## Delivering Large Scale Real-time Graph Analytics with Dell Infrastructure and TigerGraph

April 2021





- Project Requirements
- Hardware Configuration
- Test Plan
- Project/POC Phases
- Query Results





#### **Project Requirements**

- Server Configs
  - Lay out a plan to use Dell servers with AMD processors
- Query Execution
  - Execute single and stress test queries
- Expected Results
  - Being able to execute the similar patient query on a cluster
  - Sub seconds response for the Read/Write queries.
- Data Generation using Synthea
  - Synthetic patient data to be generated on each node of the cluster



#### **Architecture – Hardware**

#### UHG/Tigergraph PoC Environment





#### **Test Plan**

- Single query execution using Graph-Studio
- Multiple queries parallel stress test using Jmeter
- UI based patient record visualization

#### **Observations:**

- JMeter QPS report
- LiveOptics report





#### Phase I

- Single node
- 11 million patients
- Maximum parallel queries: 1250
- LiveOptics:
  - https://app.liveoptics.com/dpackviewer/1059950
- QPS: 589 transactions/second



C6525 Compute Nodes



## Live Optics Snapshot – Phase I





**D&LL**EMC

#### Query Per Second – Phase I





#### Phase II

- Four nodes
- 46 million patients
- Maximum parallel queries: 5000
- LiveOptics:
  - https://app.liveoptics.com/dpackviewer/1065416
- QPS: 744 transactions/second





#### **QPS:** Phase II

Test and Report information							
Source file	"morningTest.log"						
Start Time	"6/3/20 9:37 AM"						
End Time	"6/3/20 9:55 AM"						
Filter for display	89						



Requests	Ð	ecutions				Response Times (ms)			Throughput	Network (KB/sec)		
Label 🔺	#Samples \$	KO \$	Error % 🗢	Average \$	Min \$	Max 🗢	90th pct 🛛 🗢	95th pct 🛛 🗢	99th pct 🛛 🗢	Transactions/s \$	Received \$	Sent \$
Total	784013	0	0.00%	6594.53	5	52698	15311.00	15657.00	24551.57	744.62	19199.06	181.79
Query	784013	0	0.00%	6594.53	5	52698	15311.00	15657.00	24551.57	744.62	19199.06	181.79



## Live Optics Snapshots – Phase II





#### Phase III

- Eight nodes
- 104 million patients
- Maximum parallel queries: 25000
- LiveOptics:
  - https://app.liveoptics.com/dpackviewer/1070869
- QPS: 637 transactions/second







#### **Query Per second – Phase III**



Test and Report information							
Source file	"22kovernight.log"						
Start Time	"6/10/20 1:58 AM"						
End Time	"6/10/20 8:00 AM"						
Filter for display	INT						

Apdex     T (Toleration threshold)     F (Frustration threshold)     Label       0.099     500 ms     1 sec 500 ms     Total		<b>APDEX (Application</b>	Performance Index)	
0.099 500 ms 1 sec 500 ms Total	Apdex 🔺	T (Toleration threshold) 🔶	F (Frustration threshold) +	Label 🗘
	0.099	500 ms	1 sec 500 ms	Total
0.099 500 ms 1 sec 500 ms Query	0.099	500 ms	1 sec 500 ms	Query



Statistics

Requests		Executions			Response Times (ms)					Throughput	Network (I	(B/sec)
Label 🔺	#Samples \$	KO \$	Error %	Average 🗢	Min 🗢	Max 🗢	90th pct 🛛 🗢	95th pct 🗢	99th pct 🗢	Transactions/s \$	Received \$	Sent 🗢
Total	9500760	0	0.00%	50035.91	8	372056	260675.70	269015.60	277206.90	437.48	11525.91	106.81
Query	9500760	0	0.00%	50035.91	8	372056	260675.70	269015.60	277206.90	437.48	11525.91	106.81

#### Live Optics – Phase III

CPU Percentage 🗸 🔰





#### Live Optics Snapshots – Phase III



Collector Run Progress Projected End Time: 06/10/2020, 17:06 (-05:00)

Next recalculation available at 06/



#### **Results Summary**

	Summary Notes	Time Taken (11 million patients)	Cluster (4 nodes) 11 million patients	Cluster (4nodes) 42 million patients	Cluster (8 Nodes) 104 million patients
Q1	Patient Record Retrieval - 1 Hop Context: Patient calls into the nurse hotline. The nurse needs to look up patient information. This query should take an ID as an input parameter and pull up their "Health Record" Input Data: Patient ID Output Data: All medical temporal based events connected to patients. Pseudo: FROM patient SELECT * patient historical events (procedures, immunizations, medication) (30 days? - ask Dan)	8.542 ms	4.599	6.0 ms	10ms
Q2	<ul> <li>Find a Provider - 2 Hop + Geo Location</li> <li>Context: Patient calls into the nurse hotline. The patient complains of an ingrown toenail and needs to see a provider. The patient wants to find the doctor closest to them that could treat this.</li> <li>Input: Procedure Code 16003151000119100, Patient ID, distance</li> <li>Output: List of 5 closest providers (ID, Name, Address)</li> <li>Pseudo: SELECT providers that live within 50 miles from patient that have worked on that procedure code</li> </ul>	62.701 ms	8.9ms	12 ms	17ms
Q4	<ul> <li>Medical Twin (Patient Similarity) - Algorithm</li> <li>Context: A doctor who is coming up with a cancer regiment wants to analyze patients like their patient to determine a treatment path. To do that the doctor is looking for the most similar patients that match their patients medical history.</li> <li>Input: Patient ID, Procedure Code, number of patients to return</li> <li>Output: Patient ID, Score</li> <li>Pseudo: Run cosine similarity algorithm to determine patients</li> </ul>	7 sec (1.1 Bil Edges)	3.4 sec (1.1 Bil Edges)	49 sec (3.3 Bil Edges)	1 min (7.4 Bil Edges)



- Increased maximum running users
- Distributed loading for better performance
- Distributed queries for similar patients





# Thank You

