# NEWHURST ERF LOCAL LIAISON COMMITTEE (LLC) MEETING NOTES MEETING HELD 12<sup>TH</sup> APRIL 2021, 1500- 1700HRS (VIA ZOOM)

#### In attendance:

Cllr Christine Radford (CR) LCC County Councillor, Shepshed

Cllr Max Hunt (MH) LCC County Councillor, Loughborough North West

Cllr Jane Lennie (JL) Shepshed Town Council Cllr Peter Grainger (PG) Shepshed Town Council

Cllr Joan Tassell (JT) Charnwood Borough Council Shepshed West Cllr John Savage (JS) Charnwood Borough Council (CBC) Shepshed

East

Julia Howard (JH)

Peter Wood (PW)

Peter Cunnington (PC)

Mr Ken King

Ms Jane Hammersley

Local Resident

Local Resident

Local Resident

Local Resident

Daniel Galpin (DG)

Mark Revill (MR)

Ann Green (AG)

LCC Planning Officer

Environment Agency (EA)

CBC Environmental Protection

David Spencer (DS)

Craig Burdis (CB)

John Orchard (JO)

Mary Tappenden (MT)

Covanta

Biffa

Biffa

Dr David Best (DPB) Independent Facilitator

Apologies for absence: Alan Twells (CBC)

**Disclaimer:** Membership of the LLC does not imply either support for, or objection to, the Newhurst Energy Recovery Facility (ERF) development. Rather it is an opportunity to facilitate the flow of information between the developer and local communities and vice versa.

# 1. Welcome and Introductions

- 1.1 David Best welcomed members to the meeting.
- 1.2 A copy of the papers circulated with the Agenda will be available on the Newhurst ERF website after the meeting has concluded.

The link for the website is:

1.3 DPB stated that the meeting would be recorded to help prepare the meeting notes, but the recording would be deleted once these were approved at the subsequent LLC meeting. The transcript would not be published.

## 2. Welcome to new Members.

DPB welcomed Mr King and Ms Hammersley to the Committee.

- 2.1 Ms Hammersley noted that she was employed by a US based business based in Phoenix but that she and her family have been resident in Shepshed for 25 years, before which I lived in Loughborough all my life. I believe that I can make a positive difference to Shepshed, being part of the interface between Newhurst LLC my local community. Having schooled my daughter here, I have an extensive network through which to communicate the matters of the Committee.
- 2.2 Mr King noted that he had previously been Health and Safety Manager at Taylor Bells but had retired at Christmas, and was looking forward to participating more in community matters and in particular to the work of the Committee.

It was noted that Ms Landy Yang had also been selected to the Committee but that no information had been received as to her attendance at this meeting. DPB will follow up.

DPB also informed the committee that due to an oversight Mr Mark Needham, a very suitably qualified candidate, had had his application overlooked. After a short discussion it was agreed that Mr Needham would be invited to join the committee, increasing the representation of Loughborough members. In reply to a question as to whether this extended the radius of interest of the membership, Mr Spencer pointed out that the application had been received in response to the call for applications in the paper newsletter, which had only ben sent to those households within three miles of the development.

# 2. Minutes of the Previous Meeting.

2.1 These had been previously circulated. Mr Revill drew attention to the incorrect spelling of his name. This has been corrected, there were no other comments, and the final version sent to Covanta/Biffa to be posted on the website.

# 3. Matters Arising not on the Agenda.

- 3.1 Mr Wood raised a question on Traffic Management- this was added to the agenda.
- 3.2 Following a point raised by Mrs Howard, it was agreed that he issue of the three mile radius from the plant and whether it should be extended would be revisited at commissioning.

# 4. <u>Terms of Reference</u>.

These were noted as agreed and will be put on the Website.

## 5. Construction Update.

Mr Burdis presented the construction update, using a slide deck which has since been put on the web site and the link to which is here: Newhurst Energy-from-Waste (covanta.com)

# The key points were:

# 5.1 Background

- Newhurst is an Energy from Waste plant handling 350,000 tons of waste per annum. In full operation, it will generate about 42 megawatts of energy
- The contract was closed in February 2020, This is the tenth month into construction.
- The plant is being constructed on behalf of Covanta by a consortium led by Hitachi.
- When it is operational it will be covanta who will operate the plant and it is scheduled to complete in the second quarter of 2023.
- Procurement is about 72%. Complete,
- Manufacturing of components is about halfway complete.
- Covanta are happy with this progress; manufacturing of the main components (being the turbine, the boiler) is progressing particularly well.

#### 5.2 Activities on site:

- Construction is about 3rd of the way through. Up to now the main activity has been to complete the Civil Works.
- Now the steelworks are about to begin in earnest to make ready for the installation of the Plant; the Boilers and turbines.
- The first part of the steelworks is that which will rise above the bunkers. This steelwork is just beginning to be visible from the M1 exit. This will be the highest part of the structure.
- An Audit of the Considerate Contractors Scheme has resulted in the scheme being awarded 40 out of a maximum 50. A score of excellent in all categories. They aspire to get even higher scores on the next occasion.
- The objective is to do the boiler pressure test at the back end of this year, a significant milestone in the project.
- Then look to commence the cold commissioning this summer and start receiving waste towards the back end of 2022.
- A lot of the work to date has been in the infrastructure underground services specifically laying in the concrete slabs to support the structures and these slabs make up the building footprint. All the concrete slabs are complete, except for the tip area, which needs land forming and levelling. Requiring some earthworks, before the concrete slab laid.
- Now that civil works are nearing completion, the next process elements of the construction are being initiated.

## 5.3 Other Points:

• Work will concentrate for the next few months in the boiler hall to start bringing pieces of the boiler to put together pre-assemble and lift in.

- Factory inspections continue to ensure the quality of prefabricated components, although these are bing carried out remotely due to the pandemic. This has proved satisfactory.
- It is hoped to be able to resume phyisal inspections before delivery.

## 5.4 Covid Plan and actions

- Essentially our COVID-19 Management Action Plan is the same as it was before when reported in January and will remain in place as long as needed.
- Since we the beginning of the project there have been about 23 positive cases of Covid out of 300 people on site.
- Social distancing in the outdoor areas particularly at breaks has been an important area for focus, and that's why we have a medic on site so that testing can be carried out as needed, with rules enforced as to when someone needs to be quickly removed from the site.
- There have so far been over 400,000 project hours. And one "lost time" incident, arising from a tractor driver over-reached and as a result of a pre-existing condition suffered an injury and a few days were lost.
- 400,000 project hours is the equivalent of one person working 40 hour week for 200 years. In the context of this volume of effort it was noted that one short lost time incident, was a good achievement. All actions are subject to government advice, which is received via the Construction Leadership Council.

# 5.5 Questions on Construction Update.

- Councillor Joan Tassel; Originally the roof line was to have contoured to
  match the contouring of the surrounding hills Is this still to be the case? Mr
  Burdis replied that once all the plant had been put in place the roof seen in the
  Agenda phot would be lifted and then lowered into place so that square
  buildings currently visible would be masked by the roof design.
- **Mr Peter Grainger** It may be out of Covanta scope but when will the electricity substation point of connection be built? **Mr Burdis** agreed that it was out of Covanta control and was the responsibility of Western Power Distribution, but that the plans were going through the approval process and he believed that it was a matter of the Section 106 agreement being signed. Construction may be due to start this month (April or next).
- Councillor Jane Lennie. In the presentation waste being received from end 2022 was mentioned and yet the plant is not due to begin operation until 2023?
   Mr Burdis explained that in order to test each element of the process it was necessary to bring waste in well before the start of operations. As planned at present waste was due to be received in October 2022.
- Mr Ken King made the point in relation to the above response that the developer will need to communicate frequently and effectively about both hot

and cold commissioning. **Mr Spencer** reassured the committee that this was exactly what weas planned.

- Councillor Max Hunt requested a clear timeline so that the community could see clearly what was being delivered at each point. Mr Burdis offered to expend the timeline on the plan to provide a high-level view of what would happen, when, in the project. Councillor Hunt also asked that if communications were being prepared to go to the community at particular points of progress, these could be shared with the Committee slightly in advance to enable members to provide timely responses to their communities.
- Mr Peter Wood enquired where the large components are being made for the plant. Mr Burdis replied that unfortunately Europe are further ahead with this sort of plant than the UK and that therefore manufacturing capacity in the UK is insufficient to supply some of the larger elements of the construction. For example, turbines are made in the Czech Republic and Boiler in Croatia. There are however 43 UK suppliers.
- The importance of local supply was stressed in the meeting and Covanta replied that where possible they were committed to local sourcing.
- In relation to this Mr Spencer stressed local recruitment for the 40 posts that
  were being recruited for the operation of the plant. These roles will be brought
  on as needed and prior to the full operation of the plant. There are also many
  other roles ancillary to the plant which would be recruited locally.
- Mrs Howard asked what changes would need to be made to the stack of the incinerator in light of future regulations on particulates <2.5 microns. Mr Burdis replied that the design already catered for these requirements and that therefore no changes were required.
- In discussing the flue gas treatment process, Mr Spencer reminded the Committee that information on this topic was already on the project web site, together with an animation that shows the viewer how waste moves through the cycle and how emissions are controlled and cleaned at each point.
- Councillor Christine Radford requested advance warning when, for example
  large elements of the construction would be arriving, since exceptional loads
  for instance may give rise to traffic congestion or other issues. It was confirmed
  that the Committee would receive advance copies of newsletters etc before they
  were generally distributed.
- Ms Jane Hammersley suggested that more visual content on the home page
  of the website might help explain more clearly what was happening at any
  particular point.
- Mr Spencer responded that Covanta had increased the amount of film footage being produced and made available as a substitute for the time being of being able to take visitors physically round the construction site. These are 2.5 minute films including aerial and time lapse footage that show what is happening on the ground.
- In the discussion of this **Ms Hammersley** suggested that the films currently being planned might incorporate views from local people, using some of the

- questions that had been raised in the Committee to provide a depth of information to local communities. This was agreed to be a good proposal. Input would need to be consistent with the time available for the film.
- Councillor Jane Tassell asked about the possibility of using carbon capture technology at the plant, although recognising that in the past this had been ruled out. In replying it was stated that there is no currently known technology applicable to the Newhurst project which could be used, although it is a fast moving area of technology and is kept constantly under review. A supplementary question was asked about the capture and control of Fly Ash.
- In response, Craig Burdis distinguished between two types of residue from the plant. There are two different ash type residues. We have what we call air pollution control residue, which is PCR. This material is trapped by a bag filter and is contained within a silo on the plant. Since it is high in Lime it can be recycled, so that it is transported by tanker to a recycling point. It is recycled into various other products such as building materials.
- The second type is bottom ash which is also stored and then removed to be processed elsewhere. This is not at risk of being airborne since there is up to 20% of moisture in it. This contains moisture as it needs to be cooled as it leaves the incineration process. This is also then removed from site for recycling.
- Mr King asked a further question as to the technical approach to recovering and treating the Pollution Control Residue. Mr Burdis explained that this was not a water-based process of recovery and undertook to provide detailed technical information outside the meeting. (Note; this information was provided and will be accessible via the Web site. The flue gas treatment process is a dry filter process which recovers the PCR and it is then stored in a secure silo prior to being removed from the site by tanker.)
- 6. Questions from Mrs. Howard to the Environment Agency (previously circulated with EA responses)
  - Mr Mark Revill of the EA apologised for a silence in relation to correspondence immediately prior to the meeting which had gone to his "junk" e mail folder.
  - Mrs Howard explained the questions that she had put had been adequately addressed but hat she was concerned to understand the way in which Air Quality was monitored by Local Authorities in this case Charnwood Borough District.
  - Mr REvill explained that the air quality standard applied to the air across the
    areas for which the local authority was responsible. The quality measured was
    the result of a myriad of sources which might act to reduce air quality, for
    example vehicle emissions, wood burning stoves and the Newhurst plant.
  - In giving the permit to the plant the impact of the plant's emissions was modelled and even at its maximum was estimated to have an impact of less

- than 1% on the local air quality. This was an outcome shown in the last Air Quality Modelling Report that was produced.
- Mr Revill also commented on the Waste Incineration Directive of the EU, in which a 4 hour period of breaching the regulation is allowed. He pointed out that if no operation in breach of the directive was allowed at all the effect would be to require the plant to stop and to become cold. The effect of this when it restarted could easily also be deleterious for the local air quality and therefore the 4 hour window was to allow for the problem to be brought under control without the need to close down the plant.
- In the case of Newhurst the 4 hour limit is written into section 3 of the Permit. Should the issue not be resolved in that time, the plant would be required to shut down.

# 7. Planning update

# 7.1 Mrs Tappenden of Biffa advised the Committee that:

- As the design and construction of the plant moves ahead, refinement of the detail of the design requires slight variations to the planning consent.
- This is what is now happening and the changes though slight do require planning approval. For example, the gatehouse will be moved to better accommodate traffic entering and leaving the site. Some door ways wil need to be moved.
- Plans for these changes have been drawn up and have been shared with the planning authority and will be shared with the committee in due course.
- No formal consultation is needed and the changes are not expected to be contentious.
- In response to a question about the accessibility of the S106 agreement it was agreed that this should go on the website. It would not be amended by the application changes being discussed.

## 7.2 Other questions around planning

In relation to a question about Community finding, Covanta explained that there
was no money currently available but the establishment of a community Trust
Fundo or similar had been agreed in principle and that it would probably begin
at the point of operation and that further reports would be provided.

## 8 Date of the Next meeting of the LLC

The next meeting is proposed to be held on the Monday 5<sup>th</sup> July, from 1500 to 1700 an Agenda and invitation will be issued nearer to the time. At this time it will be planned as a Zoom meeting though there remains the option of a physical meeting if circumstances permit.

## 10. Any other business

10.1 Mr Wood asked about the issue of traffic management in the context of the volume of traffic entering the site from the A512. He asked if it would be possible

for the Traffic Management plan to mandate now that traffic must approach the plant from the M1, pointing out that there was very good access to the M1 from the West without using the A512 to get to the plant.

Covanta stressed that the traffic management strategy was kept under permanent review and that any changes or implementation actions would be implemented on a as needed basis.

Mr Wood stressed the importance to residents of limiting traffic flow down the A512 This was noted.

- 10.2 Councillor Lennie also requested a commitment from Covanta to require once the incinerator is in operation, to limiting vehicles only to and from the M1. This is because Newhurst to the M1 is the only widened area along the Shepshed section of the A512.
- 10.2 Councillor Hunt's question on fire regulations will be held over to the July meeting.

#### **POSTSCRIPT**

1. Mr Needham has accepted the invitation to join the committee; no communication has so far been received from Ms Yang.