis testing. American Psychologist. 1986;41:1183-1192.

130. Field A. Discovering Statistics Using SPSS. Third ed: Sage; 2009.

131. Brager G, Baker L. Occupant satisfaction in mixed-mode buildings. Building Research & Information. 2009;37(4):369-380.

132. Peretti C, Schiavon S. Indoor environmental quality surveys. A brief literature review. 12th International Conference on Indoor Air Quality and Climate 2011. 2011;2:1331-1336.

133. Allen JG, MacNaughton P, Satish U, Santanam S, Vallarino J, Spengler JD. Associations of Cognitive Function Scores with Carbon Dioxide, Ventilation, and Volatile Organic Compound Exposures in Office Workers: A Controlled Exposure Study of Green and Conventional Office Environments. Environmental health perspectives. 2016;124(6):805-812.

134. Hanisch SE, Twomey CD, Szeto ACH, Birner UW, Nowak D, Sabariego C. The effectiveness of interventions targeting the stigma of mental illness at the workplace: a systematic review. BMC Psychiatry. 2016;16(1):1-1.

135. Vogt D. Mental health-related beliefs as a barrier to service use for military personnel and veterans: a review. Psychiatr Serv. 2011;62(2):135-142.

136. Walton L. Exploration of the attitudes of employees towards the provision of counselling within a profit-making organisation. Counselling and Psychotherapy Research. 2003;3(1):65-71.

137. Sapsford R. Survey Research. In. Survey Research. 2nd ed. London: SAGE Publications, Ltd; 2007.

138. Kelley K, Clark B, Brown V, Sitzia J. Good practice in the conduct and reporting of survey research. International Journal for Quality in Health Care. 2003;15(3):261-266.

139. Edwards PJ, Roberts I, Clarke MJ, et al. Methods to increase response to postal and electronic questionnaires. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2009(3):Mr000008.

140. Eysenbach G, Till JE. Ethical issues in qualitative research on internet communities. BMJ (Clinical research ed). 2001;323(7321):1103-1105.

141. King N, Horrocks C. Interviews in Qualitative Research. Thousand Oaks, California: Sage; 2010.

142. Check J, Schutt RK. Survey research. In: Research methods in education. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications; 2012.

143. Visser PS, Krosnick JA, Lavrakas PJ. Survey research. In: Reis HT, Judd CM, eds. Handbook of research methods in social and personality psychology. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press; 2000:223-252.

144. Lakens D. Calculating and reporting effect sizes to facilitate cumulative science: a practical primer for t-tests and ANOVAs. Frontiers in Psychology. 2013;4(863).

145. Tenny S, Abdelgawad I. Statistical Significance. In: StatPearls. Treasure Island, Florida: StatPearls Publishing; 2019.

146. Bausell RB, Li Y-F. Power analysis for experimental research: a practical guide for the biological, medical and social sciences. Cambridge University Press; 2002.

147. Jones TL, Baxter MA, Khanduja V. A quick guide to survey research. Ann R Coll Surg Engl. 2013;95(1):5-7.

148. Creswell JW. Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches, 3rd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA, US: Sage Publications, Inc; 2009.

149. Aaronson NK, Muller M, Cohen PD, et al. Translation, validation, and norming of the Dutch language version of the SF-36 Health Survey in community and chronic disease populations. J Clin Epidemiol. 1998;51(11):1055-1068.

150. Ali G-C, Ryan G, De Silva MJ. Validated Screening Tools for Common Mental Disorders in Low and Middle Income Countries: A Systematic Review. PLoS One. 2016;11(6):e0156939-e0156939.

151. Hootman T. Net Zero Energy Design: A guide for commercial architecture. Hoeboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. ; 2013.

152. Sailer K, Budgen A, Lonsdale N, Turner A, Penn A. Pre and post occupancy evaluations in workplace environments. The Journal of Space Syntax. 2010;1(1):199-213.

153. Can employee data drive workplace strategy? Gensler. https://www.gensler.com/research-insight/gensler-research-institute/wpi-tool-development. Accessed 5/20, 2020.

154. Hesse-Biber SN. Feminist Research Practice: A Primer. W. Ross MacDonald School Resource Services Library; 2019.

155. Winchester HPM. Qualitative research and its place in human geography. In: Hay I, ed. Qualitative Research Methods in Human Geography. Toronto: Oxford University Press; 2000:1-21.

156. Orbe MP. Centralizing diverse racial/ethnic voices in scholarly research: The value of phenomenological inquiry. International Journal of Intercultural Relations. 2000;24:603-621.

157. Hesse-Biber SN, Leavy P. The Practice of Qualitative Research. 2nd ed. Los Angeles: Sage; 2011.

158. Haraway D. Situated Knowledges: the science question in feminism and the priviledge of the partial perspective. Feminist Studies. 1988;14:575-599.

159. Mullings B. Insider or Outsider, both or neither: Some dilemmas of interviewing in a cross-cultural setting. Geoforum. 1999;30:337-350.

160. Myers MD. Qualitative Feedback in Information Systems. MISQ Discovery. 1997;21(2).

161. Greenfield BH, Greene B, Johanson MA. The use of qualitative research techniques in orthopedic and sports physical therapy: moving towards postpositivism. . Phys Ther Sport. 2007;8:44-54.

162. Bolderston A. Conducting a Research Interview. Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Sciences. 2012;43:66-76.

163. Fross K, Winnicka-Jasłowska D, Gumińska A, Masły D, Sitek M. Use of Qualitative Research in Architectural Design and Evaluation of the Built Environment. Procedia Manufacturing. 2015;3:1625-1632.

164. Bell J. Doing your research project: a guide for first time researchers in education, health and social science. 3rd ed. Maidenhead, UK: Open University Press; 2009.

165. Kitzinger J. Qualitative Research: Introducing focus groups. BMJ (Clinical research ed). 1995;311(7000):299-302.

166. Greenfield BH, Greene B, Johanson MA. The use of qualitative research techniques in orthopedic and sports physical therapy: moving towards postpositivism. Phys Ther Sport. 2007;8:44-54.

167.   McLafferty I. Focus group interviews as a data collecting strategy. J Adv Nurs. 2004;48(2):187-194.

168.   Cuttler C. Observational Research. In: Research Methods in Psychology. Pressbooks; 2017.

169.   Dillman DA, Smyth JD, Christian LM. Internet, phone, mail and mixed-mode surveys: the tailored design method. Fourth ed. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2014.

170.   Philip LJ. Combining quantitative and qualitative approaches to social research in human geography-an impossible mixture? Environment and Planning A. 1998;30:261-276.

171.   Perkins and Will. ASID HQ Office. Washington, DC: American Society of Interior Designers;2018.

172.   Loder A. 'There's a meadow outside my workplace': A phenomenological exploration of aesthetics and green roofs in Chicago and Toronto. Landscape and Urban Planning. 2014;126:94-106.

173.   Loder A. Small-Scale Urban Greening: Creating places of health, creativity, and ecological sustainability. Abingdon, Oxon, England: Routledge; 2020.

174.   Marshall MN. Sampling for qualitative research. Fam Pract. 1996;13(6):522-525.

175.   Palinkas LA, Horwitz SM, Green CA, Wisdom JP, Duan N, Hoagwood K. Purposeful Sampling for Qualitative Data Collection and Analysis in Mixed Method Implementation Research. Adm Policy Ment Health. 2015;42(5):533-544.

176.   Patton M. Purposeful Sampling. In: Qualitative Research and Evaluation Methods. London: Sage Publications; 2002:230-246.

177.   Curtis S, Gesler W, Smith G, Washburn S. Approaches to sampling and case selection in qualitative research: examples in the geography of health. Social Science and Medicine. 2000;50:1001-1014.

178.   Sirakaya-Turk E, Uysal M, Hammitt WE, Vaske JJ. Research Methods for Leisure, Recreation and Tourism, 2nd Edition. CABI; 2017.

179.   Baxter J, Eyles J. Evaluating qualitative research in social geography: Establishing 'rigour' in interview analysis. Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers. 1997;22(4):505-525.

180.   Wallace LS. A view of health care around the world. Annals of family medicine. 2013;11(1):84-84.

181.   World Health Organization. Health systems financing: the path to universal coverage. Geneva: World Health Organization;2010.

182.   Brown LD. Comparing health systems in four countries: lessons for the United States. Am J Public Health. 2003;93(1):52-56.

183.   World Health Organization. Vaccines. World Health Organization. Health Topics Web site. https://www.who.int/topics/vaccines/en/. Accessed 4/21/20.

184.   World Health Organization. Influenza (Seasonal). Newsroom Web site. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/influenza-(seasonal). Published 2018. Accessed 4/21/20.

185.   Centers for Disease C, Prevention. Prevention and control of seasonal influenza with vaccines. Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices--United States, 2013-2014. MMWR Recomm Rep. 2013;62(RR-07):1-43.

186.   Nichol KL, Mendelman PM, Mallon KP, et al. Effectiveness of live, attenuated intranasal influenza virus vaccine in healthy, working adults: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 1999;282(2):137-144.

187.   Pebody R, Warburton F, Ellis J, et al. End-of-season influenza vaccine effectiveness in adults and children, United Kingdom, 2016/17. Euro Surveill. 2017;22(44).

188.   Weissman JS, Stern R, Fielding SL, Epstein AM. Delayed access to health care: risk factors, reasons, and consequences. Ann Intern Med. 1991;114(4):325-331.

189.   Baker DW, Shapiro MF, Schur CL. Health Insurance and Access to Care for Symptomatic Conditions. Archives of Internal Medicine. 2000;160(9):1269-1274.

190.   Franks P, Clancy CM, Gold MR. Health Insurance and Mortality: Evidence From a National Cohort. JAMA. 1993;270(6):737-741.

191.   Franks P, Clancy CM, Gold MR, Nutting PA. Health insurance and subjective health status: data from the 1987 National Medical Expenditure survey. Am J Public Health. 1993;83(9):1295-1299.

192.   United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Self-assessed health status and selected behavioral risk factors among persons with and without health-care coverage--United States, 1994-1995. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 1998;47(9):176-180.

193.   Hadley J, Steinberg EP, Feder J. Comparison of uninsured and privately insured hospital patients. Condition on admission, resource use, and outcome. Jama. 1991;265(3):374-379.

194.   Ayanian JZ, Kohler BA, Abe T, Epstein AM. The relation between health insurance coverage and clinical outcomes among women with breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 1993;329(5):326-331.

195.   Roetzheim RG, Pal N, Tennant C, et al. Effects of Health Insurance and Race on Early Detection of Cancer. JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute. 1999;91(16):1409-1415.

196.   Davis K, Rowland D. Uninsured and Underserved: Inequities in Health Care in the United States. The Milbank Memorial Fund Quarterly Health and Society. 1983;61(2):149-176.

197.   Yergan J, Flood AB, Diehr P, LoGerfo JP. Relationship between Patient Source of Payment and the Intensity of Hospital Services. Medical Care. 1988;26(11):1111-1114.

198.   Weissman J, Epstein AM. Case Mix and Resource Utilization by Uninsured Hospital Patients in the Boston Metropolitan Area. JAMA. 1989;261(24):3572-3576.

199.   Wenneker MB, Weissman JS, Epstein AM. The Association of Payer With Utilization of Cardiac Procedures in Massachusetts. JAMA. 1990;264(10):1255-1260.

200.   Weissman JS, Epstein AM. The insurance gap: does it make a difference? Annu Rev Public Health. 1993;14:243-270.

201.   Braveman PA, Egerter S, Bennett T, Showstack J. Differences in Hospital Resource Allocation Among Sick Newborns According to Insurance Coverage. JAMA. 1991;266(23):3300-3308.

202.   Burstin HR, Lipsitz SR, Brennan TA. Socioeconomic Status and Risk for Substandard Medical Care. JAMA. 1992;268(17):2383-2387.

203.   Countries are spending more on health, but people are still paying too much out of their own pockets [press release]. World Health Organization 2019.

204.   van de Ven WP, Schut FT. Universal mandatory health insurance in the Netherlands: a model for the United States? Health Aff (Millwood). 2008;27(3):771-781.

205.   Access GBDH, Quality C. Measuring performance on the Healthcare Access and Quality Index for 195 countries and territories and selected subnational locations: a systematic analysis from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet. 2018;391(10136):2236-2271.

206.   Scott D. The Netherlands has universal health insurance — and it's all private. Vox. How the Dutch harnessed the market to cover everybody. Web site. https://www.vox.com/policy-and-politics/2020/1/17/21046874/netherlands-universal-health-insurance-private. Published 2020 Accessed.

207.   Prinja S, Kaur M, Kumar R. Universal health insurance in India: ensuring equity, efficiency, and quality. Indian J Community Med. 2012;37(3):142-149.

208.   van Doorslaer E, O'Donnell O, Rannan-Eliya RP, et al. Effect of payments for health care on poverty estimates in 11 countries in Asia: an analysis of household survey data. Lancet. 2006;368(9544):1357-1364.

209.   World Health Organization. Ten threats to global health in 2019. World Health Organization. Newsroom Web site. https://www.who.int/vietnam/news/feature-stories/detail/ten-threats-to-global-health-in-2019. Published 2019. Accessed.

210.   Rondy M, El Omeiri N, Thompson MG, Leveque A, Moren A, Sullivan SG. Effectiveness of influenza vaccines in preventing severe influenza illness among adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis of test-negative design case-control studies. J Infect. 2017;75(5):381-394.

211.   Goodwin K, Viboud C, Simonsen L. Antibody response to influenza vaccination in the elderly: a quantitative review. Vaccine. 2006;24(8):1159-1169.

212.   McElhaney JE. The unmet need in the elderly: designing new influenza vaccines for older adults. Vaccine. 2005;23 Suppl 1:S10-25.

213.   Darvishian M, Bijlsma MJ, Hak E, van den Heuvel ER. Effectiveness of seasonal influenza vaccine in community-dwelling elderly people: a meta-analysis of test-negative design case-control studies. Lancet Infect Dis. 2014;14(12):1228-1239.

214.   Hekimoglu CH, Emek M, Avci E, Topal S, Demiroz M, Ergor G. Seasonal Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness in Preventing Laboratory Confirmed Influenza in 2014-2015 Season in Turkey: A Test-Negative Case Control Study. Balkan Med J. 2018;35(1):77-83.

215.   Ayanian JZ, Weissman JS, Schneider EC, Ginsburg JA, Zaslavsky AM. Unmet Health Needs of Uninsured Adults in the United States. JAMA. 2000;284(16):2061-2069.

216.   Woolhandler S, Himmelstein DU. Reverse Targeting of Preventive Care due to Lack of Health Insurance. JAMA. 1988;259(19):2872-2874.

217.   Ford ES, Will JC, De Proost Ford MA, Mokdad AH. Health Insurance Status and Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factors among 50–64-Year-Old U.S. Women: Findings from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Journal of Women's Health. 1998;7(8):997-1006.

218.   Brown ME, Bindman AB, Lurie N. Monitoring the consequences of uninsurance: a review of methodologies. Med Care Res Rev. 1998;55(2):177-210.

219.   Freeman HE, Aiken LH, Blendon RJ, Corey CR. Uninsured working-age adults: characteristics and consequences. Health Serv Res. 1990;24(6):811-823.

220.   Wilson J, Junger G, World Health Organization. Principles and practices of screening for disease. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization;1968.

221.   Gøtzsche PC, Jørgensen KJ. Screening for breast cancer with mammography. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013;2013(6):CD001877-CD001877.

222.   Løberg M, Lousdal ML, Bretthauer M, Kalager M. Benefits and harms of mammography screening. Breast Cancer Res. 2015;17(1):63-63.

223.   Brandt J, Shearer B, Morgan SG. Prescription drug coverage in Canada: a review of the economic, policy and political considerations for universal pharmacare. J Pharm Policy Pract. 2018;11:28-28.

224.   Olszewski AJ, Dusetzina SB, Trivedi AN, Davidoff AJ. Prescription Drug Coverage and Outcomes of Myeloma Therapy Among Medicare Beneficiaries. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36(28):2879-2886.

225.   Kesselheim AS, Huybrechts KF, Choudhry NK, et al. Prescription drug insurance coverage and patient health outcomes: a systematic review. Am J Public Health. 2015;105(2):e17-e30.

226.   O'Keefe LC, Anderson F. Benefits of on-site clinics. OJIN: The Online Journal of Issues in Nursing. 2017;22(2).

227.   Tu HT, Boukus ER, Cohen GR. Workplace clinics: a sign of growing employer interest in wellness. Res Brief. 2010(17):1-16.

228.   Engberg JB, Harris-Shapiro J, Hines D, McCarver P, Liu HH. The Impact of Worksite Clinics on Teacher Health Care Utilization and Cost, Self-Reported Health Status, and Student Academic Achievement Growth in a Public School District. J Occup Environ Med. 2018;60(8):e397-e405.

229.   Falagas ME, Zarkadoulia E. Factors associated with suboptimal compliance to vaccinations in children in developed countries: a systematic review. Current Medical Research and Opinion. 2008;24(6):1719-1741.

230.   Dyda A, Karki S, Hayen A, et al. Influenza and pneumococcal vaccination in Australian adults: a systematic review of coverage and factors associated with uptake. BMC Infect Dis. 2016;16(1):515-515.

231.   Lv M, Fang R, Wu J, et al. The free vaccination policy of influenza in Beijing, China: The vaccine coverage and its associated factors. Vaccine. 2016;34(18):2135-2140.

232.   Fox JP, Elveback L, Scott W, Gatewood L, Ackerman E. Herd immunity: basic concept and relevance to public health immunization practices. Am J Epidemiol. 1971;94(3):179-189.

233.   Fine PE. Herd immunity: history, theory, practice. Epidemiol Rev. 1993;15(2):265-302.

234.   John TJ, Samuel R. Herd immunity and herd effect: new insights and definitions. Eur J Epidemiol. 2000;16(7):601-606.

235.   Metcalf CJE, Ferrari M, Graham AL, Grenfell BT. Understanding Herd Immunity. Trends Immunol. 2015;36(12):753-755.

236.   Anderson RM, May RM. Vaccination and herd immunity to infectious diseases. Nature. 1985;318(6044):323-329.

237.   Fine P, Eames K, Heymann DL. "Herd Immunity": A Rough Guide. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2011;52(7):911-916.

238.   Kim TH, Johnstone J, Loeb M. Vaccine herd effect. Scand J Infect Dis. 2011;43(9):683-689.

239.   Yue X, Black C, Ball S, et al. Workplace interventions associated with influenza vaccination coverage among health care personnel in ambulatory care settings during the 2013-2014 and 2014-2015 influenza seasons. Am J Infect Control. 2017;45(11):1243-1248.

240.   Ausseil F. Immunization against influenza among working adults: the Philippines experience. Vaccine. 1999;17 Suppl 1:S59-62.

241.   Hagemeister MH, Stock NK, Ludwig T, Heuschmann P, Vogel U. Self-reported influenza vaccination rates and attitudes towards vaccination among health care workers: results of a survey in a German university hospital. Public Health. 2018;154:102-109.

242.   Graves MC, Harris JR, Hannon PA, et al. Promoting Influenza Vaccination to Restaurant Employees. Am J Health Promot. 2016;30(7):498-500.

243.   Luthy KE, Bainum JL, Beckstrand RL, Macintosh JL, Eden LM, Saunders B. Promoting Adult Pertussis Vaccination in the Workplace. Workplace Health Saf. 2016;64(6):269-278.

244.   Nichol KL, Lind A, Margolis KL, et al. The effectiveness of vaccination against influenza in healthy, working adults. N Engl J Med. 1995;333(14):889-893.

245.   World Health Organization. Research for universal health coverage. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization;2013.

246.   Diamant AL, Hays RD, Morales LS, et al. Delays and unmet need for health care among adult primary care patients in a restructured urban public health system. Am J Public Health. 2004;94(5):783-789.

247. Anderson GB, Dominici F, Wang Y, McCormack MC, Bell ML, Peng RD. Heat-related emergency hospitalizations for respiratory diseases in the Medicare population. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2013;187(10):1098-1103.

248. Gelberg L, Andersen RM, Leake BD. The Behavioral Model for Vulnerable Populations: application to medical care use and outcomes for homeless people. Health Serv Res. 2000;34(6):1273-1302.

249. World Health Organization. Report of the SAGE working group on Vaccine Hesitancy. 2014.

250. Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. About a culture of health. https://www.rwjf.org/en/cultureofhealth/about.html. Published 2019. Accessed August 19, 2019.

251. Laurie TM, Linnea Warren M, Sarah W, Joie DA, Anita C. How cultural alignment and the use of incentives can promote a culture of health: stakeholder perspectives. Rand Health Q. 2018;7(2):5.

252. Fabius R, Frazee SG, Thayer D, Kirshenbaum D, Reynolds J. The correlation of a corporate culture of health assessment score and health care cost trend. J Occup Environ Med. 2018;60(6):507-514.

253. Payne J, Cluff L, Lang J, Matson-Koffman D, Morgan-Lopez A. Elements of a workplace culture of health, perceived organizational support for health, and lifestyle risk. Am J Health Promot. 2018;32(7):1555-1567.

254. Aldana SG. Financial impact of health promotion programs: a comprehensive review of the literature. Am J Health Promot. 2001;15(5):296-320.

255. Chapman LS. Meta-evaluation of worksite health promotion economic return studies: 2012 update. American Journal of Health Promotion. 2012;26(4):1-12.

256. Pelletier KR. A review and analysis of the clinical and cost-effectiveness studies of comprehensive health promotion and disease management programs at the worksite: update VIII 2008 to 2010. J Occup Environ Med. 2011;53(11):1310-1331.

257. Mattke S, Liu H, Caloyeras J, et al. Workplace wellness programs study: final report. Rand Health Q. 2013;3(2):7.

258. O'Boyle E, Harter J. Why Your Workplace Wellness Program Isn't Working. Gallup;2014.

259. Franklin PD, Rosenbaum PF, Carey MP, Roizen MF. Using sequential e-mail messages to promote health behaviors: evidence of feasibility and reach in a worksite sample. J Med Internet Res. 2006;8(1):e3-e3.

260. Seaverson EL, Grossmeier J, Miller TM, Anderson DR. The role of incentive design, incentive value, communications strategy, and worksite culture on health risk assessment participation. Am J Health Promot. 2009;23(5):343-352.

261. Misra-Hebert AD, Hu B, Taksler G, Zimmerman R, Rothberg MB. Financial incentives and diabetes disease control in employees: a retrospective cohort analysis. J Gen Intern Med. 2016;31(8):871-877.

262. van den Brand FA, Nagelhout GE, Winkens B, Chavannes NH, van Schayck OCP. Effect of a workplace-based group training programme combined with financial incentives on smoking cessation: a cluster-randomised controlled trial. Lancet Public Health. 2018;3(11):e536-e544.

263. Gibson TB, Maclean JR, Carls GS, et al. Engagement in health and wellness: an online incentive-based program. Prev Med Rep. 2017;7:86-90.

264. Cuellar A, Haviland AM, Richards-Shubik S, et al. Boosting workplace wellness programs with financial incentives. Am J Manag Care. 2017;23(10):604-610.

265. Taitel MS, Haufle V, Heck D, Loeppke R, Fetterolf D. Incentives and other factors associated with employee participation in health risk assessments. J Occup Environ Med. 2008;50(8):863-872.

266. Martinsson C, Lohela-Karlsson M, Kwak L, Bergström G, Hellman T. What incentives influence employers to engage in workplace health interventions? BMC Public Health. 2016;16(1):854.

267. Meng L, Wolff MB, Mattick KA, DeJoy DM, Wilson MG, Smith ML. Strategies for worksite health interventions to employees with elevated risk of chronic diseases. Saf Health Work. 2017;8(2):117-129.

268. Goetzel RZ, Guindon AM, Turshen IJ, Ozminkowski RJ. Health and productivity management: establishing key performance measures, benchmarks, and best practices. J Occup Environ Med. 2001;43(1):10-17.

269. Goetzel RZ, Shechter D, Ozminkowski RJ, Marmet PF, Tabrizi MJ, Roemer EC. Promising practices in employer health and productivity management efforts: findings from a benchmarking study. J Occup Environ Med. 2007;49(2):111-130.

270. Sloan RP, Gruman JC. Participation in workplace health promotion programs: the contribution of health and organizational factors. Health Educ Q. 1988;15(3):269-288.

271. Terry PE, Seaverson EL, Grossmeier J, Anderson DR. Association between nine quality components and superior worksite health management program results. J Occup Environ Med. 2008;50(6):633-641.

272. Sangster-Gormley E, Martin-Misener R, Downe-Wamboldt B, Dicenso A. Factors affecting nurse practitioner role implementation in Canadian practice settings: an integrative review. J Adv Nurs. 2011;67(6):1178-1190.

273. Soler RE, Leeks KD, Razi S, et al. A systematic review of selected interventions for worksite health promotion. The assessment of health risks with feedback. Am J Prev Med. 2010;38(2 Suppl):S237-262.

274. Arena R, Guazzi M, Briggs PD, et al. Promoting health and wellness in the workplace: A unique opportunity to establish primary and extended secondary cardiovascular risk reduction programs. Mayo Clinic Proceedings. 2013;88(6):605-617.

275. Stokes GC, Henley NS, Herget C. Creating a culture of wellness in workplaces. N C Med J. 2006;67(6):445-448.

276. Hannon PA, Garson G, Harris JR, Hammerback K, Sopher CJ, Clegg-Thorp C. Workplace health promotion implementation, readiness, and capacity among midsize employers in low-wage industries: a national survey. Journal of occupational and environmental medicine. 2012;54(11):1337-1343.

277. Machen R, Cuddihy T, Reaburn P. Development of a workplace wellness promotion pilot framework: a case study of the Blue Care Staff Wellness Program. Asia Pacific Journal of Health, Sport & Physical Education. 2010;1:21-27.

278. Maternal mortality [press release]. World Health Organization2019.

279. Alkema L, Chou D, Hogan D, et al. Global, regional, and national levels and trends in maternal mortality between 1990 and 2015, with scenario-based projections to 2030: a systematic analysis by the UN Maternal Mortality Estimation Inter-Agency Group. Lancet. 2016;387(10017):462-474.

280. OECD. Pensions at a Glance 2015. 2015.

281. Feldman R. Parent–infant synchrony: Biological foundations and developmental outcomes. Current directions in psychological science. 2007;16(6):340-345.

282. Feldman R, Gordon I, Schneiderman I, Weisman O, Zagoory-Sharon O. Natural variations in maternal and paternal care are associated with systematic changes in oxytocin following parent-infant contact. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2010;35(8):1133-1141.

283. Heymann J, Raub A, Earle A. Creating and using new data sources to analyze the relationship between social policy and global health: the case of maternal leave. Public health reports (Washington, DC : 1974). 2011;126 Suppl 3(Suppl 3):127-134.

284. World Health Organization. Infant and young child feeding. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/infant-and-young-child-feeding. Published 2018. Accessed July 10, 2019.

285. Breastfeeding: achieving the new normal. Lancet. 2016;387(10017):404-404.

286. Victora CG, Bahl R, Barros AJ, et al. Breastfeeding in the 21st century: epidemiology, mechanisms, and lifelong effect. Lancet. 2016;387(10017):475-490.

287. Weisman O, Zagoory-Sharon O, Feldman R. Oxytocin administration to parent enhances infant physiological and behavioral readiness for social engagement. Biol Psychiatry. 2012;72(12):982-989.

288. Caspi A, Moffitt TE, Newman DL, Silva PA. Behavioral observations at age 3 years predict adult psychiatric disorders: Longitudinal evidence from a birth cohort. Archives of general psychiatry. 1996;53(11):1033-1039.

289. Lugo-Gil J, Tamis-LeMonda CS. Family Resources and Parenting Quality: Links to Children's Cognitive Development across the First 3 Years. Child Dev. 2008;79(4):1065-1085.

290. Kochanska G. Emotional Development in Children with Different Attachment Histories: the First Three Years. Child Dev. 2001;72(2):474-490.

291. Jaeger E. Child Care and Mother-Child Interaction in the First 3 Years of Life. Dev Psychol. 1999;35(6):1399-1413.

292. Review of the Science Behind the Seeding Success Zero-to-Three Initiative: Evidence for the Fundamental Five Early Childhood Parenting Behaviors. Harvard University;2013.

293. Daku M, Raub A, Heymann J. Maternal leave policies and vaccination coverage: a global analysis. Soc Sci Med. 2012;74(2):120-124.

294. Berger LM, Hill J, Waldfogel J. Maternity leave, early maternal employment and child health and development in the US. The Economic Journal. 2005;115(501):F29-F47.

295. Exclusive breastfeeding for optimal growth, development and health of infants. World Health Organization. e-Library of Evidence for Nutrition Actions (eLENA) Web site. Published 2019. Accessed April 13, 2020.

296. Baker M, Milligan K. Maternal Employment, Breastfeeding, and Health: Evidence from Maternity Leave Mandates. J Health Econ. 2008;27(4):871-887.

297. Verschueren K, Marcoen A. Representation of Self and Socioemotional Competence in Kindergartners: Differential and Combined Effects of Attachment to Mother and to Father. Child Development. 1999;70(1):183-201.

298. Brown GL, Mangelsdorf SC, Neff C. Father Involvement, Paternal Sensitivity, and Father-Child Attachment Security in the first 3 Years. J Fam Psychol. 2012;26(3):421-430.

299. Sarkadi A, Kristiansson R, Oberklaid F, Bremberg S. Fathers' involvement and children's developmental outcomes: a systematic review of longitudinal studies. Acta Paediatr. 2008;97(2):153-158.

300. Keller WD, Hildebrandt KA, Richards ME. Effects of extended father-infant contact during the newborn period. Infant Behavior and Development. 1985;8(3):337-350.

301. Veríssimo M, Santos AJ, Vaughn BE, Torres N, Monteiro L, Santos O. Quality of attachment to father and mother and number of reciprocal friends. Early Child Development and Care. 2011;181(1):27-38.
302. McGovern P, Dowd B, Gjerdingen D, Moscovice I, Kochevar L, Lohman W. Time Off Work and the Postpartum Health of Employed Women. Medical Care. 1997;35(5):507-521.
303. Gjerdingen DK, Chaloner KM. The relationship of women's postpartum mental health to employment, childbirth, and social support. J Fam Pract. 1994;38(5):465-472.
304. Staehelin K, Bertea PC, Stutz EZ. Length of maternity leave and health of mother and child--a review. Int J Public Health. 2007;52(4):202-209.
305. Ray R, Gornick JC, Schmitt J. Who cares? assessing generosity and gender equality in parental leave policy designs in 21 countries. Journal of European Social Policy. 2010;20(3):196-216.
306. O'Brien M. Fathers, Parental Leave Policies, and Infant Quality of Life: International Perspectives and Policy Impact. The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science. 2009;624(1):190-213.
307. Kleven H, Landais C, Søgaard JE. Children and gender inequality: Evidence from Denmark. American Economic Journal: Applied Economics. 2019;11(4):181-209.
308. Jee E, Misra J, Murray-Close M. Motherhood Penalties in the US, 1986–2014. Journal of Marriage and Family. 2019;81(2):434-449.
309. Ruhm CJ. Parental leave and child health. J Health Econ. 2000;19(6):931-960.
310. Burtle A, Bezruchka S. Population Health and Paid Parental Leave: What the United States Can Learn from Two Decades of Research. Healthcare. 2016;4(2):30-30.
311. Rossin-Slater M. Maternity and family leave policy. National Bureau of Economic Research;2017. 0898-2937.
312. Glass JL, Riley L. Family Responsive Policies and Employee Retention Following Childbirth. Social Forces. 1998;76(4):1401-1435.
313. Coulson M, Skouteris H, Dissanayake C. The role of planning, support, and maternal and infant factors in women's return to work after maternity leave. Family Matters. 2012(90):33.
314. Brough P, O'Driscoll MP, Biggs A. Parental leave and work-family balance among employed parents following childbirth: An exploratory investigation in Australia and New Zealand. Kōtuitui: New Zealand Journal of Social Sciences Online. 2009;4(1):71-87.
315. Picken N, Janta B. Leave Policies and Practice for Non-Traditional Families. 2019.
316. Tangel V, White R, Nachamie A, Pick J. Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Maternal Outcomes and the Disadvantage of Peripartum Black Women: A Multistate Analysis, 2007–2014. 2018.
317. World Health Organization. Infant and young child nutrition: global strategy on infant and young child feeding. World Health Organization;2002.
318. World Health Organization. Breastfeeding. Health Topics Web site. https://www.who.int/topics/breastfeeding/en/. Published 2019. Accessed July 10, 2019.
319. World Health Organization. Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months: data by country. Global Health Observatory data repository Web site. http://apps.who.int/gho/data/view.main.NUT1730. Published 2018. Accessed July 10, 2019.
320. Scariati PD, Grummer-Strawn L, Fein SB. A longitudinal analysis of infant morbidity and the extent of breastfeeding in the United States. Pediatrics. 1997(1098-4275 (Electronic)).
321. Kwan ML, Buffler PA, Abrams B, Kiley VA. Breastfeeding and the risk of childhood leukemia: a meta-analysis. Public Health Reports. 2004;119(6):521-535.
322. Bachrach VRG, Schwarz E, Bachrach LR. Breastfeeding and the risk of hospitalization for respiratory disease in infancy: a meta-analysis. JAMA Pediatrics. 2003;157(3):237-243.
323. Harder T, Bergmann R, Kallischnigg G, Plagemann A. Duration of breastfeeding and risk of overweight: a meta-analysis. Am J Epidemiol. 2005;162(5):397-403.
324. Ip SF, Chung M, Raman G, et al. Breastfeeding and maternal and infant health outcomes in developed countries. Evid Rep Technol Assess (Full Rep). 2007(153):1-186.
325. World Health Organization. Breastfeeding. Maternal, newborn, child and adolescent health Web site. https://www.who.int/maternal_child_adolescent/topics/child/nutrition/breastfeeding/en/. Published 2019. Accessed July 10, 2019.
326. Ram KT, Bobby P, Hailpern SM, et al. Duration of lactation is associated with lower prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in midlife--SWAN, the study of women's health across the nation. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2008;198(3):1097-6868 (Electronic).
327. Stuebe AM, W. R-EJ, Willett WC, Manson JE, Michels KB. Duration of lactation and incidence of type 2 diabetes. JAMA. 2005;294(20):2601-2610.

328. Dewey KG, Cohen Rj Fau - Brown KH, Brown Kh Fau - Rivera LL, Rivera LL. Effects of exclusive breastfeeding for four versus six months on maternal nutritional status and infant motor development: results of two randomized trials in Honduras. J Nutr. 2000;131(2):262-267.

329. Stuebe AM, Rich-Edwards JW. The reset hypothesis: lactation and maternal metabolism. Am J Perinatol. 2009;26(1):81-88.

330. Stuebe A. The risks of not breastfeeding for mothers and infants. Reviews in obstetrics & gynecology. 2009;2(4):222-231.

331. Libbus MK, Bullock LFC. Breastfeeding and Employment: An Assessment of Employer Attitudes. Journal of Human Lactation. 2002;18(3):247-251.

332. Brown CA, Poag S, Kasprzycki C. Exploring large employers' and small employers' knowledge, attitudes, and practices on breastfeeding support in the workplace. Journal of Human Lactation. 2001;17(1):39-46.

333. Chen YC, Wu Yc Fau - Chie W-C, Chie WC. Effects of work-related factors on the breastfeeding behavior of working mothers in a Taiwanese semiconductor manufacturer: a cross-sectional survey. BMC Public Health. 2006;21(6):160.

334. Britton C, McCormick F, Renfrew M, Wade A, King S. Support for breastfeeding mothers. Cochrane Database Of Systematic Reviews. 2007(1).

335. Sikorski J, Renfrew MJ, Pindoria S, Wade A. Support for breastfeeding mothers: a systematic review. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol. 2003;17(4):407-417.

336. Cohen R, Mrtek MB, Mrtek RG. Comparison of maternal absenteeism and infant illness rates among breast-feeding and formula-feeding women in two corporations. American Journal of Health Promotion. 1995;10(2):148-153.

337. CIGNA Corporation. Working Well Moms program. Philadelphia, PA: CIGNA Corporation; February 2015.

338. UNICEF, World Health Organization. Nurturing the health and wealth of nations: the investment case for breastfeeding. 2017.

339. Dunn BF, Zavela KJ, Cline AD, Cost PA. Breastfeeding practices in Colorado businesses. Journal of Human Lactation. 2004;20(2):170-177.

340. World Health Organization. Protecting, promoting and supporting breastfeeding in facilities providing maternity and newborn services. e-Library of Evidence for Nutrition Actions (eLENA) Web site. https://www.who.int/elena/titles/full_recommendations/breastfeeding-support/en/. Published 2019. Accessed July 8, 2019.

341. United States Breastfeeding Committee. Workplace accommodations to support and protect breastfeeding. Washington, DC: United States Breastfeeding Committee2010.

342. Frone MR. Work-family balance. In: Quick JC, Tetrick LE, eds. Handbook of occupational health psychology. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association; 2003:143-162.

343. Warhurst C, Eikhof DR, Haunschild A. Work less, live more? Critical analyses of the work-life boundary. In: Warhurst CE, Doris Ruth; Haunschild, Axel, ed. Critical perspectives on work and organisations. London: Palgrave Macmillan; 2008.

344. Campbell Clark S. Work/family border theory: a new theory of work/family balance. Human Relations. 2000;53:747-770.

345. Rapoport R, Bailyn L, Fletcher JK, Pritt BH. Beyond work-family balance: advancing gender equity and workplace performance. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass; 2008.

346. Lyness KS, Judiesch MK. Gender Egalitarianism and Work-Life Balance for Managers: Multisource Perspectives in 36 Countries Applied Psychology. 2014 63(1):96-129.

347. Greenhaus JH, Powell GN. When work and family are allies: a theory of work-family enrichment. The Academy of Management Review. 2006;31(1):72-92.

348. Grzywacz JG, Marks NF. Reconceptualizing the work-family interface: an ecological perspective on the correlates of positive and negative spillover between work and family. J Occup Health Psychol. 2000;5(1):111-126.

349. Ireson R, Sethi B, Williams A. Availability of caregiver-friendly workplace policies (CFWPs): an international scoping review. Health Soc Care Community. 2018;26(1):e1-e14.

350. American Association of Retired Persons. Caregiving in the U.S.: The National Alliance for Caregiving, American Association of Retired Persons; June 2015.

351. Yeandle S, Bennett C, Bucker L, Shipton L, Suokas A. Who cares wins: the social and business benefits of supporting working carers. London: Center for Social Inclusion, Sheffield Hallam University;2006.

352. Fast J, Lero D, DeMarco R, Ferreira H, Eales J. Combining care work and paid work: Is it sustainable? : Research on Aging, Policies, and Practice (RAPP); September 2014.

353. Child Care Costs in the United States. Economic Policy Institute https://www.epi.org/child-care-costs-in-the-united-states/. Published 2019. Accessed.

354. These Countries have the most expensive childcare. World Economic Forum. https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2019/04/these-countries-have-the-most-expensive-childcare/. Published 2019. Accessed.

355. Allen TD, Herst DE, Bruck CS, Sutton M. Consequences associated with work-to-family conflict: a review and agenda for future research. J Occup Health Psychol. 2000;5(2):278-308.

356. Houston DM, Marks G. The role of planning and workplace support in returning to work after maternity leave. British Journal of Industrial Relations. 2003;41(2):197-214.

357. Hein C, Cassirer N. Workplace solutions for childcare. Geneva, Switzerland: International Labour Office, United Nations;2010.

358. Schott W. Going back part-time: family leave legislation and women's return to work. Popul Res Policy Rev. 2012;31(1):1-30.

359. Nowak MJ, Naude M, Thomas G. Returning to work after maternity leave: childcare and workplace flexibility. Journal of Industrial Relations. 2013;55(1):118-135.

360. Abendroth A-K, den Dulk L. Support for the work-life balance in Europe: the impact of state, workplace and family support on work-life balance satisfaction. Work, Employment and Society. 2011;25(2):234-256.

361. Dulk L, Peper B. Working parents' use of work/life policies. Sociologia, Problemas e Praticas. 2007;53:51-70.

362. Lyness KS, Kropf MB. The relationships of national gender equality and organizational support with work-family balance: A study of European managers. Human Relations. 2005;58(1):33-60.

363. Behson SJ. The relative contribution of formal and informal organizational work-family support. Journal of Vocational Behavior. 2005;66(3):487-500.

364. Shellenback K. Child Care & Parent Productivity: Making the Business Case. Cornell Linking Economic Development and Child Care Research Project;2004.

365. Beaujot R. Parental preferences for work and childcare. Canadian Public Policy / Analyse de Politiques. 1997;23(3):275-288.

366. Paid Family Leave Across OECD Countries Bipartisan Policy Center. Explainer Web site. https://bipartisanpolicy.org/explainer/paid-family-leave-across-oecd-countries/. Published 2020. Accessed 4/21/20.

367. Peters P, den Dulk L, van der Lippe T. The effects of time-spatial flexibility and new working conditions on employees' work–life balance: the Dutch case. Community, Work & Family. 2009;12(3):279-297.

368. Hall D, Shucksmith J, Russell S. Building a compassionate community: developing an informed and caring workplace in response to employee bereavement. Bereavement Care. 2013;32(1):4-10.

369. Cnaan RA, Handy F, Wadsworth M. Defining who is a volunteer: conceptual and empirical considerations. Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly. 1996;25(3):364-383.

370. Wilson J. Volunteering. Annual Review of Sociology. 2000;26:215-240.

371. Andreoni J. Impure altruism and donations to public goods: A theory of warm-glow giving. The Economic Journal. 1990;100(401):464-477.

372. Jenson J. Defining and measuring social cohesion. Vol No. 1. London: Commonwealth Secretariat; 2010.

373. Berger-Schmitt R. Considering social cohesion in quality of life assessments: concept and measurement. In: Hagerty MR, Vogel J, Møller V, eds. Assessing Quality of Life and Living Conditions to Guide National Policy: The State of the Art. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands; 2002:403-428.

374. Chan J, To H-P, Chan E. Reconsidering social cohesion: developing a definition and analytical framework for empirical research. Social Indicators Research. 2006;75(2):273-302.

375. Chuang Y-C, Chuang K-Y, Yang T-H. Social cohesion matters in health. Int J Equity Health. 2013;12:87-87.

376. Putnam RD. E pluribus unum: diversity and community in the twenty-first century the 2006 Johan Skytte Prize Lecture. Scandinavian Political Studies. 2007;30(2):137-174.

377. Rikard RV, Thompson MS, McKinney J, Beauchamp A. Examining health literacy disparities in the United States: a third look at the National Assessment of Adult Literacy (NAAL). BMC public health. 2016;16(1):975-975.

378. Zarcadoolas C, Pleasant AF, Greer DS. Advancing health literacy: A framework for understanding and action. San Francisco, CA, US: Jossey-Bass; 2006.

379. Lynch J, Smith GD, Hillemeier M, Shaw M, Raghunathan T, Kaplan G. Income inequality, the psychosocial environment, and health: comparisons of wealthy nations. The Lancet. 2001;358(9277):194-200.

380. Schondel C, Boehm K, Rose J, Marlowe A. Adolescent volunteers: An untapped resource in the delivery of adolescent preventive health care. Youth & Society. 1995;27(2):123-135.

381. Uggen C, Janikula J. Volunteerism and arrest in the transition to adulthood. Social Forces. 1999;78(1):331-362.
382. Omoto AM, Snyder M. Considerations of community: The context and process of volunteerism. American Behavioral Scientist. 2002;45(5):846-867.
383. Harbaugh WT, Mayr U, Burghart DR. Neural responses to taxation and voluntary giving reveal motives for charitable donations. Science. 2007;316(5831):1622-1625.
384. Moll J, Krueger F, Zahn R, Pardini M, de Oliveira-Souza R, Grafman J. Human fronto-mesolimbic networks guide decisions about charitable donation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006;103(42):15623-15628.
385. Barberis C, Tribollet E. Vasopressin and oxytocin receptors in the central nervous system. Crit Rev Neurobiol. 1996;10(1):119-154.
386. Morhenn VB, Park JW, Piper E, Zak PJ. Monetary sacrifice among strangers is mediated by endogenous oxytocin release after physical contact. In: Elsevier Science; 2008:375-383.
387. Anik L, Aknin L, Norton M, Dunn E. Feeling good about giving: the benefits (and costs) of self-interested charitable behavior. Harvard Business School, Harvard Business School Working Papers. 2009.
388. Dunn EW, Aknin LB, Norton MI. Spending money on others promotes happiness. Science. 2008;319(5870):1687-1688.
389. Abdel-Khalek AM. Measuring happiness with a single-item scale. Social Behavior and Personality: An International Journal. 2006;34(2):139-150.
390. Francis J, Giles-Corti B, Wood L, Knuiman M. Creating sense of community: The role of public space. Journal of environmental psychology. 2012;32(4):401-409.
391. Farrell SJ, Aubry T, Coulombe D. Neighborhoods and neighbors: Do they contribute to personal well-being? Journal of Community Psychology. 2004;32(1):9-25.
392. Diez Roux AV, Mair C. Neighborhoods and health. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 2010;1186(1):125-145.
393. Clark CJ, Guo H, Lunos S, et al. Neighborhood cohesion is associated with reduced risk of stroke mortality. Stroke. 2011;42(5):1212-1217.
394. Kim ES, Park N, Peterson C. Perceived neighborhood social cohesion and stroke. Social Science & Medicine. 2013;97:49-55.
395. Kim ES, Hawes AM, Smith J. Perceived neighbourhood social cohesion and myocardial infarction. Journal of epidemiology and community health. 2014;68(11):1020-1026.
396. Kreatsoulas C, Anand SS. The impact of social determinants on cardiovascular disease. Can J Cardiol. 2010;26 Suppl C(Suppl C):8C-13C.
397. Fone D, White J, Farewell D, et al. Effect of neighbourhood deprivation and social cohesion on mental health inequality: a multilevel population-based longitudinal study. Psychol Med. 2014;44(11):2449-2460.
398. Fone D, Dunstan F, Lloyd K, Williams G, Watkins J, Palmer S. Does social cohesion modify the association between area income deprivation and mental health? A multilevel analysis. International Journal of Epidemiology. 2007;36(2):338-345.
399. Brummett BH, Barefoot JC, Siegler IC, et al. Characteristics of socially isolated patients with coronary artery disease who are at elevated risk for mortality. Psychosom Med. 2001;63(2):267-272.
400. Frasure-Smith N, Lesperance F, Gravel G, et al. Social support, depression, and mortality during the first year after myocardial infarction. Circulation. 2000;101(16):1919-1924.
401. Orth-Gomer K, Rosengren A, Wilhelmsen L. Lack of social support and incidence of coronary heart disease in middle-aged Swedish men. Psychosom Med. 1993;55(1):37-43.
402. De Silva MJ, McKenzie K, Harpham T, Huttly SRA. Social capital and mental illness: a systematic review. Journal of epidemiology and community health. 2005;59(8):619-627.
403. Umberson D, Montez JK. Social relationships and health: a flashpoint for health policy. Journal of health and social behavior. 2010;51 Suppl(Suppl):S54-S66.
404. House JS, Landis KR, Umberson D. Social relationships and health. Science. 1988;241(4865):540-545.
405. Berkman LF, Syme SL. Social networks, host resistance, and mortality: a nine-year follow-up study of Alameda County residents. Am J Epidemiol. 1979;109(2):186-204.
406. Ellis JA. Social ties and health. Am J Public Health. 2006;96(8):1341-1341.
407. Berkman LF, Glass T, Brissette I, Seeman TE. From social integration to health: Durkheim in the new millennium. Social Science & Medicine. 2000;51(6):843-857.
408. Clary EG, Snyder M, Stukas AA. Volunteers' motivations: findings from a national survey. Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly. 1996;25(4):485-505.

409.   Haski-Leventhal D. Altruism and Volunteerism: The perceptions of altruism in four disciplines and their impact on the study of volunteerism. Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour. 2009;39(3):271-299.
410.   Cnaan RA, Goldberg-Glen RS. Measuring motivation to volunteer in human services. In: Sage Publications; 1991:269-284.
411.   Snyder MC, E. G. A functional analysis of altruism and prosocial behavior. Review of Personality and Social Psychology. 1991;12:119-148.
412.   Reluctant altruism and peer pressure in charitable giving [press release]. US: Society for Judgment and Decision Making2013.
413.   Terry DJ, Hogg MA. Group norms and the attitude-behavior relationship: a role for group identification. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin. 1996;22(8):776-793.
414.   Smith DH. Determinants of voluntary association participation and volunteering: a literature review. Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly. 1994;23(3):243-263.
415.   Janet Heroux, Tyler Norris, Kate Rube, Nadimi V. The Case for Healthy Places: Improving Health Outcomes Through Placemaking. Project For Public Spaces;2016.
416.   Hystad P, Carpiano RM. Sense of community-belonging and health-behaviour change in Canada. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2012;66(3):277-283.
417.   Chaix B, Veugelers PJ, Boelle PY, Chauvin P. Access to general practitioner services: the disabled elderly lag behind in underserved areas. Eur J Public Health. 2005;15(3):282-287.
418.   Haynes R, Lovett A, Sünnenberg G. Potential accessibility, travel time, and consumer choice: geographical variations in general medical practice registrations in Eastern England. Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space. 2003;35(10):1733-1750.
419.   Comstock N, Miriam Dickinson L, Marshall JA, et al. Neighborhood attachment and its correlates: Exploring neighborhood conditions, collective efficacy, and gardening. Journal of Environmental Psychology. 2010;30(4):435-442.
420.   Giles-Corti B, Vernez-Moudon A, Reis R, et al. City planning and population health: a global challenge. Lancet. 2016;388(10062):2912-2924.
421.   Gordon-Larsen P, Nelson MC, Page P, Popkin BM. Inequality in the built environment underlies key health disparities in physical activity and obesity. Pediatrics. 2006;117(2):417.
422.   Ellaway A, Macintyre S, Bonnefoy X. Graffiti, greenery, and obesity in adults: secondary analysis of European cross sectional survey. BMJ (Clinical research ed). 2005;331(7517):611-612.
423.   Francis J, Wood LJ, Knuiman M, Giles-Corti B. Quality or quantity? Exploring the relationship between Public Open Space attributes and mental health in Perth, Western Australia. Social Science & Medicine. 2012;74(10):1570-1577.
424.   Sugiyama T, Leslie E, Giles-Corti B, Owen N. Associations of neighbourhood greenness with physical and mental health: do walking, social coherence and local social interaction explain the relationships? Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health. 2008;62(5):e9.
425.   van den Berg M, van Poppel M, van Kamp I, et al. Visiting green space is associated with mental health and vitality: A cross-sectional study in four european cities. Health & Place. 2016;38:8-15.
426.   Parry-Jones WLI. Natural landscape, psychological well-being and mental health. Landscape Research. 1990;15(2):7-11.
427.   What is CSR? UNIDO. Competitive trade capacities and corporate responsibility Web site. https://www.unido.org/our-focus/advancing-economic-competitiveness/competitive-trade-capacities-and-corporate-responsibility/corporate-social-responsibility-market-integration/what-csr. Accessed 5/5/20.
428.   International Organization for Standardization (ISO). ISO 26000:2010: Guidance on social responsibility. In:2010.
429.   Hunt V, Prince S, Dixon-Fyle S, Yee L. Deliverying through Diversity. McKinsey & Company;2018.
430.   The B Team, Virgin Unite. Diversity: Bringing the Business Case to Life. n.d.
431.   Krikovich A, Nadeau M-C, Robinson K, Robinson N, Starikova I, Yee L. Women in the Workplace 2018. McKinsey & Company;2018.
432.   Pedulla D. Diversity and Inclusion Efforts that Really Work. Harvard Business Review. https://hbr.org/2020/05/diversity-and-inclusion-efforts-that-really-work. Published 2020. Accessed September 9, 2020.
433.   Milli J, Huang Y, Hartmann H, Hayes J. The impact of equal pay on poverty and the economy. Institute for Women's Policy Research;2017.
434.   Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. LMF1.5: Gender pay gaps for full-time workers and earnings differentials by educational attainment. September 18 2018.

435. Treadwell HM. Wages and Women in Health Care: The Race and Gender Gap. In: American Public Health Association; 2019.

436. International Labour Organization. Equality at work: Tackling the challenges: Global Report under the follow-up to the ILO Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work. Geneva, Switzerland: International Labour Office;2007.

437. International Labour Organization. Mental Health in the Workplace. Introduction: Executive summaries. Geneva: International Labour Organization; 2000.

438. State-Sponsored Homophobia: Global Legislation Overview Update. ILGA World2019.

439. What is collective bargaining? International Labour Organization. Collective bargaining and labour relations Web site. https://www.ilo.org/global/topics/collective-bargaining-labour-relations/WCMS_244362/lang--en/index.htm. Published 2020. Accessed 5/5/20.

440. Hostetter M, Klein S. In Focus: How Unions Act as a Force for Change in Health Care Delivery and Payment. Commonwealth Fund;2019.

441. KPMG. The KPMG survey of corporate responsibility reporting 2013. 2013.

442. Hąbek P, Wolniak R. Assessing the quality of corporate social responsibility reports: the case of reporting practices in selected European Union member states. Qual Quant. 2016;50:399-420.

443. International Living Future Institute. JUST. In:2018.

444. B Lab. Certified B Corporation. In:2018.

445. GoodWell. GoodWell Certification. In:2019.

446. Business in the Community Ireland. Business Working Responsibly Mark. In:2019.

447. Global Reporting Initiative. GRI Standards. In:2019.

448. Dow Jones. Dow Jones Sustainbility Index. In:2019.

449. Caligiuri P, Mencin A, Jiang K. Win–Win–Win: The Influence of Company-Sponsored Volunteerism Programs on Employees, NGOs, and Business Units. Personnel Psychology. 2013;66(4):825-860.

450. Glavas A, Piderit SK. How Does Doing Good Matter? Effects of Corporate Citizenship on Employees. The Journal of Corporate Citizenship. 2009(36):51-70.

451. Glavas A. Corporate Social Responsibility and Organizational Psychology: An Integrative Review. Front Psychol. 2016;7:144-144.

452. Glavas A, Kelley K. The Effects of Perceived Corporate Social Responsibility on Employee Attitudes. Business Ethics Quarterly. 2014;24(2):165-202.

453. Valentine S, Fleischman G. Ethics Programs, Perceived Corporate Social Responsibility and Job Satisfaction. Journal of Business Ethics. 2008;77(2):159-172.

454. Vlachos PA, Panagopoulos NG, Rapp AA. Feeling Good by Doing Good: Employee CSR-Induced Attributions, Job Satisfaction, and the Role of Charismatic Leadership. Journal of Business Ethics. 2013;118(3):577-588.

455. Carmeli A, Gilat G, Waldman DA. The Role of Perceived Organizational Performance in Organizational Identification, Adjustment and Job Performance*. Journal of Management Studies. 2007;44(6):972-992.

456. Bowling NA, Eschleman KJ, Wang Q. A meta-analytic examination of the relationship between job satisfaction and subjective well-being. Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology. 2010;83(4):915-934.

457. Lin C-P, Lyau N-M, Tsai Y-H, Chen W-Y, Chiu C-K. Modeling Corporate Citizenship and Its Relationship with Organizational Citizenship Behaviors. Journal of Business Ethics. 2010;95(3):357-372.

458. Evans WR, Davis WD, Frink DD. An Examination of Employee Reactions to Perceived Corporate Citizenship1. Journal of Applied Social Psychology. 2011;41(4):938-964.

459. Shen J, Benson J. When CSR Is a Social Norm: How Socially Responsible Human Resource Management Affects Employee Work Behavior. Journal of Management. 2014;42(6):1723-1746.

460. Rupp DE, Shao R, Thornton MA, Skarlicki DP. Applicants' and Employees' Reactions to Corporate Social Responsibility: The Moderating Effects of First-Party Justice Perceptions and Moral Identity. Personnel Psychology. 2013;66(4):895-933.

461. Mueller K, Hattrup K, Spiess SO, Lin-Hi N. The effects of corporate social responsibility on employees' affective commitment: a cross-cultural investigation. J Appl Psychol. 2012;97(6):1186-1200.

462. Chen Y-RR, Hung-Baesecke C-JF. Examining the Internal Aspect of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR): Leader Behavior and Employee CSR Participation. Communication Research Reports. 2014;31(2):210-220.

463. Trenerry B, Franklin H, Paradies Y. Preventing race-based discrimination and supporting cultural diversity in the workplace: an evidence review: full report. 2012.

464. Yang S, Li A. Legal protection against gender discrimination in the workplace in China. Gender & Development. 2009;17(2):295-308.

465. Corporate Equality Index 2020. Human Rights Campaign Foundation;2020.

466. Salmen JPS. U.S. accessibility codes and standards: challenges for universal design. In: Preiser WFES, Korydon H., ed. Universal design handbook. Second ed. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.; 2011.

467. Center for Universal Design. The principles of universal design. North Carolina State University, Center for Universal Design. https://projects.ncsu.edu/ncsu/design/cud/about_ud/udprinciplestext.htm. Published 1997. Updated April 1. Accessed December 29, 2019.

468. Story M. The principles of universal design. In: Preiser WFES, Korydon H., ed. Universal design handbook. Second ed. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.; 2011.

469. Story MFM, James L.; Mace, Ronald L. The universal design file: designing for people of all ages and abilities- revised edition. Raleigh, NC: North Carolina State University, The Center for Universal Design; 1998.

470. Anderson GF, Hussey PS. Population aging: a comparison among industrialized countries. Health Aff (Millwood). 2000;19(3):191-203.

471. Lloyd-Sherlock P. Population ageing in developed and developing regions: implications for health policy. Soc Sci Med. 2000;51(6):887-895.

472. United Nations. World population ageing 2015. New York, NY: Department of Economic and Social Affairs;2015.

473. Rowe JW, Kahn RL. Successful aging. Gerontologist. 1997;37(4):433-440.

474. Rowe JW, Kahn RL. Human aging: usual and successful. Science. 1987;237(4811):143-149.

475. Lowry KA, Vallejo AN, Studenski SA. Successful aging as a continuum of functional independence: lessons from physical disability models of aging. Aging Dis. 2012;3(1):5-15.

476. Vasto S, Scapagnini G, Bulati M, et al. Biomarkes of aging. Front Biosci (Schol Ed). 2010;2:392-402.

477. Steves CJ, Spector TD, Jackson SH. Ageing, genes, environment and epigenetics: what twin studies tell us now, and in the future. Age Ageing. 2012;41(5):581-586.

478. Beard JR, Bloom DE. Towards a comprehensive public health response to population ageing. Lancet. 2015;385(9968):658-661.

479. World Health Organization, World Bank Group. World report on disability. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO;2011.

480. Cieza A, Sabariego C, Bickenbach J, Chatterji S. Rethinking disability. BMC medicine. 2018;16(1):14-14.

481. Horn L, Berktold J, Bobbitt L, McGuire C, Forgione P, Carroll C. Students with disabilities in postsecondary education: a profile of preparation, participation, and outcomes. Washington, D.C.: United States Department of Education, Office of Educational Research and Improvement; June 1970.

482. Demirkan H. Housing for the aging population. European Review of Aging and Physical Activity. 2007;4(1):33-38.

483. Milestones Augism Resources. Supporting Employees with Autism. Milstones Austirm Resources. For Community At Large Web site. https://www.milestones.org/get-started/for-community-at-large/supporting-employees-with-autism. Published 2020. Accessed May 20, 2020.

484. Latham PS, Latham P. Learning Disabilities in the Workplace. Learning Disabilities Association of America. https://ldaamerica.org/learning-disabilities-in-the-workplace/. Published 2019. Accessed 5/20, 2020.

485. Couillard E, Higbee JL. Expanding the scope of Universal Design: Implications for gender identity and sexual orientation. Education Sciences. 2018;8(3):147.

486. Crews DE, Zavotka S. Aging, disability, and frailty: implications for universal design. J Physiol Anthropol. 2006;25(1):113-118.

487. McGuire JM, Scott SS, Shaw SF. Universal design and its applications in educational environments. Remedial and Special Education. 2006;27(3):166-175.

488. King-Sears M. Universal design for learning: technology and pedagogy. Learning Disability Quarterly. 2009;32(4):199-201.

489. Hitchcock C, Stahl S. Assistive technology, universal design, universal design for learning: improved learning opportunities. Journal of Special Education Technology. 2003;18(4):45-52.

490. World Health Organization. Community management of opioid overdose. 2014.

491. van Ree JM, Gerrits MA, Vanderschuren LJ. Opioids, reward and addiction: an encounter of biology, psychology, and medicine. Pharmacol Rev. 1999;51(2):341-396.

492. World Health Organization. Information sheet on opioid overdose. Management of substance abuse Web site. https://www.who.int/substance_abuse/information-sheet/en/. Published 2018. Updated August. Accessed April 7, 2019.

493. Myat A, Song KJ, Rea T. Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: current concepts. Lancet. 2018;391(10124):970-979.

494. World Health Organization. Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs). https://www.who.int/en/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/cardiovascular-diseases-(cvds). Published 2017. Updated May 17. Accessed March 30, 2019.

495. Adabag AS, Luepker RV, Roger VL, Gersh BJ. Sudden cardiac death: epidemiology and risk factors. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2010;7(4):216-225.

496. Chugh SS, Reinier K, Teodorescu C, et al. Epidemiology of sudden cardiac death: clinical and research implications. Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 2008;51(3):213-228.

497. Müller D, Agrawal R, Arntz H-R. How sudden is sudden cardiac death? Circulation. 2006;114(11):1146-1150.

498. Crime UNOoDa. Global Overview of Drug Demand and Supply. 2019.

499. Hedegaard H, Miniño AM, Warner M. Drug overdose deaths in the United States, 1999-2018. 2020.

500. International Narcotics Control Board. Estimated world requirements of narcotic drugs in grams for 2020, March update. March 2020.

501. Milloy MJ, Wood E, Reading C, Kane D, Montaner J, Kerr T. Elevated overdose mortality rates among First Nations individuals in a Canadian setting: a population-based analysis. Addiction. 2010;105(11):1962-1970.

502. Helmerhorst GT, Teunis T, Janssen SJ, Ring D. An epidemic of the use, misuse and overdose of opioids and deaths due to overdose, in the United States and Canada: is Europe next? Bone Joint J. 2017;99-b(7):856-864.

503. Schubert I, Ihle P, Sabatowski R. Increase in opiate prescription in Germany between 2000 and 2010: a study based on insurance data. Dtsch Arztebl Int. 2013;110(4):45-51.

504. Giraudon I, Lowitz K, Dargan PI, Wood DM, Dart RC. Prescription opioid abuse in the UK. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2013;76(5):823-824.

505. Bird SM, McAuley A, Perry S, Hunter C. Effectiveness of Scotland's National Naloxone Programme for reducing opioid-related deaths: a before (2006-10) versus after (2011-13) comparison. Addiction. 2016;111(5):883-891.

506. Frequently asked questions (FAQ): drug overdose deaths in Europe. http://www.emcdda.europa.eu/publications/topic-overviews/content/faq-drug-overdose-deaths-in-europe_en#question2. Published 2019. Accessed2020.

507. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. World drug report 2012. Vienna: United Nations;2012.

508. Coffin P. Overdose: a major cause of preventable death in Central and Eastern Europe in Central Asia: recommendations and overview of the situation in Latvia, Kyrgyzstan, Romania, Russia and Tajikistan. Eurasian Harm Reduction Network (EHRN). 2008;1:78.

509. Quan VM, Minh NL, Ha TV, et al. Mortality and HIV transmission among male Vietnamese injection drug users. Addiction. 2011;106(3):583-589.

510. Man N, Chrzanowska A, Dobbins T, Degenardt L, Peacock A. Trends in drug-induced deaths in Australia, 1997-2018. Sydney: National Drug and Alcohol Research Centre, UNSW Sydney.2019.

511. Van Zee A. The promotion and marketing of oxycontin: commercial triumph, public health tragedy. Am J Public Health. 2009;99(2):221-227.

512. Davis CS, Carr D. Physician continuing education to reduce opioid misuse, abuse, and overdose: Many opportunities, few requirements. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2016;163:100-107.

513. Hadland SE, Rivera-Aguirre A, Marshall BDL, Cerdá M. Association of pharmaceutical industry marketing of opioid products with mortality from opioid-related overdoses. JAMA Network Open. 2019;2(1):e186007-e186007.

514. Manchikanti L, Helm S, 2nd, Fellows B, et al. Opioid epidemic in the United States. Pain Physician. 2012;15(3 Suppl):Es9-38.

515. Haffajee RL, Mello MM. Drug companies' liability for the opioid epidemic. New England Journal of Medicine. 2017;377(24):2301-2305.

516. Skolnick P. On the front lines of the opioid epidemic: rescue by naloxone. European Journal of Pharmacology. 2018;835:147-153.

517. Maxwell JC. The prescription drug epidemic in the United States: A perfect storm. Drug and Alcohol Review. 2011;30(3):264-270.

518. Beauchamp GA, Winstanley EL, Ryan SA, Lyons MS. Moving beyond misuse and diversion: the urgent need to consider the role of iatrogenic addiction in the current opioid epidemic. Am J Public Health. 2014;104(11):2023-2029.

519. Bohnert AS, Valenstein M, Bair MJ, et al. Association between opioid prescribing patterns and opioid overdose-related deaths. Jama. 2011;305(13):1315-1321.

520. Wisniewski AM, Purdy CH, Blondell RD. The epidemiologic association between opioid prescribing, non-medical use, and emergency department visits. J Addict Dis. 2008;27(1):1-11.

521. Chapman CR, Lipschitz DL, Angst MS, et al. Opioid pharmacotherapy for chronic non-cancer pain in the United States: a research guideline for developing an evidence-base. J Pain. 2010;11(9):807-829.

522. Cobb LA, Eliastam M, Kerber RE, et al. Report of the American Heart Association Task Force on the Future of Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation. Circulation. 1992;85(6):2346-2355.
523. Nichol G, Sayre MR, Guerra F, Poole J. Defibrillation for ventricular fibrillation: a shocking update. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2017;70(12):1496-1509.
524. Weaver WD, Peberdy MA. Defibrillators in public places — one step closer to home. New England Journal of Medicine. 2002;347(16):1223-1224.
525. Bunch TJ, White RD, Gersh BJ, et al. Long-term outcomes of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest after successful early defibrillation. New England Journal of Medicine. 2003;348(26):2626-2633.
526. Gundry JW, Comess KA, DeRook FA, Jorgenson D, Bardy GH. Comparison of naive sixth-grade children with trained professionals in the use of an automated external defibrillator. Circulation. 1999;100(16):1703-1707.
527. Caffrey SL, Willoughby PJ, Pepe PE, Becker LB. Public Use of Automated External Defibrillators. New England Journal of Medicine. 2002;347(16):1242-1247.
528. Nichol G, Thomas E, Callaway CW, et al. Regional variation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest incidence and outcome. JAMA. 2008;300(12):1423-1431.
529. Engdahl J, Holmberg M, Karlson BW, Luepker R, Herlitz J. The epidemiology of out-of-hospital 'sudden' cardiac arrest. Resuscitation. 2002;52(3):235-245.
530. McGregor C, Darke S, Ali R, Christie P. Experience of non-fatal overdose among heroin users in Adelaide, Australia: circumstances and risk perceptions. Addiction. 1998;93(5):701-711.
531. Tobin KE, Davey MA, Latkin CA. Calling emergency medical services during drug overdose: an examination of individual, social and setting correlates. Addiction. 2005;100(3):397-404.
532. Strang J, Best D, Man L, Noble A, Gossop M. Peer-initiated overdose resuscitation: fellow drug users could be mobilised to implement resuscitation. Int J Drug Policy. 2000;11(6):437-445.
533. Darke S, Ross J, Hall W. Overdose among heroin users in Sydney, Australia: II. responses to overdose. Addiction. 1996;91(3):413-417.
534. Lagu T, Anderson BJ, Stein M. Overdoses among friends: drug users are willing to administer naloxone to others. J Subst Abuse Treat. 2006;30(2):129-133.
535. Paulozzi LJ. Prescription drug overdoses: a review. J Safety Res. 2012;43(4):283-289.
536. Piper TM, Stancliff S, Rudenstine S, et al. Evaluation of a naloxone distribution and administration program in New York City. Subst Use Misuse. 2008;43(7):858-870.
537. Enteen L, Bauer J, McLean R, et al. Overdose prevention and naloxone prescription for opioid users in San Francisco. J Urban Health. 2010;87(6):931-941.
538. Loimer N, Hofmann P, Chaudhry HR. Nasal administration of naloxone for detection of opiate dependence. J Psychiatr Res. 1992;26(1):39-43.
539. Kelly AM, Koutsogiannis Z. Intranasal naloxone for life threatening opioid toxicity. Emergency Medicine Journal. 2002;19(4):375.
540. Seal KH, Thawley R, Gee L, et al. Naloxone distribution and cardiopulmonary resuscitation training for injection drug users to prevent heroin overdose death: a pilot intervention study. J Urban Health. 2005;82(2):303-311.
541. Wagner KD, Valente TW, Casanova M, et al. Evaluation of an overdose prevention and response training programme for injection drug users in the Skid Row area of Los Angeles, CA. The International journal on drug policy. 2010;21(3):186-193.
542. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. Opioid overdose: preventing and reducing opioid overdose mortality. Vienna: United Nations; June 2013.
543. Jones CM, Campopiano M, Baldwin G, McCance-Katz E. National and state treatment need and capacity for opioid agonist medication-assisted treatment. Am J Public Health. 2015;105(8):e55-e63.
544. Center for Substance Abuse Treatment. Medication-assisted tratment for opioid addiction in opioid treatment programs. In. Treatment improvement protocols. Rockville, MD: United States Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration; 2005.
545. Connery HS. Medication-assisted treatment of opioid use disorder: review of the evidence and future directions. Harvard Review of Psychiatry. 2015;23(2):63-75.

The WELL Building Standard ("WELL") and related resources such as Evidence Box documents constitute proprietary information of the International WELL Building Institute pbc (IWBI). All information contained herein is provided without warranties of any kind, either express or implied, including but not limited to warranties of the accuracy or completeness of the information or the suitability of the information for any particular purpose. Use of this document in any form implies acceptance of these conditions.

IWBI authorizes individual use of this document. In exchange for this authorization, the user agrees:

1. to retain all copyright and other proprietary notices contained herein,
2. not to sell or modify this document, and
3. not to reproduce, display or distribute this document in any way for any public or commercial purpose.
4. To ensure that any and all authorized uses of this document, including excerpts thereof, are accompanied by attribution, including to the appropriate addendum.

Unauthorized use of this document violates copyright, trademark and other laws and is prohibited.

INTERNATIONAL WELL BUILDING INSTITUTE, IWBI, THE WELL BUILDING STANDARD, THE WELL COMMUNITY STANDARD, WELL CERTIFIED, WELL PORTFOLIO, WELL PORTFOLIO SCORE, WELL AP, THE WELL CONFERENCE, WELL Health-Safety Rating, WELL™, and others and their related logos are trademarks or certification marks of the International WELL Building Institute pbc in the United States and other countries.

Disclaimer

Although the information contained in WELL v2 is believed to be reliable and accurate, all materials set forth within are provided without warranties of any kind, either express or implied, including but not limited to warranties of the accuracy or completeness of information or the suitability of the information for any particular purpose. The WELL Building Standard and resources related thereto including this document are intended to educate and assist organizations, building stakeholders, real estate owners, tenants, occupants and other and related resources including this document should be considered, or used as a substitute for, quality control, safety analysis, legal compliance (including zoning), comprehensive urban planning, medical advice, diagnosis or treatment.